Postseismic deformation following the 1991 Racha, Georgia, earthquake
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[1] The 1991, M = 7.0 Racha earthquake is the largest ever recorded in the Caucasus Mountains. Approximately three months after this thrust-faulting earthquake, a GPS network was set up to measure postseismic surface deformation. We present an analysis of these data, which indicate accelerated postseismic motions at several near-field sites. We model this deformation as either afterslip on the rupture surface or viscoelastic relaxation of the lower crust. We find that the postseismic motions are best explained by shallow afterslip on the earthquake rupture plane. The minimum postseismic moment release is estimated at $6.0 \times 10^{18}$ N m, which is over 200 times the moment released by aftershocks in this same period and about 20% of the coseismic moment. We also show that the effective viscosity of the lower crust in the western Greater Caucasus region exceeds $10^{18}$ Pa s.

2. GPS Network and Data Analysis

[3] Figure 1 shows locations of eight GPS stations in the vicinity of the Racha earthquake, where position measurements were made in five campaigns between the earthquake (April 29, 1991) and September, 2000. The dates of these site occupations are summarized in Table S1 of the auxiliary material. The details of the GPS phase data processing strategy, error analysis and reference frame definitions used in this paper are given by Reilinger et al. [2006]. The station time series position estimates of the five Racha campaign solutions used here are realized by estimating a six-parameter transformation (three components of position translation and rotation), using the generalized constraints technique described by Dong et al. [1998]. Specifically, at each solution epoch we minimized (in a least squares sense) the differences in horizontal position estimates of between 16 to 44 GPS stations (see Table S1) with respect to the Reilinger et al. [2006] Eurasia fixed realization of the ITRF2000 [Ray et al., 2004] reference frame. The median wrms fits of the horizontal position estimates used in the transformation estimation range from 4.2 mm in 1991 to 1.0 mm in 2000 (see Table S1).

2.1. GPS Time Series Analysis

[4] Position-time data (three components) from each GPS site were fit to simple linear, exponential, and logarithmic functions using the program TSVIEW [Herring, 2003].

\[ x(t) = x_0 + \nu(t - t_0) \]  
\[ x(t) = x_0 + \nu(t - t_0) + \lambda \ln \left( 1 + \frac{t - t_0}{\tau} \right) \]  
\[ x(t) = x_0 + \nu(t - t_0) + \lambda \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{t - t_0}{\tau}} \right) \]

[5] In the above equations, $t_0$ is the time of the earthquake, $x_0$ is an offset at $t = t_0$, $\nu$ is velocity, $x$ is displacement, $\lambda$ is the amplitude of the logarithmic or exponential term, and $\tau$ is a characteristic decay time. Misfit is quan-
tified as the weighted standard deviation (WSD). Values of WSD for each site are summarized in the auxiliary material, Table S2. At some GPS sites near the earthquake epicenter, the logarithmic function clearly fits the GPS position data better than the linear function, confirming that in the near field, a period of accelerated deformation followed the earthquake. The decay time of this transient is poorly constrained; for example, in the logarithmic case, varying the decay time between 1 and 100 days did not affect the WSD values. This is because of the three-year interval between the first two observations, and the large errors associated with the 1991 position measurements.

Since exponential and logarithmic functions fit the data about equally well, we report the misfit measures for the logarithmic and linear functions only. Given that there is a change in GPS site velocities over the observation interval, but that its functional form is not well constrained, we model displacements separately over the 1991–1994 and 1994–1996 intervals.

### 2.2. Correction for Secular Deformation

[7] The Greater Caucasus region experiences north-south shortening of about 10 mm/yr [Reilinger et al., 1997, 2006] leading to significant velocity gradients across the region covered by our network. This signal must be removed to isolate the postseismic deformation. In the absence of a GPS velocity field in the region prior to this 1991 earthquake, we have chosen two approaches. In the first approach, we assume that the postseismic transient is complete within about five years, as has been observed following other moderate to large continental thrust faulting earthquakes [e.g., Donnellan and Lyzenga, 1998; Segall et al., 2000;]

### Table 1. GPS Postseismic Displacements for the 1991–1994 Time Period, With 1 - σ Errors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Corrected With Time Seriesa</th>
<th>Corrected With Block Modelb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North, mm</td>
<td>East, mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHOT</td>
<td>9.6 ± 9.8</td>
<td>20 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KHUR</td>
<td>-19 ± 8.0</td>
<td>9.5 ± 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESO</td>
<td>-13 ± 4.4</td>
<td>-6.8 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICH</td>
<td>no data</td>
<td>no data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC</td>
<td>0.4 ± 8.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRE</td>
<td>-10. ± 8.9</td>
<td>-4.3 ± 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VANI</td>
<td>4.2 ± 5.2</td>
<td>6.7 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZELB</td>
<td>0.54 ± 2.0</td>
<td>3.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aDisplacements are corrected for secular deformation using the 1996–2000 velocities for each GPS site.

bDisplacements are corrected for secular deformation using velocities from the block model of Reilinger et al. [2006].
We estimate the velocity at each site from 1996 to 2000 and use this to correct the earlier displacement data. In the second approach, we use secular velocities from a block model of the region [Reilinger et al., 2006] to correct the displacement data for 1991–1994 and 1994–1996 (the second period ends in 1996 so we can use both secular correction approaches). Secular velocities from the block model and from the 1996–2000 GPS position data are listed in Table S3 of the auxiliary material. Table 1 shows the corrected GPS site displacements for the 1991–1994 epoch, and Figure 2 shows the time series-corrected displacement vectors. Corrected displacements from the 1994–1996 epoch are included in Table S4 of the auxiliary material.

3. Modeling and Interpretation

Postseismic deformation following large earthquakes has been attributed to aseismic slip driven by coseismic stresses [e.g., Hearn et al., 2002], viscoelastic relaxation of lower crust or upper mantle layers with various linear and nonlinear rheologies [e.g., Freed and Lin, 1998], poroelastic effects [e.g., Pelizter et al., 1998], or anelastic creep of the upper crust [Donnellan and Lyzenga, 1998], sometimes acting in combination. We model the Racha postseismic data for two simple end-member cases. For the first, we invert the displacements for slip on the earthquake rupture and surrounding parts of the same fault, assuming that elastic deformation in response to shear dislocations at depth causes the surface deformation [Okada, 1985; Arnadottir and Segall, 1994]. In these models we assume the Earth is a uniform, Poisson halfspace with a shear modulus (G) of 30 GPa. The fault plane geometry (strike = 287°, dip = 30°, with the hypocentre at 42.42° latitude 43.67° longitude, 6 km depth) is from Tan and Taymaz [2006], and is similar to that inferred by Triep et al. [1995] and Fuenzalida et al. [1997]. The model fault plane extends beyond that of Tan and Taymaz [2006] to permit afterslip both downdip and beyond the ends of the coseismic rupture. In the second case we forward model viscoelastic relaxation for a variety of lower crust or upper mantle viscosity structures using the semi-analytical code VISCO1D [Pollitz, 1997]. For both classes of models we compute the WRSS (weighted residual sum of squares) summed for all three degrees of freedom (east, north, and up) for all of the GPS sites, and minimize this quantity to identify the best afterslip distribution or viscosity structure (that is, relaxing layer thickness and effective viscosity). Displacements over two time intervals are modeled and discussed separately.

Resolution tests suggest that the GPS network can only resolve afterslip in the hypocentral region and to the west, so this is all we show on Figures 1, 2, and 3. Using the 1991–1994 displacements, corrected for secular deformation using both approaches described above, we find similar patterns of shallow afterslip (Figure 3). The afterslip in both cases is concentrated at depths of 0 to 4 km, though another high afterslip patch downdip (at a depth of 12 km, located 40 km west of the hypocentre) is also apparent. A cross-validation sum of squares (CVSS) analysis shows that the deeper slip patch is required principally by the displacement of GPS site KHUR. The total afterslip moment release is 6 to 7.6 × 10^{18} N m, or 19 to 24% of the coseismic moment (3.2 × 10^{19} N m [Tan and Taymaz, 2006]). The aftershock...
moment beneath continental collision zones have assumed extremely weak lower crustal viscosity (25–45 km) of at least $10^{20}$ Pa s were investigated. The best viscoelastic model calls for a lower crustal viscosity of $9.0 \times 10^{17}$ Pa s over the depth interval 25 to 45 km. However, this model reduces the WRSS by just 21% relative to a model in which GPS sites are stationary. For the 1994 to 1996 epoch, this viscoelastic model yields a larger WRSS than a model with stationary GPS sites.

### 4. Discussion and Conclusions

Our models of postseismic deformation following the 1991 Racha, Georgia, earthquake suggest that significant afterslip followed this event, while contributions from viscoelastic relaxation of the lower crust were minor or absent. Unlike Reilinger et al. [1997], we find predominantly shallow afterslip (i.e., within the top few kilometers of the crust). This is compatible with velocity-strengthening friction, which promotes aseismic slip, in the top few kilometers of the crust [Marone et al., 1991]. We may underestimate the total moment of afterslip because (1) we cannot resolve slip east of the hypocentre with data from this GPS network and (2) the GPS network was not installed until about 87 days after the earthquake. On the other hand, our treating the domain as an elastic halfspace for the slip inversion neglects a contrast in properties across the fault, with a lower-strength material in the hanging wall, where most of the GPS sites are located. This will cause us to slightly overestimate the coseismic slip where we were able to resolve it. Afterslip appears to have ceased (or slowed significantly) by 1994. The duration and relative moment of Racha afterslip are comparable to values from other reverse faulting earthquakes observed by GPS [e.g., Donnellan and Lyzenga, 1998; Hsu et al., 2002; Segall et al., 2000]. We also note that local plastic deformation, such as that suggested for the Northridge earthquake [Donnellan and Lyzenga, 1998], cannot be ruled out.

Seismic estimates of convergence across the Main Caucasus Thrust (MCT) vary substantially, but are generally less than both geologic and geodetic estimates [Philip et al., 1989; Jackson, 1992; Reilinger et al., 1997]. Jackson [1992] includes the Racha earthquake in his seismic estimate of convergence rate and reports a maximum rate of about 4 mm/yr, with a preferred rate of 3.4 mm/yr, for the entire MCT. In the east (that is, east of where the Borzhomi-Kazbeg fault zone crosses the MCT), this is only about 30% of the geodetic convergence rate. In the western part of the Greater Caucasus, where the Racha earthquake occurred, however, this rate is close to the GPS rate from the kinematic model of Reilinger et al. [2006] block model (3.0 ± 0.2 mm/yr). Given the modest but non-negligible afterslip that we have observed and the similarity of GPS and seismic slip rates for the western part of the MCT, most convergence in this area is accommodated by earthquakes in the upper crust.

If viscoelastic relaxation of the lower crust followed the Racha earthquake, it was at too low a rate to be captured with this GPS network. The dominance of afterslip between 1991 and 1994, and GPS constraints on the upper limit of surface displacements between 1994 and 1996, require a lower crustal viscosity (25–45 km) of at least $10^{18}$ Pa s. Assuming a temperature gradient of 20 degrees per km, typical wet quartz flow laws [e.g., Gleason and Tullis, 1995] may be ruled out for the lower crust. A somewhat higher effective viscosity would still be consistent with low seismic velocities [Hearn and Ni, 1994] and high attenuation [Kadinski-Cade et al., 1981; Sarker and Abers, 1998; Sandvol et al., 2001] in the thickened, Caucasus lower crust. However, recent modeling studies of young continental collision zones have assumed extremely weak lower crust (for example, 25% of values given by Gleason and Tullis [1995], by Pyšklýwec et al. [2002]) to decouple the mantle lithosphere from the upper crust. If such low values can be ruled out then models with more coupled crust and mantle [e.g., Beaumont et al., 1996] may be more applicable to the Caucasus collision zone.
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