Aegean tectonics: Strain localisation, slab tearing and trench retreat
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1. Introduction

1.1. Different visions of the rheology of the continental lithosphere

There is still no consensus on the mechanical behaviour of the continental lithosphere. Experimental observations on rock mechanics led to the formulation of rheological yield-stress envelopes that explain reasonably well the brittle–ductile layering and long-wavelength phenomena such as lithospheric flexure (Armijo et al., 2003; Burov and Watts, 2006; Goetze and Evans, 1979; Handy and Brun, 2004; Jackson, 2002; Kohlstedt et al., 1995; Molnar, 1992; Watts and Brov, 2003). However, lithospheric deformation and rheology appear more complex as it becomes more intense and localised (Bürgmann and Dresen, 2008; Burov, 2011; Gueydan et al., 2004, 2005; Handy et al., 2007; Préciouget and Gueydan, 2009). So, two end-member models have been discussed (Armijo et al., 2003; Burov and Watts, 2006; Goetze and Evans, 1979; Handy and Brun, 2004; Jackson, 2002; Kohlstedt et al., 1995; Molnar, 1992; Watts and Brov, 2003). They oppose by a more or less important propensity to localise deformation, some explaining the propagation of strike-slip faults over large distances (Armijo et al., 2003; Tapponnier et al., 1982), others, more "ductile", explaining continental extension or shortening over large areas (England and Houseman, 1986; Wernicke, 1992). It is also argued that plate boundaries are characterised by some very specific rheological properties (Bürgmann and Dresen, 2008). This has resulted in a specific “banana split” yield-stress model that considers the weakness of major crustal fault zones caused by various strain weakening and rheological feedback processes.

The observations of localised large-scale strike-slip faults in the continental lithosphere have raised the problem of continental extrusion (or escape tectonics). After the large-scale Asian strike-slip faults were described north of the Indian indenter and the rigid-plastic indentation model published (Molnar and Tappinier, 1975, 1978; Tapponnier and Molnar, 1976, 1977), the conceptual jump to the extrusion model (Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1986) has not been accepted by all researchers. Two trends have been independently followed, extrusion and localising rheology on one hand (Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1986), or distributed deformation of Asia and the Tibetan plateau on the other hand (Dewey et al., 1988; England and Houseman, 1986; England and Molnar, 1990, 1997; Royden et al., 1997). This discussion results from different visions of the rheology of the continental lithosphere in the international community.
1.2. The Aegean domain: a natural laboratory for the rheology of the continental lithosphere

This debate has lasted for more than 30 years and it is nowadays focused on the Aegean region where different sets of data are available, besides a detailed knowledge of the geological evolution at crustal scale (Angelier et al., 1982; Aubouin, 1959; Bonneau, 1984; Bonneau and Kienast, 1982; Brunn et al., 1976; Jacobsen et al., 1978; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Le Pichon and Angelier, 1979, 1981a, 1981b; Papanikolaou et al., 2004; Ring et al., 2010; Sotiropoulos et al., 2003; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005a); a precise present-day velocity field measured by satellite geodesy (Le Pichon and Kreeemer, 2010; McClusky et al., 2000; Reilinger et al., 2010), a good description of Quaternary geology and morphology (Armijo et al., 1996, 2003; Goldworthy and Jackson, 2001; Jackson and McKenzie, 1984; Jackson et al., 1982; Mercier, 1981; Mercier et al., 1979; Rohais et al., 2007a), an Oligo-Miocene strain field, since ~30–35 Ma (Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Gautier and Brun, 1994a, 1994b; Grasemann and Petrakakis, 2007; Igelseider et al., 2009; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Lister et al., 1984; Sokoutis et al., 1993), or since 23 Ma (Ring et al., 2010) recovered from the middle to deep crustal exhumed rocks, as well as recent advances on mantle structure from seismic tomography and SKS anisotropy below the Aegean and Anatolia (Endrun et al., 2011; Evangelidis et al., 2009; Facenna et al., 2003; 2006; Govers and Wortel, 2005; Hatzfeld et al., 2001; Jolivet et al., 2009; Piromallo and Morelli, 2003; Salaün et al., 2012; Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Suckale et al., 2009; Wortel and Spakman, 1992, 2000).

Recent evidence for propagation of the North Anatolian Fault over a distance of 1000 km suggests a long-term elastic behaviour of the lithospheric mantle (Armijo et al., 1999, 2003). Sengör et al. (2005) instead favour a more progressive localisation of the NAF starting from a wide dextral shear zone as early as 11–13 Ma. Similarly, extensional deformation over large regions in the Aegean Sea (Jolivet et al., 2004a; Lister et al., 1984; Tiel et al., 2004a, 2008), and the exhumation of high temperature metamorphic rocks suggest that the middle and lower crusts are weak.

1.3. The rheological behaviours of the Aegean lithosphere

The Anatolia–Aegean Sea region (Fig. 1A) has been the focus of an international effort during the last 20 years and the conjunction of geological research and the acquisition of GPS data put this region at the centre of the current debate on the mechanics of the continental lithosphere. Previous works in this region reflect the above mentioned debate, from those describing the long-term deformation of the Aegean Sea and the exhumation of extensional metamorphic cores since ~30 Ma (Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Ring et al., 2010), and those describing the interaction between recent extension in the Aegean since ~15 Ma and strike-slip faulting along the North Anatolian Fault since it reached the Aegean domain ~5–6 Ma ago (Armijo et al., 1996, 1999, 2003). These various deformations affect however the same lithosphere and the time periods overlap.

In more details, three differing conceptions of the bulk rheology of the lithosphere in the Aegean region are currently debated. Correspondingly, in those views the continental lithosphere is either (1) viscous and drives from below the motion of upper crustal blocks (models based on continuum mechanics, e.g., England and McKenzie, 1982; Gautier et al., 1990; Jackson, 1994; Jolivet et al., 1994a, 2004a; Taymaz et al., 1991) or (2) kinematically rigid, i.e. strain-less, where all displacements occur at the boundaries of rigid-blocks and resulting in a kinematic description close to plate tectonics (e.g., Le Pichon et al., 1995; McClusky et al., 2000; Nyst and Thatcher, 2004), or (3) is strain-displacement partitioned involving frictional slip on faults and elastic deformation between faults (based on the concepts of fracture mechanics, e.g., Armijo et al., 2003; Flier et al. 2004; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2003). End-member models based on block or continuum deformation can fit the GPS data, but fail to account for some essential aspects of the Aegean tectonics and NAF–Aegean interaction over the geological time scale.

So far, available models have most of the time neglected two important factors, the inherited mechanical anisotropy of the continental crust and the role played by the asthenospheric flow in driving crustal deformation. Although they do not give a detailed picture of lithospheric structure, available tomographic models suggest that the lithosphere is very thin below the Aegean region (Piromallo and Morelli, 2003; Salaün et al., 2012; Spakman et al., 1993) as in most active backarc regions. In such conditions asthenospheric flow has a stronger impact on crustal deformation. As most continental regions, the Aegean has a complex tectonic history that has produced a strong heterogeneity in the crust (i.e. large thrusts, large exhumation shear zones or extensional detachments). This strong mechanical heterogeneity can also play an important role in the localisation process. The mechanical consequences of such heterogeneous features have not been taken into account in general and the Aegean lithosphere is often treated as a stack of homogeneous layers that are either able or unable to propagate localised shear zone or brittle faults over large distances. Numerical simulations have however shown that the presence of weak heterogeneities, in particular, low-strength heterogeneities resulting from nappe stacking, strongly controls the localisation of strain at crustal scale and that the mechanical stratification inherited from previous episodes is also important (Huet et al., 2011a, 2011b; Le Pourhiet et al., 2004; Lecomte et al., 2011; Mattioni et al., 2006), like strain softening along faults influences the symmetric or asymmetric character of extension at lithospheric scale (Huismans and Beaumont, 2002).

Whether crustal deformation in continental environments is driven from above (stresses transmitted horizontally through the crust) or from below (basal shear due to asthenospheric flow) depends upon the tectonic situation and the thermal state of the lithosphere (Bokelmann, 2002; Molnar, 1988; Tikoff et al., 2004). Most models for the Aegean do not consider a contribution of the asthenospheric flow to crustal deformation through a viscous coupling, as may be suggested by the parallelism between stretching directions in the deep crust sampled in exhumed metamorphic core complexes and flow lines in the mantle derived from the analysis of SKS seismic anisotropy (Hatzfeld et al., 2001; Jolivet et al., 2009; Kreemer et al., 2004; Le Pichon and Kreeemer, 2010). Indeed, the correlation between seismic anisotropy and crustal deformation is difficult to explain on the basis of asthenosphere-driven flow alone. In case of viscous coupling between the asthenosphere and lithosphere, the associated shear stress would be on the order of 0.001–0.1 MPa (assuming asthenospheric viscosities on the order of 10^19 Pa s and strain rates on the order of 10^{-10} s^{-1}–10^{-14} s^{-1}). These values are at least two orders of magnitude below the weakest estimate of lower crustal strength (Bürgmüller and Dresen, 2008). Yet, they can result in tectonically significant forces (~10^{11} N) when integrated over several-thousand km^2. Asthenosphere–lithosphere coupling may also occur indirectly through gravitational forces produced by dynamic topography, or thermo-mechanical erosion of the crust and lithosphere by hot asthenospheric flow.

After a summary of 30 years of research in this region, we present a synthesis of the tectonic and metamorphic evolution of the Aegean since the Eocene, with an emphasis on the distribution or localisation of strain. We then summarize this evolution of a strongly anisotropic lithosphere in terms of progressive strain localisation within a continuum of N–S to NE–SW backarc extension and discuss the possible drivers, the Arabia–Eurasia collision, slab tearing and sub-lithospheric mantle flow.

It must be first noticed that the use of the terms “backarc extension” can be partly misunderstood in the Aegean because the present-day arc lies right in the middle of the extended domain. It is quite clear also that, in the geological past, the same situation has
2. Evolution of ideas since the seventies

2.1. Large-scale geodynamics, slab retreat vs extrusion tectonics

The Aegean region has been the subject of numerous studies since the 70s and the idea that extension results from slab retreat was first proposed as early as 1979 (Le Pichon and Angelier, 1979, 1981a). At that time some first order features were still unknown. For example the Aegean metamorphic core complexes had not yet been discovered (not before the seminal paper of Lister et al., 1984), and the Rhodope was still a Precambrian core (Zagorchev, 1998). The front of subduction was misplaced in the Hellenic trench instead of south of the Mediterranean Ridge, that was not yet fully interpreted as an accretionary wedge, although the compressional structures have been recognized quite early (Finetti, 1976). Le Pichon and Angelier (1979) proposed that backarc extension in the Aegean Sea resulted from a combination of gravitational forces (1) in the thickened crust and (2) in the dense subducting slab that led to slab retreat. Alternative models considered crustal collapse as the driver of internal extension and peripheral thrusting (Berckhemer, 1977; Horvath and Berckhemer, 1982; Horvath et al., 1981) or extrusion tectonics as the cause of formation of the main marginal seas (Tapponnier, 1977). Gravitational spreading has then been explored further by means of analogue modelling (Gautier et al., 1999; Hatzfeld et al., 1997) and extrusion tectonics remained the main driver of the westward motion of Anatolia in the recent models of Armijo et al. (1999, 2002) and Fleit et al. (2004).

Studies of earthquakes and active deformation have shown the kinematic relationships between dextral shear along the North Anatolian Fault and the Aegean extension (McKenzie, 1972, 1978). Several improvements were then achieved by more precise seismotectonic studies and analysis of the focal mechanisms of earthquakes, constrained by waveform modelling and polarities of first motions (Armijo et al., 1996, 1999; Barka, 1992; Jackson, 1994; Taymaz et al., 1991). With the addition of paleomagnetic studies that suggested fast rotations of crustal blocks during the Miocene (Kissel and Laj, 1988), several models were proposed for recent and active deformation. With their broken-slats model, Taymaz et al. (1991) assumed that the westward motion of Anatolia induced E–W shortening in the Aegean region because the rotation of the western part of the region was not fast enough, thus inducing N–S extension, allowed by roll-back of the Hellenic subduction. The model proposed by Armijo et al. (1999) is closer to the extrusion model and the Aegean grabens form as tension gashes in the propagating end of the NAF. Note that here, in both approaches, slab roll-back creates the space for extension but is not the source of extension.

A considerable advance was made when space geodetic data became available (Billiris et al., 1991; Davies et al., 1997; Kahle et al., 1995; Le Pichon et al., 1995; McClusky et al., 2000). Confirmed by more recent studies (Aktug et al., 2009; Floyd et al., 2010; Le Pichon and Kreemer, 2010; Nyst and Thatcher, 2004; Reilinger et al., 2010) these data show that the southern part of the Aegean domain moves southwest considerably faster than Anatolia that moves westward, implying that the driving engine has to be looked for to the south (Dagdelen et al., 2002), slab roll-back being the most likely candidate. More detailed paleomagnetic studies have also constrained more precisely the timing of blocks rotations (Dimitriadis et al., 1998; Duerrmeier et al., 1998, 2000; Kissel et al., 2002; Mattei et al., 2004; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005b, 2006, 2010).

2.2. Geology and slab structure in the Aegean Sea

The Aegean region, located in the overriding plate of the Hellenic subduction zone, has been subjected to extensional tectonics since the late Eocene–Early Oligocene (~35 Ma) (Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000); see a discussion on the timing of extension below. Earlier extension may have occurred to the North in the Rhodope massif since some 45 Ma at a slower pace (Brun and Sokoutis, 2007). The Hellenides (Figs. 1A, 2) formed from the Late Jurassic to the Present above the Hellenic subduction (Bonneau and Kienast, 1982; Brun and Faccenna, 2008; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 2003; Papanikolaou et al., 2004; Philippou et al., 2012; Ring et al., 2010; Royden and Papanikolaou, 2011; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005a). They result from the offscraping of crustal units from the Pelagonian in the north and then the Pindos Ocean and Apulian block further south that were subducted below Eurasia after the closure of the Vardar Ocean in the Late Cretaceous. The shortening of the Pindos and Apulian blocks led to the formation of a series of large scale nappes, all emplaced with a south or southwest vergence of the thrust front, from the Eocene to the present (Godfriaux, 1962; Jacobshagen et al., 1978; Sotirooulos et al., 2003; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005c).

Moreover, in the late 70s, the geology of the Hellenides and of the Aegean Sea (Aubouin, 1959; Brun, 1956; Brunn et al., 1976; Godfriaux, 1962; Jacobshagen et al., 1978; McKenzie, 1972, 1978; Mercier et al., 1979) was described with a luxury of details, but some major aspects were still missing such as the massive presence of recent high-pressure and low-temperature (HP–LT) rocks such as blueschists and eclogites (Blake et al., 1981; Bonneau and Kienast, 1982) and the large scale detachments and core complexes that we know today. The geology of Turkey was also quite early explained in the framework of plate tectonics (Dewey and Sengör, 1979; Sengör and Yilmaz, 1981). Most models, for instance the model of Bonneau (1982) and Bonneau and Kienast (1982), used several subduction zones that jumped southward through time, instead of a single migrating subduction used in most models nowadays. The inception of subduction...
and extension was supposed to be a late feature as young as 13 Ma (Le Pichon and Angelier, 1979) or even 5 Ma (McKenzie, 1978). A breakthrough occurred when with seismic tomographic models that showed a slab much longer than expected (1500 km) and thus suggested a much longer history of subduction and extension with a single slab (Bijwaard et al., 1998; Spakman et al., 1988, 1993), an idea that has been widely developed from then on (Brun and Faccenna, 2008; Faccenna et al., 2003; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 2003; Ring et al., 2010; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005a; Wortel and Spakman, 1992, 2000).

After the closure of the Vardar Ocean in the Late Cretaceous, the Apulian domain, including the Pindos Ocean, was accreted to the continental margin of Eurasia, building an orogenic wedge with a migration of thrusts from NE to SW. From north to south and structurally top to bottom (Fig. 1A) the paleogeographic domains involved in the orogen are (see Bonneau, 1984; Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Jolivet et al., 2004a,
2004b; Papanikolaou et al., 2004; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005a and references therein for details): (1) The Pelagonian domain, a continental basement and its Paleozoic and Mesozoic cover topped with an ophiolite nappe obducted at the end of the Jurassic. It crops out also in the Cyclades as small klippes or extensional allochtons above the main detachments; it is known as the Upper Cycladic Nappe. This nappe also includes remnants of the Vardar oceanic units obducted in the Late Cretaceous. (2) The Pindos nappe, an oceanic domain or a thinned continental crust with mafic intrusions topped by a pelagic cover (Upper Triassic to Eocene or locally Lower Oligocene). It is found in the Hellenides as the Pindos nappe proper, where it is slightly metamorphosed and also in the Cyclades as a metamorphic equivalent, the Cycladic Blueschists (CBS), reaching locally the eclogite facies. The Ambelakia unit in the Mount Olympos and Ossa regions also belongs to the same realm. The main point in favour of this correlation between the CBS and the Pindos nappe is their similar tectonic position between the Gavrovo-Tripolitza nappe below and the Pelagonian above (Blake et al., 1984; Bonneau, 1984). The Pindos Ocean was progressively incorporated in the subduction zone and the accretionary wedge and a part of it (to the west) remained unsubducted until the early Oligocene while the CBS were already deeply buried. (3) The Gavrovo-Tripolitza nappe, a carbonate platform (Triassic to Lower Oligocene), most often
without basement. It is also found in the internal zones in the core of tectonics windows (i.e. Olympos, Ossa, Almyropotamos, Kerkeas Nappe in Samos) (Ferrière, 1982; Godfriaux, 1962; Godfriaux and Ricou, 1991; Ring et al., 1999b; Shaked et al., 2000). (4) The Phyllite–Quarzite unit rests in tectonic contact below the Gavrovo-Tripolitza nappe although it was probably the stratigraphic base of this unit. It is made up of a sequence of detrital rocks, including volcanites, limestones as well as basement lenses with ages ranging from the Carboniferous to the Mid Triassic. (5) The Ionian (or Plattenkalk) and the pre-Apulian platform are the two outermost nappes with a Mesozoic carbonate sequence covered with Oligocene–Miocene turbidites.

The Aegean domain, since the Oligo-Miocene, in a geodynamic sense, also encompasses a part of western Anatolia. The Menderes Massif has indeed recorded tectonic events that are typically Aegean and it is thus useful to review the evolution of ideas on this region as well. Moreover, the crust is thicker in the Menderes Massif and the pre-extension structures are thus better preserved than in the Cyclades. An additional major step forward was made in 1984 with the first description of a Cordilleran-type metamorphic core complex in the Cyclades on the example of Naxos (Lister et al., 1984). This discovery fostered a renewal of geological studies in the Cyclades (see below). The progressive description of the Cycladic metamorphic core complexes
led to several two-stage models, all involving a first period of crustal thickening in high pressure and low temperature (HP–LT) conditions in the Eocene followed by a period of crustal thinning in HT–LP conditions in the external zones (Crete and Peloponnesian). In more details, nappe stacking and crustal thickening occurred in HP–LT metamorphic conditions near the front of subduction (accretionary wedge) while crustal thinning was active in the backarc region. These paired belts of compression and extension migrated with time toward the south and the thickened domain was reworked by extensional structures (Jolivet and Patriat, 1999; Jolivet et al., 1994b). The southward younging of HP–LT metamorphic rocks should be paralleled with the outward migration of thrust fronts and migration of the volcanic arc (Fytikas et al., 1984) at a rate of ~3 cm/yr (Jolivet and Brun, 2010) (Figs. 3 and 4). Reconstructions of the evolution of the Hellenides and of the backarc domain show the migration of paired frontal compression and backarc extension during slab retreat. A double gradient of finite stretching is observed from the Hellenides in the west and Anatolia in the east toward the centre of the Aegean Sea. Differences in P/T ratio between the Cycladic blueschists and the Cretan blueschists likely reflect this progressive southward migration (Gueydan et al., 2009; Jolivet et al., 2003).

2.3. The Menderes Massif and western Anatolia

The Aegean extensional domain encompasses a part of western Anatolia, where the relief was strongly shaped by the late formation of core complexes and grabens. In Anatolia, a similar orogenic wedge to the Aegean one can be described, although correlating both regions has been a debated issue for several decades (Barrier and Vrielinck, 2008; Brunn et al., 1976; Dercourt et al., 1993; Jolivet et al., 2004b; Ricou et al., 1986; Ring et al., 1999a).

Remnants of the Tethys Ocean and HP–LT metamorphic units (Fig. 1A) extend eastward within Anatolia (Okay, 1989; Okay and Tüysüz, 1999; Okay et al., 2001; Pourteau et al., 2010). The suture extends into Anatolia as the Izmir–Ankara suture, which west of Ankara branches into the Inner-Tauride suture (Okay and Tüysüz, 1999; Schmid et al., 2011). These separate to the north, continental domains (the Pontides and the Central Anatolia Crystalline Complex) that hosted a magmatic arc between the Late Cretaceous and the early Cenozoic, and to the south a microcontinental fragment, named the Anatolide–Tauride Block (Okay and Tüysüz, 1999) (Fig. 1A), which was overthrust by Neotethyan ophiolites and experienced subduction metamorphism along its northern edge. This microcontinent, which comprises a Mesozoic carbonate platform and its Precambrian–Palaeozoic substratum (Bozkurt and Oberhänsli, 2001), was subdivided into several regionally-metamorphosed units to the north, named the Anatolides, and their non-metamorphosed equivalent to the south, named the Taurides.

The Tavşanlı Zone, which is composed of distal sediments and volcanic rocks, experienced subduction metamorphism (e.g., Cetinkaplan et al., 2008; Okay, 1984; Okay, 2002) in the Late Cretaceous (88–80 Ma) (Okay et al., 1998; Seaton et al., 2009; Sherlock et al., 1999), while the Afyon Zone and its far-transported equivalent, the...
Owing to the overlapped metamorphic events in the gneissic core, the maximal P–T conditions reached during the Alpine orogeny remain uncertain. Recent petrologic investigations of Palaeozoic schists placed the peak of the Alpine Barrovian metamorphism (named “Main Menderes Metamorphism”, MMM) into the lower amphibolite facies (Okay, 2001; Régnier et al., 2003, 2007; Whitney and Bozkurt, 2002). Its timing is constrained by, on the one hand, Palaeozoic foraminifers in the olistostromal formation in the upper part of the cover sequence (Özer et al., 2001) and, on the other hand, lower-middle Miocene sediments at the bottom of the Menderes grabens (Seyitoglu and Scott, 1991; Yilmaz et al., 2000). Rb/Sr ages are widely scattered between 63 and 48 Ma (muscovite) and between 50 and 27 Ma (biotite) (Akkök, 1983; Dora et al., 1990; Satir and Friedrichsen, 1986; Sengör et al., 1984). The MMM has been explained by crustal thickening due to southward transport of the Lycian Nappes and Neotethyan ophiolites away from the plate boundary (Okay and Tüysüz, 1999; Sengör et al., 1984).

This metamorphism was not associated to any significant subduction of the Menderes Massif until the discovery of relic Fe–Mg-carpholite in the cover sequence of the southern massif (Rimmelé et al., 2003b). These HP–LT metamorphosed, if they belong to the Menderes Massif, indicate that at least this part of the Menderes Massif was buried to at least 35 km along a cold gradient (up to 12 kbar, 450–500 °C) (Rimmelé et al., 2003b, 2005). If, alternatively, the HP metamorphosed, then there is no longer any evidence for a subduction of the Menderes Massif; this point is still under discussion but the lithology of the unit where Fe–Mg-carpholite has been discovered in the cover of the Menderes Massif is different from the Cycladic Blueschists and the P–T conditions are also different, with a peak of pressure that is lower. Besides, Fe–Mg-carpholite has never been found in the Cycladic Blueschists probably because of an unsuitable chemical composition (too sodic). The early retrograde stage was dated to ca. 45 Ma (40Ar/39Ar white mica ages) (Pourteau, 2011). Whether the entire Menderes Massif or only its southernmost part experienced this HP–LT metamorphism remains uncertain, so is its relation with the Barrovian-type stage. However, the preservation of diapiric in metabauxites in this area excludes the possibility that the Barrovian metamorphism significantly affected the southern part of the Menderes Massif. One solution is that the HP–LT metamorphism is slightly older than the MMM. It could correspond to the first burial of the Menderes Massif in the subduction zone where a cold gradient was preserved before the crust was thickened, which rose the thermal gradient in the Eocene in the deepest parts of the massif.

Fe–Mg-carpholite-bearing sediments were also reported from the northwestern Lycian Nappes near the contact with the Menderes Massif (along the southern and eastern margins as well as in klippen on top of it and the Cycladic Blueschists), while other parts of the Lycian Nappes were not metamorphosed (Oberhansli et al., 2001; Rimmelé et al., 2003a, 2005). Based on their distribution, these HP–LT metamorphosed Lycian sediments were distinguished as the Ören Unit (Fig. 1A) (Pourteau et al., 2010). Kinematic indicators suggest that the Ören Unit was transported southward (after restoration of the extensional tectonics; see Pourteau, 2011) over the Menderes Massif (Rimmelé et al., 2003a, 2006). The Ören Unit represents the western continuation of the Afyoz Zone (Pourteau, 2011), a supposedly-greenschist-facies unit (Okay, 1984) actually characterised by the widespread occurrence of Fe–Mg-carpholite (Candan et al., 2005; Pourteau et al., 2010). High-pressure metamorphism in the Ören–Afyoz Zone took place between 65 Ma (easternmost Afyoz Zone) and 60 Ma (Ören Unit) as shown by 40Ar/39Ar white mica ages (Pourteau et al., 2010). To the north and structurally above the Afyoz Zone, the Tavşanlı Zone represents the most frontal part of the Anatolide–Tauride microcontinent (Okay, 1984; 1986), which entered first into the subduction zone and was metamorphosed under the HP-blueschist-to-LT-eclogite-facies conditions (Cetinkaplan et al., 2008;
Davis and Whitney, 2006; Okay, 2002; Okay and Kelley, 1994) around 88–80 Ma (Okay et al., 1998; Seaton et al., 2009; Sherlock et al., 1999). Ophiolites sitting atop the Anatolide–Tauride Block generally consists of peridotite (harzburgite with chrome pods), layered and massive gabbros and sheeteddykes (Robertson, 2002). Sub-ophiolitic metamorphic Soles widespread at the region scale yielded clustered radiometric ages of 95–90 Ma (Celik et al., 2006). In the early-middle Eocene, granodiorite inclusions with mantle-derived geochemical signatures intruded the Taşanlı Zone and overlying peridotite, post-dating ophiolite emplacement in this area (Harris et al., 1994).

2.4. Neogene extension in West-Anatolia

Neogene extension in western Anatolia mainly affected the Menderes Massif, but also the westernmost Pontides in the Kazdağ Massif (Harris et al., 1994; Okay and Satir, 2000). The alpine structure of the Menderes Massif has then been reworked by extensional structures: first shallow-dipping detachments and then steeply-dipping faults bounding the present grabens (Bozkurt and Park, 1994, 1997b; Hetzel et al., 1995a, 1995b; Lips et al., 2001). Among the shallow-dipping extensional structures, the Simav Detachment played a major role in exhuming the northern part of the Menderes Massif (Işık and Tekeli, 2001; Işık et al., 2004; Ring and Collins, 2005). It separates the Menderes high-temperature gneiss with Oligo-Miocene metamorphic ages below, from the Afyon HP–LT metamorphic zone. Based on geological markers, Ring et al. (2003) estimated that part of the Menderes Massif exhumation was accommodated in the early Miocene by about 50 km of horizontal extension along the Simav Detachment. Van Hinsbergen (2010), in a recent attempt to reconstruct the progressive exhumation of the Menderes Massif also suggested that the early Miocene phase of extension accommodated only 50 km of horizontal extension along the Simav Detachment and that the rest of the Menderes Massif exhumation occurred after 15 Ma by a gliding of the Lyçian Nappe toward the SE in combination with exhumation of the Central Menderes Massif along the Buyuk Menderes and Alasehir detachments.

2.5. Correlations between the Cyclades and the Menderes Massif

The correlations between the Cyclades and the nearby Menderes Massif have been a matter of debate. The main discussion concerns the paleogeographic affinity of the two basements. Basement rocks can be found in the centre of the Cyclades (Naxos, Paros, Ios and Sikinos). According to Ring et al. (1999a) important differences exist between the Menderes and the Cycladic basement. These differences pertain to the age of the basement (Palaeozoic in the Cyclades and Neoproterozoic to Cambrian in the Menderes) and the absence of a basement (Palaeozoic in the Cyclades and Carboniferous in the Menderes).

The second point has been proven wrong by the discovery of HP–LT parageneses in the Permian cover of the Menderes Massif (Rimmelé et al., 2003b) showing that the entire massif or part of it had been involved in the subduction (see above). For the age of the basement this point is highly debatable. As shown by Keay and Lister (2002) U/Pb zircons ages in the Cycladic basement and metamorphosed sediments go back to the Palaeoproterozoic with a peak in the Neoproterozoic and the Phanerozoic (in the range 450–400 Ma for the pre-Carboniferous peak). An alternative view was proposed by Jolivet et al. (2004b): the basement and cover of the Menderes Massif correlate with the Gavrovo-Tripolië nappe and its basements. The relations between the two basements may have been complex in the Palaeozoic or before, but the presence of an old basement is ascertained in the Cyclades as well and both basements have a similar Mesozoic cover. The important point is thus that the two basements were amalgamated before the deposition of this cover and behaved as a single paleogeographic domain during the building of the Hellenides.

3. The main structures, their ages and their kinematics

Fig. 3 shows a detail of the geology of the western domain, centered on the Aegean Sea. The main structures that accommodated shortening during the construction of the Hellenides fold-and-thrust belt (Figs. 1A, 3) and the internal Rhodope massif were reactivated as exhumation faults and/or extensional detachments.

Finite stretching and crustal thinning appears stronger in the central Aegean than in western Turkey. Moho depth decreases from about 35 km below Central Anatolia to 30 km below the Menderes Massif (Mutlu and Karabulut, 2011) to a maximum of 25 km below the Cyclades and even much less (15 km) below the North Aegean Trough and the Cretan Sea (Bohnhoff et al., 2001; Makris, 1978; Soudoudi et al., 2006; Tirel et al., 2004b). A large part of the finite stretching was accommodated by the North Cycladic Detachment System (NCDS) and the West Cycladic Detachment System (WCDS) (Grammenou et al., 2012) and its possible lateral equivalent in Turkey, the Simav Detachment (see a discussion below). The strong bend between the NCDS and the Simav Detachment is compatible with the left-lateral N–S trending distributed transfer zone between the Aegean Sea and the Menderes Massif proposed earlier by Ring et al. (1999b) and recently re-emphasized by Sözbilir et al. (2011). This zone is also characterized by a surge of alkaline volcanism and even adakites in the Middle Miocene suggestive of a rise of hot asthenospheric mantle there (Dilek and Altunkaynak, 2009; Pe-Piper and Piper, 2006, 2007).

3.1. Active deformation, Plio-Quaternary

A very active N–S extension induces the most active seismicity in Europe (Figs. 1B, G, 2) (e.g. Corinth Rift) (Armijo et al., 1996; Bernard et al., 2006; Hatfield et al., 2000; Jackson, 1994; Lyon-Caen et al., 2004). Active extension is recorded today in the prolongation of the North Anatolian fault in the Evia and Corinth Riffs (Armijo et al., 1996). Another roughly orthogonal extension (E–W extension) is observed in the Hellenic arc, southern Peloponnese and Crete, where major normal faults control the topography and produce destructive earthquakes such as the 1986 Kalamata event (Armijo et al., 1992; Goldsworthy et al., 2002; Jackson, 1994; Lyon-Caen et al., 1988). Recent grabens cutting the Menderes Massif also testify for active extension in western Turkey at an overall rate equal to, or higher than, that of western Greece (Aktug et al., 2009; Bozkurt and Sözbilir, 2004; Eyidogan and Jackson, 1985). The SW Aegean and the central Cycladic region, very active in the Miocene, appear seismically inactive and without significant internal strain according to GPS data (Floyd et al., 2010; Le Pichon and Kreemer, 2010; McClusky et al., 2000; Reilinger et al., 2010). The GPS data in the Aegean Sea show an increase of the stations velocities with respect to Eurasia (Fig. 1C) compatible with the active extension. Different interpretations of the GPS data are available in the recent literature. All models agree on the rigid motion of the main part of Anatolia about an Eulerian pole north of the Egyptian coast. Then, radically different models seem to equally fit the GPS velocity field in the Aegean Sea: a mosaic of numerous rigid blocks (Nyst and Thatcher, 2004) as opposed to a...
continuous velocity field in a flowing fluid driven by gravitational forces between the elevated plateau in Eastern Turkey and the oceanic crust in the west (Floyd et al., 2010) or a model using fracture mechanics and a propagating strike-slip fault (Flèrit et al., 2004).

The North Anatolian Fault (NAF) appeared quite late in the Aegean framework, not before the Late Miocene, approximately at the time of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (Armijo et al., 1999; Lacassin et al., 2007; Melinte-Dobrinescu et al., 2009). It has accommodated some 80 km of dextral motion since this period during which N–S extension seems to have abandoned the centre of the Cyclades to concentrate in and around the Menderes Massif, as well as between the westernmost tip of the NAF and the Hellenic Trench, within the so-called Central Hellenic Shear Zone (Papanikolaou and Royden, 2004; Royden and Papanikolaou, 2011). The prolongation of the NAF in the Aegean domain is manifested by the North Aegean Trough, a narrow highly sub-siding transtensional domain (Lyberis and Deschamps, 1982; Lyberis and Sauvage, 1985; Papanikolaou et al., 2002). How the displacement along the NAF is actually transferred to the west and to the Hellenic Trench is an open question. The total amount of extension within the Central Hellenic Shear Zone is difficult to estimate (Corinth Rift plus Volos Rift plus normal faults along the northwestern part of the NCDS) as one also has to consider normal faults in the North Aegean Trough, in a series of splay faults of the NAF (Figs. 1G, 2) (Jackson, 1994; Roumelioti et al., 2011; Tayma et al., 1991). They appear southwest of the northeastern coasts of Thessaly and Evia and they are observed again in the vicinity of the Kephalonia Fault, west of the Corinth Rift. It is noticeable that the southwest limit of strike-slip earthquakes in the Aegean Sea coincides with the position of the NCDS, suggesting that this structure may still play a major role in the distribution of deformation at crustal scale, although some conjugate systems of recent faults in Evia also suggest that the propagating NAF has started to affect the crust south of the NCDS (Ring et al., 2007a). However, recent large strike-slip faults related to the NAF are restricted to the thinned crust north of the NCDS and they do not cross the Cyclades archipelago or the extensional domain between Evia and the Corinth Rift (Fig. 2).

3.2. Pre-Pliocene deformation

Cordilleran-type metamorphic core complexes of Oligo-Miocene age (Figs. 1A, D, 3, 5) are found in the Cyclades archipelago, in the Northern Aegean, the Rhodope Massif in northern Greece and Bulgaria, and the Menderes Massif in Western Turkey (Avigad and Garfunkel, 1989; Bonev et al., 2006; Bozkurt, 2001; Bozkurt and Oberhansli, 2001; Bozkurt and Park, 1994; Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Gautier and Brun, 1994a; Gautier et al., 1993; Gessner et al., 2001a; Hetzel et al., 1995a; Isk et al., 2003; Jolivet et al., 1994a; Kounov et al., 2004; Lips et al., 2001; Lister et al., 1984; Okay and Satir, 2000; Ring et al., 1999a; Sokoutis et al., 1993). In the Aegean Sea, an Oligo-Miocene H-L-P evolution (Altherr et al., 1979, 1982; Lips et al., 1998; Wijbrans and McDougall, 1986, 1988; Wijbrans et al., 1993), contemporaneous with extension, overprints a H-L-P stage coeval with the formation of the Eocene accretionary wedge at the expense of the Apulian continental block and the Pindos Ocean (Altherr et al., 1982; Blake et al., 1984; Bonneau, 1984; Bonneau and Kienast, 1982; Foster and Lister, 1999b, 2009; Lister and Raouzaizou, 1996; Vandenberg and Lister, 1996). An older (~80 Ma) stage of HP–LT metamorphism was postulated on the basis of dated zircons but recent investigations suggesting that the zircons are magmatic seem to rule out this Cretaceous event (Bulle et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2012). The younger Oligo-Miocene blueschists and eclogites found in the external arc, in Crete and the Peloponnese (Jolivet et al., 1996, 2010c; Seidel, 1978; Seidel et al., 1982; Theye and Seidel, 1991, 1993; Theye et al., 1992) formed and were exhumed within the same time frame as the H-L-P core complexes further north during backarc extension (Jolivet et al., 1994b, 2004a). All metamorphic units, whether HP–LT or HT–LP, were exhumed below crustal-scale detachment faults and extensional ductile shear zones, in the backarc domain as well as in the accretionary wedge (Brun and Faccenna, 2008; Jolivet et al., 2003; Ring and Layer, 2003; Ring et al., 2007b, 2010). Still older HP–LT
metamorphic rocks are found also in the Rhodope and Peri-Rhodope areas, the peak of pressure dating back to the Jurassic and Cretaceous (Burg, 2011; Kostopoulos et al., 2000; Mposkos and Kostopoulos, 2001; Nagel et al., 2011). The domain of the Oligo-Miocene extension was bounded in the northeast by the dextral Eskisehir fault with a total offset of 100 km (Okay et al., 2008). During the Oligocene, the Eskisehir Fault had a similar function as the present North Anatolian Fault by moving crustal material toward the Aegean domain.

3.3. Age of backarc extension in the Aegean region

The age of the first backarc extension is still a disputed point. In early interpretations, it was supposed to start as late as 13 Ma (Le Pichon and Angelier, 1981b) or even 5 Ma (McKenzie, 1978). Field observations however suggested earlier ages in the Early or Middle Miocene (Mercier et al., 1976). The earliest unconformable marine deposits in supra-detachment basins date from the base of the Miocene (Aquitianian 23–20 Ma), they are found in Naxos and Evia (Angelier et al., 1978; Guernet, 1971; Katsikatsos et al., 1981; Kuhlmann et al., 2004; Sanchez-Gomez et al., 2002). The base of the Miocene could then be considered as the earliest possible date for extension (Ring et al., 2010). However, the base of the Miocene is the time when the topographic surface of the crust of the Hellenides locally reached sea level during thinning, and extension must have started earlier. Another type of argument comes from P–T–t paths. The high-temperature domes of the central Cyclades (Naxos–Paros and Mykonos) are commonly associated with extension and crustal thinning (Buick, 1991; Gautier et al., 1993; Urai et al., 1990; Vanderhaeghe, 2004). The core of the Naxos dome is made of migmatites where zircons partly preserve the Palaeozoic ages of the original Cycladic basement and show younger rims, suggesting that partial melting occurred in the Early Miocene prior to 20.7 Ma (Keay et al., 2001). Thermobarometry and Rb/Sr dating further suggest that the dome formed between 20 and 15 Ma (Duchêne et al., 2006). This in fact gives a minimum age for the initiation of extension in the area, as partial melting and the formation of the dome can occur only after some thermal relaxation of the orogenic crust has happened and nothing says how long have the conditions for partial melting been present. Exhumation paths in lower temperature metamorphic rocks may give a more precise answer as they better preserve the details of the exhumation history. In the case of Mount Olympus 40Ar/39Ar ages on phengites suggest a cooling below 100–150 °C at 16–23 Ma, a date that is interpreted as the inception of the present-day system of steep brittle normal faults that bounds the massif from the Thermaikos Gulf to the east (Lacassin et al., 2007; Schermer et al., 1990). This, again, gives a minimum age for the beginning of extension. The example of Tinos island shows a two-staged exhumation path (Parra et al., 2002): from 16 to 18 kbar to ~9 kbar the Cycladic Blueschists were exhumed along a HP–LT path between ~45 Ma and 37 Ma. Between 37 and 30 Ma isobaric heating is recorded, followed by renewed exhumation along a warmer path until the middle Miocene. The first part of the exhumation path was attributed (Parra et al., 2002) to syn-orogenic exhumation and the second path to post-orogenic extension. The renewed exhumation would be a consequence of the inception of backarc extension. The isobaric heating corresponds to a period when the CBS were no longer in the subduction channel and not yet subjected to backarc extension. This interpretation sends the inception of extension back to the Early Oligocene at least. Further north, in the Rhodope massif, extension has started even earlier. The first ascertained extension is recorded in the Mesta basin where late Eocene (Bartonian, 40–37 Ma) deposits are clearly related to normal faulting (Burchfiel et al., 2003; Burg, 2011; Georgiev et al., 2010). Extension reached a maximum in the Bartonian, contemporaneously with a surge of calc–alkaline volcanism. Bartonian is also the age of the first formation of the Thrace Basin east of the Rhodope Massif (Okay et al., 2010). The main detachments of the Rhodope region such as the Tokachka detachment in the Kesebir–Kardamos dome (within the Rhodope) (Bonev et al., 2006) stopped their activity some 33 Ma ago before they were cut by high-angle normal faults (Burg, 2011; Wüthrich, 2009). The syn–tectonic Kavala and Vrondou plutons show a C/S fabric related to the normal shearing along the
Strymon and Kavala detachments; they are dated respectively at 21 and 30 Ma attesting an Oligocene and Early Miocene age of extension (Dinter and Royden, 1993; Kolocotroni and Dixon, 1991) contemporaneous with the second exhumation of the Tinos core complex below the NCDS. In the same region, thegneiss of Thasos island was exhumed below a detachment between 26 and 8 Ma (Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Sokoutis et al., 1993; Wawrzienitz and Krohe, 1998). There is thus ample evidence that extension started much earlier than the Early Miocene in the northern part of the Aegean region. When considering the age and location of magmatic products in the whole Aegean region a drastic change is observed at ~35 Ma ago. Before 35 Ma the magmatic centres were located in the Balkans and part of the Rhodope and they moved southward after 35 Ma at a constant rate of ~3 cm/yr (Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 1998). All these arguments suggest a two-staged scenario with a first period of extension in the Rhodope before 35–33 Ma without migration of the volcanic centres and a second stage after this period, with a fast migration that can be interpreted as a consequence of slab retreat. The first stage of extension is interpreted as a consequence of lithospheric delamination and heating of the crust by the uplifted asthenosphere (Burg, 2011). Backarc extension has thus begun some 30–35 Ma ago in the northern Aegean region as in other Mediterranean backarc basins (Jolivet and Facenna, 2000).

3.4. Age of thrusting in the northern Cyclades and Evia island

This question of the age of the first extension is linked to another important question on the age of the last thrusting and related HP–LT metamorphism in the northern Cyclades and further to the NW until Mount Olympus. The age of thrusts is constrained by (1) the age of the youngest sediment in the footwall unit, that gives a maximum age, and the radiometric ages of syn–kinematic minerals. From Mount Olympus to Evia several tectonic windows (Olympos, Ossa, Almyropotamos) show a metaflavysch resting on top of the footwall unit (Gavrovo–Tripolitza nappe) overthrust by the Cycladic Blueschists or their equivalent (Ambelakia unit) or the Pelagonian (Dubois and Bignot, 1979; Ferrière, 1982; Godfriaux, 1962; Godfriaux and Ricou, 1991; Guernet, 1971). This metaflavysch contains nummulithes that constrain the deposition ages from the Lower to Middle Eocene (Ypresian–Lutetian in Dubois and Bignot, 1979), at the youngest 40 Ma (and not Eocene–Oligocene as mentioned in Ring et al., 2007a). Usually, flyschs are deposited immediately before their burial below the orogen. This pleads for an Eocene age of thrusting. Radiometric ages (40Ar–39Ar) in the Cycladic Blueschists or Ambelakia unit are indeed Eocene (Schärer et al., 1990) and the reversal of shear sense started some 33 Ma ago in Evia (Ring et al., 2007a). It can be debated whether this reversal of shear sense corresponds to the beginning of exhumation as an extruding wedge (Ring et al., 2007a) or to the inception of extension. As a matter of fact, 33 Ma is approximately the age of the beginning of the Aegean extension as discussed above. So we prefer a solution where thrusting is essentially Eocene.

3.5. Exhumation below post-orogenic detachments and syn-orogenic exhumation shear zones

The terminology of detachments and exhumation shear zones is sometimes ambiguous and we wish to reemphasize here that the presence of a detachment does not necessarily mean whole crust extension. Some detachments result from extension at the scale of the lithosphere driven by extensional boundary conditions and they lead to crustal thinning. In this category the most emblematic examples are the Basin-and-Range detachments (Wernicke, 1992) or the Aegean one, such as the NCDS. Alternatively, some detachments accommodate the exhumation of deep metamorphic rocks at the top of a growing wedge or a subduction channel and they do not lead to crustal thinning (Jolivet et al., 2003; Ring and Gladney, 2010; Ring et al., 2010). The most spectacular example is the South Tibetan Detachment (Burg et al., 1984) at the top of the extruding high-temperature gneiss of the High Himalayas. We then refer to syn-orogenic detachments (Jolivet et al., 2003) or exhumation faults as opposed to post-orogenic detachments in the case of whole crust extension.

The NCDS (Denèle et al., 2011; Jolivet et al., 2010b; Lecomte et al., 2010; Mehl et al., 2005, 2007) has exhumed the Cycladic metamorphic rocks from the Eocene when it acted as an exhumation fault at the top of the Eocene subduction channel, up to the Miocene when it was reactivated as a Basin-and-Range-type detachment in a whole-crust extensional setting. Syntectonic plutons were emplaced during the activity of those detachments (Bolhar et al., 2010; Brichau et al., 2006; Brichau et al., 2007, 2008, 2010; Denèle et al., 2011; Faure and Bonneau, 1988; Faure et al., 1991; Jolivet et al., 2010b; Kumerics et al., 2005; Lee and Lister, 1992; Lister and Baldwin, 1993). A series of southwest-dipping low-angle normal faults (LANF) were recently described in the southwest Cyclades with shearing directions similar to those of the northern and central Cyclades, but with an opposite shear sense. This set of LANF is described as the Western Cycladic Detachment (WCDS) (Grasemann et al., 2012; Ighedder et al., 2011). Ring et al. (2011) have defined recently the South Cycladic Detachment System (SCDS) on Sifnos, a probable extension of the WCDS. A top-to-the-south detachment was described in los in the southern Cyclades (Foster and Lister, 1999a; Lister et al., 1984) but a recent reexamination of field relations suggests that this structure is a syn-HP thrust of Eocene age preserved at the base of the Cycladic Blueschists reactivated by top-to-the-north extensional shear afterward (Huet, 2010; Huet et al., 2009). The age of the beginning of the top-to-the-south shearing has been estimated to be ~35 Ma (this is in fact a minimum age) and the end at ~30 Ma (Foster and Lister, 2009). Top-to-the-north ductile shear stopped at ~18 Ma and the exhumation in the brittle domain continued until ~9 Ma (Thomson et al., 2009).

Further east, the Simav Detachment (SDF) (Bozkurt et al., 2011; Ersoy et al., 2010b; Isik and Tekeli, 2001; Isik et al., 2003, 2004; Ring and Collins, 2005; Ring et al., 2003; Thomson and Ring, 2006) has exhumed the northern part of the Menderes Massif, where Oligo–Miocene HT–LP metamorphism and migmatites are observed. The hanging wall of the SDF belongs to the Afyon Zone (Pourteau et al., 2010). Radiometric ages on the metamorphic and intrusive rocks (muscovite and biotite Rb–Sr, zircon and apatite fission track and (U–Th)/He ages) indicate that this fault was active from 30 to 12–8 Ma (Ring et al., 2003; Ring and Collins, 2005; Thomson and Ring, 2006; Ersoy et al., 2010b; Bozkurt et al., 2011), that is exactly the same period as the NCDS. Besides, the Simav Detachment has exhumed Oligo–Miocene high-temperature metamorphic cores just south of the suture zone, exactly like the NCDS. The exact amount of displacement along the Simav Detachment is debatable but several tens of kilometres is a likely figure. Based on geological offset markers it has been estimated to 50 km (Ring et al., 2003). The same value has been used for reconstructions of the Menderes Massif by van Hinsbergen (2010) and Pourteau et al. (2010) while 50–70 km is estimated for the NCDS (Jolivet et al., 2004a; 2010b). Its general setting, its location with respect to the Vardar suture, the finite amount of displacement, the timing of its activity and the fact that it corresponds to a reactivated crustal-scale thrust thus suggest that the Simav Detachment may be an extension of the same crustal-scale structure as the NCDS.

Rb/Sr muscovite, biotite and apatite fission-track ages indicate that the Selale detachment in the Kazdag massif was active in the Early-Middle Miocene (21 to 14 Ma) (Beccaluva and Steiner, 2005; Cavazza et al., 2009; Okay and Satir, 2000) and led to the exhumation of the amphibolite facies gneisses and amphibolites. Younger detachments formed during the Miocene within the Menderes Massif and they were recently replaced by steeper normal faults that shaped
the Menderes E-W trending grabens (Bozkurt and Sözbilir, 2004; Gessner et al., 2001a; Hetzel et al., 1995a; Lips et al., 2001). A preserved top-to-the-east syn-orogenic detachment or exhumation shear zone, active during the Eocene, is found in the Cyclades on Syros, where the CBS are topped by the Vari unit constituted of amphibolites and a Late Cretaceous granitic and gneissic basement (Huet, 2010; Maluski et al., 1987; Troet et al., 2001a, 2001b).

During an earlier period, the Ören unit that preserves HP–LT parageneses of Danian age (62–59 Ma) (Pourteau, 2011; Ring and Layer, 2003) was exhumed along a top-to-the-southeast shear zone (after reconstruction, Pourteau, 2011) affecting also the underlying marble cover of the Menderes Massif, suggesting the presence of a thick exhumation shear zone (Rimmelé et al., 2003a), between the Ören Unit and the Menderes Massif. The geometrical relations between this exhumation shear zone and a series of top-to-the-south shear zones (South Menderes Shear Zone), deeper in the structure, between the schist cover and the gneissic core of the Menderes Massif (Bozkurt and Park, 1994, 1997a; Régnier et al., 2003) are unclear. Most indications suggest that these are of Eocene age (Hetzel and Reischmann, 1996a), and thus younger than the HP–LT metamorphic event and the exhumation of the Ören unit, and that they are thrusts rather than detachments. An Eocene thrusting event would be partly contemporaneous with renewed thrusting in the Lycian Nappes further south (Collins and Robertson, 1998). The Ören Unit would then be transported by these thrusts after most of its exhumation. In this interpretation the southern limit of the Menderes Massif would not be a Cenozoic detachment. Only the northern part of the massif would have been exhumed below a detachment (the Simav Detachment) and the southern part would have been exhumed earlier (Gessner et al., 2001a; see also van Hinsbergen, 2010).

A partly preserved younger (Oligo–Miocene) syn-orogenic detachment (the Cretan Detachment) is observed at the top of the Phyllites–Quartzites (PQ) nappe in Crete and the Peloponnese (Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 1996, 2003, 2010c; van Hinsbergen and Meulenkamp, 2006; Zachariasse et al., 2011). It has been reworked in the Peloponnese by younger detachments and then by the active normal faults that shape the relief of the Parnon and Taygetos ranges (Armiyo et al., 1992; Papanikolaou and Royden, 2007; Papanikolaou et al., 2009). A still younger detachment or extensional decollement (the Zaroukla decollement) is observed south of the Corinth Rift (Jolivet et al., 2010a) that was cut some 0.6 Ma ago by the recent and active normal faults that control the geometry of the rift (Rohais et al., 2007a, 2007b).

The exhumation of the Southern Rhodope core complex (SRCC) has been first dated to late Oligocene–lower Miocene and attributed to a SW-dipping detachment (Boney et al., 2006; Dinter and Royden, 1993; Jahn-Awe et al., 2010; Sokoutsis et al., 1993; Wawrzienz and Krohe, 1998). However, evidence for middle Eocene extension in southern Bulgaria (Burchfiel et al., 2003; Burg, 2011) as well as geochronological evidence for middle to late Eocene cooling in the southern and northern borders of the SRCC indicate that exhumation started in middle Eocene and that it was accommodated by the Kerdylian detachment (Brun and Sokoutsis, 2007). Fission-tracks dating (Wüthrich, 2009) confirm this interpretation. In the southern Rhodope core complex, both thrusting (up to Paleocene) and extension (from middle Eocene to lower Miocene) display the same top-to-the-SW sense of shear. Consequently, at some particular places, it is rather difficult to make a clear distinction between structures that are related to either thrusting or extension. This is exemplified by the Nestos shear zone at the northern limit of the SRCC that is interpreted either as the base of a collapsing wedge (Nagel et al., 2011) or the complex product of syn-collision exhumation during Cretaceous–Eocene later reworked by backarc extension (Burg, 2011). A second stage of exhumation was controlled by two sets of steeply dipping normal faults trending NW–SE and NE–SW associated with the deposition of sedimentary basins (Brun and Sokoutsis, 2007). This late tectonic extensional event, which was superposed to the core complex history, started in middle Miocene as indicated by fission-track ages between 17 Ma and 8 Ma in the vicinity of basin bounding faults (Wüthrich, 2009) and by the Serravalian age of the oldest sediments (Kousparis, 1979).

3.6. Kinematic indicators

Fig. 5 shows a synthesis of available kinematic indicators on the main shear zones. We have distinguished them by their age (Eocene or pre-Eocene versus Oligo–Miocene) and tectonic context (thrust — blue, exhumation shear zones — green, extension — red).

Only a few regions undoubtedly show thrust-related stretching lineations. They are all of pre-Eocene and Eocene age. The basal contact of the Cycladic Blueschists in Ios is a syn-HP thrust with a top-to-the-south shear sense (Huet et al., 2009). Prograde top-to-the-south kinematic indicators are also found within the HP–LT nappe pile on Syros island (Philippon et al., 2011). They were preserved by progressive localisation of deformation during exhumation along the contacts between units (Keiter et al., 2004; Troet et al., 2001a). Syn-HP top-the-southwest kinematic indicators can be observed within the Ambelakia unit (equivalent to the CBS) above the Mount Olympus marbles (Godfriaux and Ricou, 1991; Schermer, 1990, 1993; Schermer et al., 1996). Further east, syn-HP kinematic indicators on Samos island are related to thrusting of the CBS onto an equivalent of the Gavrovo–Tripolitza nappe (Ring et al., 1999b) and dated Eocene (Ring and Layer, 2003).

Rosenbaum et al. (2007) have proposed the existence of a detachment on Amorgos island, fission-tracks data showing that the exhumation of the lower unit is Early Miocene (Ring et al., 2008), but a more recent study has instead shown thrusting deformation at the contact between the Cycladic Blueschists and an equivalent of the cover of the Menderes Massif, with an NW–SE direction and a possible top-to-NW vergence of thrusts (Chatzaras et al., 2011). The age of this deformation is debatable. The nappe emplacement has to be younger than the Upper Eocene flysch and some brittle deformation was still active in the Early Miocene. All regions show HP–LT conditions during this top-the-south or –southwest shearing event, at variance with the Eocene top-to-the-south shearing deformation along the contact between the Menderes core series and cover series that is associated with HT–LP metamorphic conditions (Bozkurt and Park, 1997a).

As discussed by Brun and Sokoutsis (2007) the lineation observed in the Rhodope massif is composite and relates partly to the early thrusting episode and partly to the late extensional one that is predominant and has reoriented earlier structures. There, the shearing direction during thrusting is consistent with that observed in the Mount Olympos region.

Kinematic indicators related to Eocene normal shear zones seem more E–W than those related to thrusting. E–W directions and top-to-the-East shear senses are observed on Syros and Sifnos islands, clearly related to the exhumation segment of the P–T paths and dated from the Eocene (Troet et al., 2001a, 2001b). Just north of these two islands syn-HP NE-trending stretching lineations are preserved locally below the NCDs on Tinos island (Gautier and Brun, 1994a, 1994b; Jolivet and Patriat, 1999), but the late extensional episode has been intense and the observed directions have likely been reoriented. Locally, however, ENE–WSW directions are preserved at a certain distance from the NCDs (Aubourg et al., 2000). In contrast, syn-blueschist facies lineations on the small island of Iraklia south of Naxos, possibly related to exhumation trends N–S (Behrmann and Seckel, 2007) showing that the Eocene pattern is still partly unclear.

The good preservation of HP–LT parageneses within the Ören Unit implies a fast exhumation soon after the peak of pressure (Rimmelé et al., 2003a). This would imply a Late Cretaceous or Early Paleogene age for the beginning of exhumation. However Ring et al. (2007b)
have obtained Eocene ages (42–32 Ma) from the Selçuk nappe and the Selçuk extensional shear zone with top-to-the-northeast kinematic indicators. The Ören unit metaclayites and marbles are lithologically quite different from the ophiolitic melange of the Selçuk nappe that resembles more typical Cycladic Blueschists units. Ring et al. (2007b) interpreted this Eocene deformation as an extensional shearing at the top of an extruding wedge, contemporaneous of thrusting at the base of the wedge. This is in good agreement with the interpretation of the exhumation of the Cycladic Blueschists between a basal thrust (los) and a detachment (Vari) at the top (Huet et al., 2009; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 2003).

The Oligocene–Miocene period shows a simpler pattern. Syn-exhumation kinematic indicators, related to the Cretan Detachment, are found within the PQ nappe in Crete and the Peloponese (Doutos et al., 2000; Jolivet et al., 1996, 2010c; Papnikolaoiu and Vassilakis, 2010; Yypolias and Doutos, 2000). Once the Miocene clockwise rotation of the Peloponese (Kissel and Laaj, 1988; Kissel et al., 2002; van Hinsbergen et al., 2005b) has been substracted, all directions are N–S and the shear senses are top-to-the-north in Crete and biverteg in the Peloponese. From the Cyclades to the Menderes and the Rhodope, all post-orogenic directions are N–S or NE–SW both in the ductile and brittle fields (Mehli et al., 2005). Some of these stretching lineations have been later rotated. Palaeomagnetic data (Morris and Anderson, 1996) show that, once the late rotation has been restored, the shearing direction is almost everywhere NE. The case of Mykonos has been reconsidered recently and the N60°E average shearing direction correspond to the clockwise rotation of an initially N30°E stretching lineation during exhumation, preserved only in the vicinity of the NCDS (Denele et al., 2011). Kinematic indicators are everywhere top-to-the-SW in the Rhodope, biverteg in the Menderes Massif with a NE–SW direction after corrections of palaeomagnetic rotations (van Hinsbergen, 2010), top-to-the-southwest in the western Cyclades and top-to-the-north or -northeast in the northern and central Cyclades. The transition between the top-south and top-north domains is unclear. Our recent observations in the islands of Folegandros and Sikinos reveal consistent top-north kinematic indicators in the CBS, yet undated, but with a clear link with the pervasive retrogression of the HP parageneses in the greenschists facies, suggesting an Oligo-Miocene age by comparison with the nearby island of Ios (Huet et al., 2009). The relations between the NCDS and other top-to-the-north detachments and the contemporaneous WCDS are not clear. Solving this question is however important to better constrain the kinematics of domes and detachments and the pattern of strain at the scale of the crust (Grasemann et al., 2012).

4. Mantle structures

Tomographic studies have unravelled the geometry of the Hellenic slab (Spakman and Wortel, 2004; Spakman et al., 1988; Van Hinsbergen et al., 2010; Wortel and Spakman, 1992, 2000). Large-scale studies show a single 1500 km long slab penetrating the lower mantle and a major lateral tear below western Turkey, described as a STEP-fault (Subduction-Transform Edge Propagator) by Govers and Wortel (2005) as suggested by tomographic models (de Boorder et al., 1998; van Hinsbergen et al., 2010). The same authors suggest the presence of an additional STEP-fault below the Kephalaon fault and Corinth Rift in the transitional domain between oceanic and continental subduction. We show images from another P-wave tomographic model (Facenna et al., 2003; Piromallo and Morelli, 2003) with a resolution comparable to that of the Utrecht team (Spakman et al., 1988), illustrating basically the same geometry (Figs. 1E, 6). Results from teleseismic scattered waves along a 2-D transect (Suckale et al., 2009) are consistent with the intuition of Govers and Wortel (2005) of a tear below the Kephalaon Fault. This geometry is further confirmed by Gesret et al. (2011) who analysed teleseismic converted waves and showed that the top of the slab is offset horizontally by some 100 km on either sides of the supposed tear. Two recent studies provide more detailed images of the slab geometry below Turkey and the Aegean Sea, Biryl et al. (2011) based on P-waves for the whole upper mantle and Salaün et al. (2012) for the 80–300 km depth range based on surface waves: the basic features are preserved but the torn slab below Western Turkey appears to be connected at depth with the Hellenic slab below northern Greece, thus giving an image partly different from a classical STEP-Fault. The two tears, east and west of the Aegean domain thus seem to be confirmed by recent tomographic studies.

Seismic anisotropy investigations show a very simple pattern for SKS waves that sample mainly the fabric of the asthenosphere (Fig. 1F). Seismic anisotropy compared to crustal deformation (Fig. 7) is now widely used to discuss crust/mantle coupling/decoupling processes (Barruol and Granet, 2002; Buonlamento et al., 2008; Jolivet et al., 2009; Little et al., 2002; Lucente et al., 2006). Splitting measurements of teleseismic shear SKS shear waves give the azimuth of the fast wave polarization and the delay time between fast and slow waves. The direction of fast polarization is considered a proxy for the orientation of mantle deformation. The most significant contribution to anisotropy for SKS waves is due to the upper mantle (mostly the asthenosphere) and only ~10% to the crust (Savage, 1999; Silver, 1996). Comparison between the fast polarization direction of split SKS shear waves splittings and stretching directions measured in exhumed middle-lower crust metamorphic core complexes in the Mediterranean backarc domains suggests that anisotropy data, directly related to the crystallographic fabric, indeed show the horizontal projection of the long axis of the strain ellipsoid in the mantle, that may correspond to flow direction in case of a simple flow pattern (Jolivet et al., 2009).

After the pioneer work of Hatzfeld et al. (2001) that showed a NE–SW fast direction in the mantle below the northern Aegean Sea, recent works (Evangelidis et al., 2011; Paul et al., 2010) show a very simple pattern (Figs. 1F and 7) that suggests asthenospheric flow toward the Hellenic trench below the backarc region, including the Aegean Sea and the Anatolian plate. Fast directions trend NE–SW below most of Anatolia and they rotate progressively in Anatolia and abruptly in the Aegean Sea, to become more NW–SE below the Hellenides with a delay decrease from the Western Aegean Sea to the Hellenides. Fast directions strike parallel to the subduction zone in the vicinity of the Hellenic Trench and below the continental Hellenides. It is noticeable that the NAF does not deflect the direction of the SKS anisotropy and thus of the asthenospheric flow. Kreamer et al. (2004) and Jolivet et al. (2009) have shown that the direction of mantle flow given by SKS wave splitting is parallel to the direction of crustal stretching shown by field studies, not only in the Aegean MCC but also in the Tyrrenhenian and Alboran ones, suggesting that crustal deformation in the backarc region is coupled to the underlying mantle flow through slab and trench retreat. Moreover, the variation of delay times can be correlated with the intensity of finite stretching as well as with large-scale rotations in the upper crust like in the western Aegean region (Brun and Sokoutis, 2010). According to Endrun et al. (2011) Rayleigh waves azimuthal anisotropy shows a more complex fabric within the Aegean lithosphere than in the asthenosphere (Fig. 7): the lithospheric mantle displays a strong anisotropy in the Northern Aegean with a NE–S direction north of it and a much weaker anisotropy below the Cyclades and the volcanic arc, while the lower continental crust shows a NE–SW fast direction roughly parallel to the stretching directions of the MCC south of the North Aegean Trough and a N–S direction north of it.

Pn-waves sample the uppermost lithospheric mantle, just below the Moho discontinuity. The seismic anisotropy they reveal (Mutlu and Karabulut, 2011) shows a trend similar to that of SKS waves below the Aegean Sea, Southwestern Turkey or the Hellenic chain. Below the Cyclades and the Cretan Sea the trend is similar for the uppermost mantle and the lower crust, but different in the lithospheric mantle (Rayleigh waves) suggesting that the lower crust and uppermost mantle are partly coupled, and decoupled from the rest of the lithospheric mantle.
5. Geodynamic evolution

5.1. Insights from the magmatism

The chemical evolution of arc magmatism is strongly controlled by the southward migration of the subduction zone and retreat of the slab as shown by the age of volcanic products (Fytikas et al., 1984; Jolivet and Brun, 2010). After the formation of I-type plutons from Eocene to middle Miocene age that originate from mantle-derived magmas with a strong influence of crustal melts and variable sources, Pe-Piper and Piper (2006, 2007) distinguish five major groups of volcanic products (Fig. 8). (1) Arc calc-alkaline volcanism with minor tholeiitic component characterise the Aegean arc and some volcanic rocks in Thrace during the Plio-Quaternary. In the recent volcanic arc, greater contributions of the lithospheric mantle and the crust are observed in the western part than in the central and eastern part. (2) Shoshonitic volcanic products seem to derive from a subcontinental lithosphere enriched by past subducted material. They are found in the northern Aegean and western Anatolia in the Early and Middle Miocene. The most likely interpretation relates them to lithospheric mantle delamination. (3) Backarc basalt, rhyolite and trachyte volcanic rocks, showing many characteristics of shoshonites, are present in small volumes and their source is enriched in subcontinental lithosphere, and they are spatially and genetically associated with subalkaline rocks. Backarc basalts form essentially in the eastern Aegean and western Anatolia from the Middle Miocene to the Quaternary while trachytic rocks also form in the western Aegean and western Rhodope from the Late Miocene. (4) Some high-Mg rocks in Evia, Skyros and Chios show affinities with adakites. The geographic position of shoshonites, adakites and alkali-basalts also seem to fit a delamination event in the Middle Miocene. Backarc basalts are interpreted as the result of local thermally induced mantle melting during crustal thinning in the backarc region of a delaminated slab. (5) Alkali volcanism appears in Western Anatolia in the Plio-Quaternary. Dilek and Altunkaynak (2009) and Ersoy et al. (2010a) describe the magmatic evolution of western Turkey as follows. The first magmatic episode corresponds to Eocene granitoids and related volcanic products north and south of the Izmir–Ankara suture zone. This arc extends westward in the Rhodope and the Balkan. It corresponds to the late evolution of the Late Cretaceous subduction volcanic arc that developed above the subduction of the Vardar Ocean (Marchev et al., 2008).
et al., 2005; von Quadt et al., 2005). The second episode shows a wide distribution of Oligo-Miocene volcanic and plutonic rocks with medium to high-K calc-alkaline compositions, associated with an increasing crustal component through time. It is interpreted as a consequence of post-collision slab break-off that brought the heat to melt the mantle lithosphere below the suture zone, or as an episode of lithospheric delamination (Burg, 2011). The next episode is made of alkaline bimodal volcanism in the Middle Miocene with an important contribution of asthenospheric mantle-derived melts during partial delamination of the lithospheric mantle during rollback. From ~12 Ma started the last episode with uncontaminated highly alkaline volcanic rocks derived from an asthenospheric mantle melt. The southward migration of the N–S trending volcanism of western Turkey from 21 to 4 Ma is further interpreted as a consequence of the progressive formation of the STEP-Fault postulated on the basis of tomographic models by Govers and Wortel (2005) and de Boorder et al. (1998).

In both descriptions the importance of lithospheric mantle delamination and the influence of asthenospheric melts are emphasized. With the addition of the eastern Turkey alkalic to peralkalic volcanism related to lithospheric delamination below the collision zone (Gögüs and Pysklywec, 2008a, 2008b; Gögüs et al., 2011; Sengör et al., 2008) it shows that this process was common in the mantle below the Anatolia–Aegean region throughout the Cenozoic.

5.2. Insights from metamorphism

Metamorphic soles of obducted ophiolite in the Hellenides and the Anatolides–Taurides display different ages. While in Greece, south of the Vardar suture, all ages are Late Jurassic, most of them are late Cretaceous (95–90 Ma) in Turkey atop the Anatolides–Taurides (see a review by Parlak and Delaloye, 1999) with only one exception northeast of Ankara that shows a late Jurassic age interpreted as an intra-oceanic subduction (Celik et al., 2011). The
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obduction event is indeed Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous in the Hellenides and further north in the Dinarides (Schmid et al., 2008) and Late Cretaceous in Turkey (Okay et al., 2001), but also in the Vardar suture zone. Late Cretaceous amphibolites can be found also in the Cyclades, as for instance in Tinos island (Katzir et al., 1996; Maluski et al., 1987) suggesting that the late Cretaceous suture zone is continuous below the Aegean Sea from the Vardar to the Izmir–Ankara suture.

Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous metamorphic ages (170–120 Ma) were obtained from UHP metamorphic rocks in the Rhodope massif and their geodynamic significance is not ascertained (Burg, 2011). This metamorphic event is contemporaneous with a period (155–130 Ma) of contraction, metamorphism and cooling in the Strandja orogenic belt, to the NE of the Rhodope (Sunal et al., 2011). High-pressure conditions are recorded in the Rhodope in the early Cretaceous (130–115 Ma) before a first extensional episode contemporaneous with amphibolite-facies and greenschist metamorphism from 110 to 65 Ma. The Rhodope orogen was then eroded and the first sediments deposited some 60 Ma ago. Significant orogenic events are then delayed until the Eocene when the Cycladic Blueschists were formed further to the south (see a synthesis in Burg, 2011).

Less disturbed by late extension, the Anatolide–Tauride belt reveals a clearer distribution of sutures zones and HP–LT metamorphic belts than the Cyclades. Late Cretaceous blueschists and eclogites are also found further north in the Biga peninsula and the Dardanelles Strait (Okay et al., 2001; Topuz et al., 2008). In Greece, Late Cretaceous ages are also found in HP–LT metamorphics from the Pelagonian domain (Lips et al., 1998). There is thus a large late Cretaceous belt of HP–LT metamorphic rocks in the vicinity of the Vardar–Izmir–Ankara suture zone that pleads for continuous accretion south of the Rhodope during this period.

Aegean HP–LT metamorphic units, the Eocene Cycladic Blueschists or the Oligocene and Early Miocene Phyllite–Quartzite Nappe, were exhumed during slab retreat. Most of the deformation seen today in the field within these units is related to exhumation and not to burial. The major part of exhumation was achieved within the contact between the two plates as an extrusion wedge within the subduction channel (Brun and Facenna, 2008; Huet et al., 2009; Jolivet and Brun, 2010; Jolivet et al., 2003; Ring et al., 2010). Exhumation was accommodated by contemporaneous thrust shear zones at the bottom of the wedge and extensional shear zones and normal faults at the top of the wedge. The latter contacts are preserved today as syn-orogenic detachment below the Upper Cycladic Unit on Syros or the Gavrovo-Tripolitza nappe in Crete and the Peloponnese. Very little is usually left of the prograde deformation history because exhumation involves intense shearing. Some prograde top-South kinematic indicators have been recently described within the eclogite–blueschist Kastri unit on Syros island (Philippon et al., 2011) but in most cases only retrograde features can be observed and analysed on a scale useful to draw conclusions in terms of tectonic processes (Trotet et al., 2001a). P–T–time paths also show most of the time only the retrograde episode (Avigad, 1998; Avigad and Garfunkel, 1991; Avigad et al., 1992, 1997; Trotet et al., 2001a). The analysis of such P–T–time paths in the Cyclades and the Phyllite–Quartzite Nappe shows that the syn- orogenic exhumation (before the whole crust thinning) is achieved along cold paths, implying an evolution within the subduction channel. The case of the Phyllite–Quartzite Nappe shows an evolution along strike from colder retrograde paths in the east (east and central Crete) toward warmer paths in the west (Peloponneso) (Jolivet et al., 2010c; Trotet et al., 2006). This evolution can be associated with an evolution of kinematic boundary conditions from the Cretan transect where the channel is opened by slab retreat and P–T paths are colder to the northern Peloponnesian one.

Fig. 8. The different types of magmatic products in the Aegean region. Redrawn after Pe-Piper and Piper (2007).
6. Tectonic synthesis, progressive localisation of deformation

6.1. The Rhodope Massif, the internal Hellenides and the Cyclades

North of the Vardar suture zone, a protracted period of accretion, associated with HP–LT or UHP–LT metamorphism, is recorded from the Early Cretaceous to the Late Cretaceous. In the Rhodope Massif, the major Nestos shear zone that juxtaposes the Rhodope terrane of mixed continental and oceanic origin with HP and UHP overprint on top of the Pangaoïn–Pirin complex, a Variscan basement and its cover, has been later cut by the Kerdylion Detachment and then by the Strymon Detachment (Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Dinter and Royden, 1993; Sokoutis et al., 1993) and later steeply-dipping normal faults (Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Burg, 2011; Wüthrich, 2009). The directions of thrusting and extension are similar, top-to-the-southwest. From the Cretaceous to ~40 Ma exhumation and the formation of detachments were related to syn-orogenic processes. From 40 Ma to Late Eocene–Early Oligocene (35–32 Ma) extension was associated with a surge of volcanic activity and no migration of volcanic centres, suggesting that the subduction zone was stationary and that extension was a consequence of lithospheric delamination, while backarc extension takes over in the Oligocene and Miocene (Burg, 2011; Wüthrich, 2009).

The internal zones of the Hellenides are bounded to the northeast by the crustal-scale NCDS that runs from offshore Mount Olympos to the northern Cyclades and further east probably in the Simav Detachment. The NCDS is originally the thrust contact between the Pelagonian domain, together with the Vardar ophiolite, and the underlying Cycladic Blueschists, that are derived from the Pindos Ocean and its margins. The NCDS has been active as a post-orogenic detachment since ~32 Ma (Jolivet et al., 2010b) and the last increments of motion are as young as 8 Ma (Brixau et al., 2008, 2010; Jolivet et al., 2010b; Ring et al., 2010). Its history however started earlier, during the Eocene, when it accommodated the syn-orogenic exhumation of the HP–LT CBS. It was active contemporaneously with the basal thrust of the CBS visible on the islands of los and Sikinos and on top of the Menderes Massif (Huet et al., 2009). Radiometric dating shows that the WCDS and the SCDS were active throughout the Miocene (Grasemann et al., 2012; Iglseder et al., 2011; Ring et al., 2011). Motion along the WCDS may have started a little later than the NCDS, some 25 Ma ago but its activity ended approximately at the same time, some 8 Ma ago.

6.2. The Hellenic arc

In the external zones the HP–LT metamorphic units, Plattenkalk (PK) and Phyllite–Quartzite (PQ) Nappes (Brix et al., 2002; Seidel, 1978; Seidel et al., 1982; Theye and Seidel, 1991, 1993), have been exhumed below the Cretan syn-orogenic Detachment (Jolivet et al., 1996, 2003) that runs from the Northern Peloponnese to the east of Crete, between the PQ nappe and the overlying Gavrovo-Tripolitza (GT) nappe that shows little metamorphic overprint. The peak of pressure, thus of burial, in the PK and PQ nappes is dated between 25 and 16 Ma (Jolivet et al., 2010c) and the detachment is associated with the formation of a supra detachment basin of Middle Miocene age (van Hinsbergen and Meulenkamp, 2006; Zachariasse et al., 2011). It is not entirely clear how much the same structure has been reactivated as a post-orogenic detachment in Crete but in the Peloponnese field evidence show a more recent reactivation on the eastern margin of the Parnon Range with shallow-dipping normal faults that extend north of the Gulf of Corinth in the Middle to the Late Miocene Itea–Amfissa detachment (Papanikolaou and Royden, 2007; Papanikolaou et al., 2009). From the Pliocene onward, extensional deformation was taken up by the steep normal faults that bound the Parnon and Taygetos ranges in the Peloponnese or other N–S or NE–SW-trending in Crete.

6.3. The Corinth Rift

The Corinth Rift also shows a polyphased evolution. The most recent stage started some 600–700 ka ago when the steep Hellenic or Xyloastro normal faults uplifted the southern margin of the rift (Armijo et al., 1996; Ford et al., 2007; Rohais et al., 2007b). These faults cut across shallow normal fault detachment systems in both cases with comparable depths of localisation led us to prefer the first possibility, i.e. a future metamorphic core complex (Jolivet, 2001; Jolivet et al., 2010a) or as a different feature due to the propagation of the NAF (Armijo et al., 1999; Taylor et al., 2011). The similarity of the crustal-scale kinematics with north-dipping detachments in both cases with comparable depths of localisation led us to prefer the first possibility, i.e. a future metamorphic core complex (Jolivet, 2001; Jolivet et al., 2010a). However a major change occurred at 600–700 ka when the shallow-dipping detachment was cut by the now active steeply-dipping normal faults that shape the relief of the southern margin of the gulf (Rohais et al., 2007b).

6.4. Western Turkey

Western Turkey shows extensional events with a similar timing as the Aegean Sea and continental Greece. Subduction metamorphism in the Tavşanli Zone (88–80 Ma) and in the Ören–Afyon Zone (65–60 Ma) and latest Cretaceous obduction of the ophiolite over the Anatolide–Tauride block were followed by collision with the Pontides in the Early Eocene and ensuing Eocene crustal thickening episode. Extension started in the Late Oligocene–Early Miocene (ca. 30 Ma), both south of the suture in the Simav detachment and north of the suture in the Kazdag Massif and it lasted until the Middle Miocene (ca. 14–12 Ma). A series of younger detachments (Alasehir–Gediz and Buyuk Menderes detachments) then formed within the Menderes Massif (Bozkurt and Oberhänsli, 2001; Bozkurt and Sözbilir, 2004; Lips et al., 2001) and were cut at the turn of the Pliocene by the active normal faults that shape the Menders E–W trending grabens (Bozkurt and Sözbilir, 2004; Emre and Sözbilir, 1997; Gessner et al., 2001a; Hetzel et al., 1995a; Lips et al., 2001; Seyitoglu and Scott, 1991; Yilmaz et al., 2000).

In summary: after a 20 Myr long period of extension distributed over most of the Aegean domain, strain has localised from the Pliocene onward along the NAF and within two separate domains, in the Central Hellenic shear zone and in western Turkey. The direction of stretching and the velocity of extension have not changed significantly despite a different degree of localisation. In the earliest stages extension was accommodated by several crustal-scale detachments that reworked pre-existing shallow-dipping discontinuities in the crust, such as former thrusts. A first localisation event consisted in a faster and more intense extension in the Aegean than in Turkey with a left-lateral accommodation zone in-between in the Middle Miocene. A second event was related to the localisation of the NAF and, progressively, its south-westward extension in the Central
Hellenic Shear Zone, while extension continued very actively in western Turkey.

7. Tectonic heritage and the dynamics of extension

The localisation and the evolution of structures accommodating post-orogenic extension is strongly influenced by pre-existing structures (see also Ring et al., 2010). The best example is the NCDS that corresponds to the reactivation of the Vardar suture zone and partly to the shear zone that exhumed the Cycladic Blueschists during the Eocene. The CBS that were buried below the Pelagonian domain were later exhumed between a detachment, or exhumation shear zone, at the top, and a thrust at the base with a mechanism that can be described as an extrusion wedge or a subduction channel. The NCDS later reactivated the exhumation shear zone. Further south, the Cretan Detachment reactivated the earlier thrust that buried the PQ nappe down to the blueschist facies conditions. Recent detachments observed in the Peloponnese also rework earlier detachments or exhumation shear zones on the eastern side of the Parnon range and south of the Corinth Rift.

The mechanical layering inherited from earlier episodes thus seems to exert a significant control on the localisation of extension and its evolution through time. This problem has been explored by means of thermo-mechanical numerical and analogue modelling (Huet et al., 2011a, 2011b; Le Pourhiet et al., 2004; Lecomte et al., 2011, 2012; Mattioni et al., 2006). It has been shown that the use of an inverted rheological stratification inherited from the nappe stacking stage with a mafic upper crust (Upper Cycladic Nappe) and a softer felsic lower crust allows the formation of MCCs with a Moho temperature much lower than classically admitted. Then, using dipping heterogeneities, also inherited from the nappe stacking episode, leads to a more asymmetric extension with several detachments all dipping in the same direction much like a large part of the Cyclades. The top-to-the-south detachments in the western Cyclades remain however unexplained by these models. Using folded contacts, both north- and south-dips could lead to a more symmetrical system or, alternatively, the strain pattern at crustal-scale becomes more asymmetrical when the amount of finite stretching increases toward the centre of the Cyclades.

8. Crustal vs mantle deformation

In most published numerical models the sub-lithospheric mantle behaves passively and the strain regime at the scale of the lithosphere is coaxial or, when some asymmetric asthenospheric flux is present, the consequence on the kinematics of crustal deformation are not studied in detail (Gögüs and Pysklywec, 2008a). However, a reactivating subduction implies a flow of the asthenospheric mantle toward the trench (Funicelli et al., 2006) and this flow may interact with the deformation of the crust (Fig. 9). Getting an independent estimate of the flow pattern below the deforming Aegean lithosphere is thus an important goal. Seismic tomographic models show discontinuous slab segments along strike, suggesting a succession of tears, or gravitationally induced tensional instabilities, that have reduced its width and thus the volume of mantle impacted by the retreat. The most obvious tear has been seen in tomographic models below the transition between Anatolia and the Aegean. West of the tear the Hellenic slab dips steeper to the North than its equivalent below Turkey and an asthenospheric window has formed in between. The inception of the STEP-Fault is difficult to date precisely, but the age of the related volcanism puts it in the Middle Miocene. Although recent studies with Rayleigh waves tomography have confirmed the existence of a tear (Salaün et al., 2012), its geometry appears slightly different from a STEP-Fault as the slab seems still connected at depth. A more recent tear has been imaged below the region of the Corinthis Rift and the Kephalonia Fault (Suckale et al., 2009) and Royden and Papanikolau (2011) have proposed that it allowed the propagation of the Central Hellenic Shear Zone toward the SW until it made its junction with the Hellenic subduction zone. The age of this more recent tear can only be guessed by reference to this propagation model.

However, the Corinth Rift in its present configuration with steep normal faults is younger than 1 Ma but extension has been active in a broader zone for at least 3–4 Ma. Pliocene and Quaternary trachytic magmatic centres identified in the area of the Volos Gulf (Euboecos) and on Psathoura island have been interpreted as possibly related to the propagation of the North Anatolian Fault (Pe-Piper and Piper, 2007). Geodynamic modelling suggests an earlier initiation of the Kephalonia Fault, some 6–8 Ma ago (Royden and Papanikolau, 2011). The third slab tear is located below the Bittis collision zone (Faccenna et al., 2006). Tomographic models show that the slab is detached there and that the continental lithosphere is thin and hot (Al-Lazki et al., 2004; Faccenna et al., 2006; Piramollo and Morelli, 2003). Volcanism suggesting an asthenospheric window and slab delamination is recognized in the Late Miocene below eastern Turkey (Sengor et al., 2008). This would place the tear rather late, after the first one below western Turkey and before the third one below the northern Peloponnese. Faccenna et al. (2006) suggested that it may have induced the inception of the NAF. A recent investigation of marine sediments deposited on the central Anatolian plateau suggests that the slab detachment and consequent uplift (some 2 km) occurred mostly after 8 Ma (Cosentino et al., 2012).

Beside slab tears that perturbed the flow below the backarc region, gravitational forces can have induced a westward motion of the Anatolian plate along a gradient of dynamic topography. The presence of a hot and shallow asthenosphere below eastern Turkey and the dense Hellenic slab further west may be the cause of a westward flow of asthenosphere below the Anatolian plate (Faccenna and Becker, 2010; Le Pichon and Kreemer, 2010). However, it is noteworthy that the gravity push due to the dynamic topography is difficult to quantify without implementing free-surface boundary condition and rheologically realistic lithosphere in 3D convection models.

One additional possible driving force is transmitted to the Anatolian plate from the northward-moving Arabian plate across the collision zone (Armijo et al., 1999; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2003). This mechanism is analogous to the extrusion process postulated for eastern Asia as a consequence of the collision with India (Tapponnier et al., 1982; 1986). Large-scale intracontinental strike-slip faults form as a consequence of indentation and accommodate the expulsion of rigid blocks out of the collision zone, toward free boundaries. Extrusion is usually understood as a lithospheric-scale process involving transcontinental strike-slip faults that cut down to the base of the lithosphere. The observed rigidity of the motion of the main part of Anatolia seems to fit this model in a first approach while the increase of velocity in the Aegean domain calls for a significant internal deformation that departs from a localised deformation along the northern boundary.

GPS measurements provide instantaneous displacements in the upper crust but, because of possible elastic effects due to seismic loading, it does not always give an image of the long-term (geological) flow pattern. However, a recent preliminary study of long-term crustal deformation using fault traces, fault offset rates, geodetic velocities and principal stress azimuths suggests that the Hellenic subduction is creeping and that the GPS data reflect the long-term flow pattern (Howe and Bird, 2010). A compilation of GPS data over a large region encompassing the Aegean region and the Middle East shows a large counter-clockwise circular flow with a radius of ~1200 km interpreted as the consequence of a similar asthenospheric flow about the eastern edge of the African slab, due to slab roll-back (Le Pichon and Kreemer, 2010). SKS data below Anatolia however do not fit this pattern and the flow direction appears NE–SW under most of the Aegean and Anatolia, oblique on the E–W flow direction in the crust and on the trace of the NAF (Sandvol et al., 2003).
Instantaneous upper crustal displacements (GPS), finite crustal stretching within MCCs and asthenospheric fabric (SKS) seem parallel only in the southern Aegean and in the Cyclades where the crust has been most attenuated. NE–SW fast directions are recorded as far as below the Cretan Sea suggesting that the asthenospheric flow due to slab retreat is active until the southernmost tip of the mantle wedge. The recent motion of rigid Anatolia, guided by the NAF, thus seems partly decoupled from the underlying asthenospheric flow.

Rayleigh wave anisotropy reveals the fabric of the lithospheric mantle and lower crust (Endrun et al., 2011) (Fig. 7). The mantle lithosphere shows a N–S fabric in the Northern Aegean roughly parallel to the asthenospheric one and to the strike of active extension in the crust, while the southern Aegean is characterised by a weak fabric with short delays matching the lack of intense recent deformation. The lower crust shows a different pattern with a strong azimuthal anisotropy up to 3.5%, parallel to the direction of stretching recorded in the Miocene MCCs of the Northern Cyclades. This pattern is interpreted as a fossilized anisotropy dating back to the Miocene (Endrun et al., 2011). Why then would the lithospheric mantle record a recent flow while the lower crust, presumably weaker, records only a fossil one?

A different interpretation can be explored making the hypothesis that the lithosphere is thin below the central and southern Aegean in the hot backarc region leading to a weak lower crust (Figs. 9 and 10). The lithospheric mantle would show a fabric compatible with the N–S asthenospheric and crustal flows in the north and no significant fabric where it is thin and hot in the south. One can assume that the lithospheric mantle is too thin to induce enough seismic delays for SKS and Rayleigh waves. The upper crust has fossilized the Oligo-Miocene flow directions within the MCCs, the earliest of which having rotated clockwise while the lower crust and the uppermost mantle (Pn waves), assumed to be weak, would flow parallel to the present motion of Anatolia, parallel to the NAF. The asthenospheric flow would have remained the same from the Miocene onward and only the weak lower crust would show the recent kinematics, implying a decoupling between the asthenospheric flow and the upper crust, at the latest, after the NAF had made its junction with the Hellenic subduction zone some 5–6 Ma ago.

To summarize: SKS anisotropy suggests that the asthenospheric flow due to the retreat of the Hellenic slab is NE–SW below most of Anatolia and it rotates to a more northerly direction first progressively in Anatolia then much more abruptly in the western Aegean. The crust may have followed the same flow directions with a maximum of stretching in the Aegean region, where retreat is maximum, until 5–6 Ma. Then, the NAF made its junction with the subduction zone across the Central Hellenic Shear Zone and the crust started to move parallel to the NAF and the Central Hellenic Shear Zone. This model with a strong decoupling between the crust and the mantle explains why the asthenospheric flow does not “see” the North Anatolian Fault that is limited to the crust (in the absence of a thick lithospheric mantle).

**9. Geodynamic evolution**

In the following we propose a series of reconstructions (Figs. 10 and 11) showing the main tectonic and magmatic events in relation to the dynamics of underlying portions of slabs and mantle flow. The surface kinematics are based upon the reconstructions of Jolivet...
Late Cretaceous as in Jolivet et al. (2003), Van Hinsbergen et al. (2003) and we assume a single subduction zone active since the before the recent slab tear below the Corinth Rift and after. Fig. 10. Two tentative 3D reconstructions and flow directions in the mantle (blue arrows) and upper (red arrows) and lower (orange arrows) crusts of the Aegean region before the recent slab tear below the Corinth Rift and after.

et al. (2003) and we assume a single subduction zone active since the Late Cretaceous as in Jolivet et al. (2003), Van Hinsbergen et al. (2005a), Brun and Faccenna (2008) and Jolivet and Brun (2010). This subduction zone has consumed alternatively oceanic and continental lithospheric mantle, successively the Vardar Ocean, the Pelagonian continent, the Pindos Ocean, the Apulian platform and finally the eastern Mediterranean ocean. The crustal portions of those domains were progressively accreted to build the Hellenic chain and the Mediterranean Ridge accretionary complex. As our topic concerns the interactions between crustal tectonics and mantle flow during slab retreat and backarc extension, we start our reconstructions at ca. 35 Ma. On each map we show the approximate position of the slab at a depth of ~150 km as a thick light blue line and the position of magmatic centres around that time period. Symbols represent different types of magmatism after the synthetic work of Pe-Piper and Piper (2006, 2007).

9.2. 23 Ma, Early Miocene (Aquitanian)

Most of the Apulian lithosphere has now subducted and an accretionary wedge is developing at the expense of the external units, Gavrovo-Tripolitza, Plattenkalk and Phyllite-Quartzites. HP–LT units are exhuming within the subduction channel close to the subduction zone below the Cretan Detachment while HT metamorphic core complexes develop in the backarc region (Cyclades, Kazdag and northern Menderes Massifs). Slab retreat is underway and the magmatic arc has started to migrate toward the south. Asthenospheric flow is everywhere oriented toward the south or south–southwest. The exhumation of the Rhodope metamorphic core complex is still active and the NCDS (and its extension in the Simav detachment) accommodates most of the exhumation in the Cyclades and northern Menderes. A conjugate S-dipping detachment is also active in the southwestern part of the Cyclades, the West Cycladic Detachment. The subduction and migration of a single slab below the accretionary wedge induce delamination of the lower crust and mantle and all tectonic units are progressively unrooted. The replacement of the lithospheric mantle by a hot asthenosphere flowing southward induces a warmer regime in the crust and leads to the formation of HT metamorphic domes and partial melting of the lower crust. High-K calc-alkaline volcanic rocks are emplaced and the crustal component increases in the magmas extracted from the mantle. Crustal thickening continues in the Hellenic chain.

9.3. 15 Ma, Middle Miocene (Langhian)

This stage records the last episode of exhumation of HP–LT metamorphic rocks in Crete and the Peloponnesse below the Cretan Detachment. The Simav and Selale detachments in the northern Menderes and Kazdag massifs, respectively, are coming to the end of their activity. The NCDS is active in the Cyclades while thrusting proceeds in the external Hellenides. While slab retreat proceeds, the slab acquires a stronger curvature and a lateral tear forms below the western margin of Anatolia inducing an easier retreat of the western branch of the slab and a surge of alkaline volcanism. This slab tear allows the rotation of the western branch of the Hellenic belt and the Aegean. A second tear forms, probably slightly later below the Bitlis suture zone in the eastern Anatolian block. Oceanic crust of the eastern Mediterranean now sinks in the asthenosphere south of Crete.

9.4. 10 Ma, Late Miocene (Tortonian)

The faster migration of the western branch of the slab in the asthenosphere decouples the Cyclades from the Menderes Massif and the NCDS from the Simav Detachment. Large displacements along the NCDS are still recorded in the central Cyclades MCC, like in Mykonos and Naxos, contemporaneously with the intrusion of I-type plutons. Crustal thinning has now reached the Cretan Sea and the Central Hellenic Shear Zone starts to form as a western prolongation of the North Anatolian Fault above a hot asthenospheric flow. The Mediterranean Ridge accretionary prism progressively develops south of Crete at the expense of sediments carried by the subducting eastern Mediterranean oceanic crust.

9.5. 5 Ma, Pliocene (Zanclean) to Present

While the slab continues its southward retreat, inducing a southward asthenospheric flow, a third tear forms within slab below the present Corinth Rift. The Aegean portion of the retreating slab is now decoupled from the main branch to the west and migrates southward or southsouthwest. This new situation facilitates the junction between the North Anatolian Fault and the Hellenic Trench through the Central Hellenic Shear Zone and the Kephalonia Fault. Guided by the NAF to the north, Anatolia moves faster westward as...
a rigid block and active deformation progressively localises along the NAF and its junction with the trench as well as in the western Anatolian grabens. The exact starting date of the fast motion of Anatolia is difficult to assess, the velocity increased probably between 10 and 5 Ma with a localisation of the NAF in the Dardanelles some 6 Ma ago. During this period the motion of Anatolia is decoupled from the underlying asthenospheric flow and motion vectors are parallel to the direction of slab retreat only in the southern Aegean.

10. Discussion

10.1. Slab fragmentation and strain localisation in the Aegean

Since 35 Ma slab retreat has controlled crustal deformation in the Aegean. While exhumation of HP–LT metamorphic units proceeded within the subduction interface, in an episodic fashion, or more or less continuously, backarc extension has led to the
formation of MCCs topped by a small number of major detachments such as the NCDS or the WCDS, above a southward flowing asthenosphere that replaces the lithospheric mantle and induces a high heat flow and a weak crust in the Cyclades and Menderes. The NCDS, as well as the Cretan detachments, is inherited from the Eocene crustal thickening episode. The geological record shows a stepwise localisation of strain until the present situation where the NAF accommodates the westward displacement of Anatolia, and the Corinth Rift and the grabens of Western Turkey take most of the extension in the backarc. A first significant event is the decoupling between the Aegean and the Menderes above a tear in the slab in the Middle Miocene. A second tear happens in the east of Anatolia at a date that is difficult to exactly define but which is between 15 and 10 Ma. A third tear is probable in the Late Miocene below the future Corinth Rift, allowing the junction between the NAF and the trench and the fast westward motion of Anatolia. At this stage the crustal motion is mostly imposed by the geometry of the NAF in northern Turkey and it is highly oblique to the asthenospheric flow below.

The first order observation that most recent and active strike-slip faults do not cross the NCDS and do not propagate in the extensional domain (neither in the Cyclades nor in the regions of grabens between Evia and the Corinth Rift) suggests a different rheological behaviour north and south of the NCDS. The two regions are indeed characterised by different mechanical stratification, with a greater importance of the Apulian domain and its ophiolite in the north and a thicker partially molten crust in the south. The crustal thickness is also different with a thinner crust in the north which may contribute to smaller gravitational forces.

A classical concept is that the propagation of the NAF induced crustal scale tension gashes at its termination and that the most recent one is the Corinth Rift. GPS data show that the whole Aegean domain and the whole Peloponnesus are moving coherently faster than the northern Aegean, implying a major component of traction along the southern limit. Much of the retreat was achieved before the formation of the Gulf of Corinth and deformation was until then much less localised. A second slab tearing to the west of the retreat domain could have enabled the continuation of retreat with a more rigid slab retreating between two tears, one below western Turkey and one below the Corinth Rift and Kephalonia Fault. The presence of the two tears rendered unnecessary a strong deformation of the slab and thus the crustal block above could move coherently southward, which can explain the absence of deformation in the Cyclades during the recent period.

The tear below the Peloponnesus is the last stage of a long deformation history of the Hellenic slab, leading to the isolation of a narrow strip of subducting lithosphere that can move backward easily in the mantle (Royden and Papanikolaou, 2011; Suckale et al., 2009). A similar evolution is shown in the Western and Central Mediterranean where an initially long slab from the Rif-Betics to the Northern Apennines has been progressively reduced to only two narrow strips during the retreat, one below the Gibraltar Arc and one below Sicily (Faccenna et al., 2004; Jolivet et al., 2008; Spakman and Wortel, 2004). Backarc extension started when the subduction regime changed at the scale of the Mediterranean some 30–35 Ma ago. Then a first tear happened below western Turkey allowing a large retreat of the slab inducing a rotation of continental Greece about a pole located in NW Greece or Albania. The last tear below Corinth has finally totally broken the slab and the retreat process was facilitated. During the same period a slab breakoff occurred to the east below the Bitlis suture that could have been the cause of the initiation of the North Anatolian Fault and the westward motion of Anatolia. Before the slab was broken below the Peloponnesus, the velocity of extrusion was limited by the velocity of slab retreat. Since the slab has been torn there the velocity of extrusion has increased and a plate limit has formed to the west, leading to the connection of the NAF with the Kephalaion Fault through a series of active grabens such as the Corinth Rift. Because of the gravitational forces created by the thickened Peloponnesian crust, extensional shear zone predominates over strike-slip fault within the dextral step over formed between the Kephalaion fault and the NAF.

10.2. The North Anatolian Fault and the Aegean

In this hypothetical model we see two tectonic behaviours. Most of the history of backarc extension is driven from below by slab retreat but from the Late Miocene the displacement of Anatolia is decoupled from the underlying mantle flow. A simple approach is to associate the westward motion of Anatolia and the Arabia–Eurasia collision through an extrusion model. In this case, the Anatolian plate would be pushed by the Arabian plate across the Bitlis suture zone and most of the stress would be transmitted through the upper crust as the lithospheric mantle appears very thin below most of Anatolia. The tectonic evolution of Anatolia since the formation of the NAF would then be driven from above. This would fit the difference between the stretching direction in the mantle (SKS) and the crustal flow shown by GPS measurements. It would also fit the rigid behaviour of Anatolia. Extrusion, that is usually understood as a lithospheric scale process would then be here restricted to the upper crust. An alternative vision is to consider that the westward motion of Anatolia is simply carried by a westward mantle flow due to delamination and an asthenospheric plume that would not yet be recorded in the SKS fabric because it is too recent. The exact timing of the formation of the NAF and its propagation, compared to the timing of the delamination (constrained by the age of volcanism) is a crucial question that would help answering this question.

10.3. Crust–mantle interactions during slab retreat

In this paper we suggest that the asthenospheric flow controls the deformation in the upper crust. How is this control then achieved? One possibility is that the asthenospheric flow imposes at the base of the lithosphere a drag strong enough to control crustal deformation. This supposes that the lithospheric mantle and the lower crust are as weak as the asthenosphere, so that the flow is homogeneous in the whole column below the upper crust. This corresponds to the Aegean situation where the crust was thick and the lithospheric mantle thin when extension started. In most subduction zones the backarc and the fore-arc domains move toward the trench (Faccenna et al., 2007; Heuret et al., 2007) suggesting a role played by such a basal drag. A right combination of a high strain rate imposed by the asthenospheric flow on a large domain and a low viscosity may lead to an efficient coupling between asthenospheric flow and crustal deformation. The hypothesis of a control by the basal drag imposed by asthenospheric flow is thus to be explored for a crust no more resistant on the long term (geological durations) than its weakest low-angle normal fault for instance.

An alternative is to consider that slab retreat induces 3D mantle currents that in turn create a dynamic topography with gradients of potential energy along which continental blocks can move. This 3D flow would result from a gradient of dynamic topography between an upwelling of asthenosphere below the collision zone and the downgoing slab in the Aegean. Using the distribution of temperature anomalies derived from seismic tomographic models, Faccenna and Becker (2010) have modelled flow directions in the mantle of the Mediterranean region. They reproduce the westward motion of Anatolia but the model fails to account for the southward motion of the Aegean slab and the N–S extension in the backarc region, and more generally the toroidal flow suggested at a larger scale in GPS data (Le Pichon and Kreemer, 2010). It can be argued that temperature anomalies cannot be safely recovered from seismic velocity anomalies without good knowledge of
melt rheology and composition (that affect anharmonic and anelastic contribution to seismic velocities).

Both hypotheses would fit the observed similarity between the SKS fast directions and the stretching lineations in the crust. Then, models involving dynamic topography should explain not only the westward movement of Anatolia (Faccenna and Becker, 2010) but also the gradient of extension from east to west with the westernmost part of the system spreading faster, as they should explain why extension continues after the continental lithosphere has been attenuated and replaced by oceanic crust as in the Liguro-Provençal Basin and Tyrrenhian Sea for instance. This question is thus open and it should be a goal for future numerical studies. We finally favour a model involving (1) slab retreat and related asthenospheric flow that distribute the strain within regions where the heterogeneous crust is thin and weak and the mantle hot, and (2) some rigid blocks at the free surface, able to transmit stresses horizontally over large distances. Such a model may better account for the observations but it remains to be tested numerically.

11. Conclusions

The Aegean region shows a clear localisation of deformation through time. But if we come back to the initial debate between an intrinsically localising continental lithosphere leading to the formation of large-scale strike-slip faults and an overall weak lithosphere that would distribute the strain on large domains, the question has significantly changed.

The first point is that the continental crust has inherited a strong heterogeneity from its earlier tectonic history and that crustal-scale contacts such as major thrust planes act (1) as weak zones that can localise later deformation during exhumation and backarc extension and (2) as zones of strong contrasts of resistance and viscosity that also have a significant influence on strain localisation and the kinematics of extension. Moreover, the succession of a mountain building in the Eocene and a backarc extension in the Oligo-Miocene has left the Aegean with different crusts north and south of the NCDS. North of it the Pelagonian domain and its ophiolites is present and the crust is thin, while south of it the Pelagonian domain is represented only as small klippes and H/D domes have been exhumed.

The second point concerns the coupling between mantle and crustal processes. The dynamics of slabs and slab portions at depth and the asthenospheric flow due to slab retreat has a major influence on the localisation of deformation in the upper plate. Successive slab ruptures along the strike of the Hellenides and Taurides from the Middle Miocene to the Late Miocene have progressively isolated a narrow strip of lithosphere, still attached to the African lithosphere at the longitude of Crete. The formation of the North Anatolian Fault and its propagation within the Central Hellenic Shear Zone is partly a consequence of this evolution. Once the connection of the NAF with the trench through the CHSZ has been made the extrusion of Anatolia and the Aegean extrusion of a lid of rigid crust.
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