STD 613E Theories of Justice
Contemporary Political Theories of Justice: Concepts, Frameworks, and Applications
Fall 2025 Tuesday 9:30-12:30 ITB Library
Office: # B4-320 Dept. Humanities and Social Sciences, FEB
Office Hours: Wednesday 09.00-13.00 Phone: (212) 285 7277, email: kocan@itu.edu.tr

Course Description

This course offers a rigorous and critical exploration of contemporary political theories of justice, focusing on the normative foundations, conceptual dimensions, and practical implications of justice in modern political life. Students will engage with a range of influential theoretical frameworks—including liberal, libertarian, communitarian, feminist, and critical theories—to examine justice both as a philosophical ideal and as a normative guide for addressing complex political and social challenges.

Key questions guiding the course include:
- What is justice, and how has it been theorized across different traditions?
- What are the principal dimensions of justice—distributive, procedural, recognitional—and how do they interact?
- What does justice demand in terms of equality, rights, institutions, and social cooperation?
- How should theories of justice respond to historical and structural injustices, including those related to race, gender, class, and colonialism?

Through close textual analysis, seminar discussion, and independent research, students will develop the conceptual and analytical tools necessary to evaluate competing theories of justice and apply them to contemporary issues such as economic inequality, labor rights, gender and racial justice, global distributive ethics, and democratic legitimacy.

Learning Outcomes

By the end of the course, students will be able to:
1. **Analyze and compare** major normative theories of justice, identifying their philosophical foundations and political implications.
2. **Apply theoretical frameworks** to contemporary political issues, demonstrating the relevance of justice theory to real-world contexts.
3. **Critically evaluate** the strengths, limitations, and internal tensions of different approaches to justice.
4. **Formulate and defend original arguments** on justice-related questions, supported by scholarly reasoning and textual evidence.
5. **Engage in informed, reflective discussion** on the practical and ethical dimensions of justice in diverse political settings.

Course Structure and Assessment

1. Participation (20%)
- **Engagement**: Active and sustained contribution to seminar discussions.
- **Preparation**: Demonstrated familiarity with assigned readings through informed and critical commentary.
- **Attendance**: Regular attendance is expected; more than two unexcused absences will result in a grade penalty.

2. Oral Presentation (20%)
Each student will deliver a 10–15 minute presentation on a selected reading or theorist.
- **Content Mastery**: Accurate and concise summary of the text's central arguments.
- **Theoretical Contextualization**: Placement of the reading within broader debates in political theory.
- **Critical Reflection**: Identification of key tensions, critiques, and implications.
- **Presentation Skills**: Clear, confident, and well-organized delivery, with effective use of visual aids where appropriate.

3. Final Paper (60%)
A. Research Proposal (20%)
Due mid-semester (500–750 words)
- **Research Question**: Clearly defined, focused, and analytically significant.
- **Preliminary Argument**: Tentative thesis and rationale, indicating theoretical relevance.
- **Outline**: Coherent structure of the paper's sections.
- **Bibliography**: Initial list of at least five scholarly sources, demonstrating engagement with relevant literature.

B. Term Paper (40%)
Final submission (4,000–5,000 words)
- **Introduction**: Articulation of the research question, its significance, and the paper's structure.
- **Literature Review**: Critical overview of relevant scholarship, identification of key debates, and positioning of the paper's contribution.
- **Methodology**: Justification of theoretical approach and use of sources.
- **Argument and Analysis**: Development of a coherent and original argument, supported by textual evidence and critical reasoning.
- **Counterarguments**: Engagement with alternative perspectives and potential objections.
- **Conclusion**: Summary of findings, restatement of thesis, and reflection on broader theoretical and practical implications.
- **Academic Rigor**: Clarity, coherence, originality, and adherence to scholarly standards of citation, structure, and argumentation.

Course Readings and Materials

All required readings will be made available via **İTÜ KOVAN**. Students are expected to complete the assigned readings prior to each seminar and come prepared to engage critically with the texts. Supplementary readings may be provided to support specific topics or student research interests.

Students experiencing difficulty accessing materials or seeking additional resources should contact the instructor directly.

Grading Rubric for Final Paper

- **Research Question (20%)**: Clarity, relevance, and analytical precision.
- **Argumentation and Analysis (30%)**: Logical structure, depth of engagement, and use of evidence.
- **Engagement with Course Material (20%)**: Integration of key texts and theoretical frameworks.
- **Critical and Constructive Approach (20%)**: Balanced evaluation of competing perspectives and originality of insight.
- **Writing Quality (10%)**: Style, grammar, organization, and adherence to academic conventions.

Course Outline

Week 1: Mapping the Terrain — Conceptions of Justice

Theme: Mapping the terrain of contemporary theories of justice
This week introduces foundational questions and frameworks in the study of justice. Students will explore the conceptual diversity of justice, including its philosophical, historical, and normative dimensions.

Required Readings
I. Conceptual and Historical Foundations of Justice

- **Johnston, D.** (2011). *A Brief History of Justice*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- **Campbell, T.** (2010). *Justice*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

II. Contemporary Theories and Normative Frameworks

- **Miller, D.** (1991). Recent theories of social justice. *British Journal of Political Science*, *21*(3), 371–391.
- **Miller, D.** (2021). Justice. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2021 Edition).

III. Ethical Boundaries and Global Dimensions of Justice

- **O'Neill, O.** (2000). *Bounds of Justice* (Part I: Philosophical). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Week 2: Justice and Context — Constructivism, Facts, and Norms

Theme: Justice in relation to facts, values, and social settings
This week examines how justice is shaped by context—moral, epistemic, and institutional. Topics include constructivism, thick concepts, and the interplay between principles and empirical realities.

Required Readings
I. Foundations and Concepts of Justice

- Blackburn, S. (2013). Disentangling disentangling. In S. Kirchin (Ed.), *Thick Concepts*. Oxford University Press.
- Raphael, D. D. (2001). *Concepts of Justice* (pp. 31–86, 342–357). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Risse, M. (2020). *On Justice: Philosophy, History, Foundations* (Part III: Chapters 13–17). Cambridge University Press.

II. Normative Theories and Principles of Justice

- Miller, D. (1999). *Principles of Social Justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Cohen, G. A. (2003). Facts and principles. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *31*, 211–245.
- Nagel, T. (1997). Justice and nature. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, *17*, 303–321.
- Buchanan, A. (1987). Justice and charity. *Ethics*, *97*, 558–575.
- Schmidtz, D. (2006). *Elements of Justice* (Parts 1, 4, and 6). Cambridge University Press.

III. Constructivist and Practical Reasoning Approaches
- Forst, R. (2011). *The Right to Justification: Elements of a Constructivist Theory of Justice* (Part I: Foundations). Trans. J. Flynn. Columbia University Press.
- O'Neill, O. (1996). *Towards Justice and Virtue: A Constructive Account of Practical Reasoning*. Cambridge University Press.
- Buckley, M. (2010). The structure of justification in political constructivism. *Metaphilosophy*, *41*, 669–689.

Further Reading

I. Normative and Distributive Justice
- Barry, B. (1989). *Theories of Justice*. University of California Press.
- Roemer, J. (1996). *Theories of Distributive Justice*. Harvard University Press.
- Kolm, S.-C. (1995). *Modern Theories of Justice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Miller, D. (2005). Two ways to think about justice. *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, *1*, 5–28.

II. Constructivism and Practical Reasoning
- O'Neill, O. (2002). Constructivism in Rawls and Kant. In S. Freeman (Ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Rawls* (pp. 9–49). Cambridge University Press.

III. Metaethics and Value Theory
- Putnam, H. (2004). *The Collapse of the Fact-Value Dichotomy and Other Essays* (Introduction and Chapters 1–3). Harvard University Press.
- Griffin, J. (2015). What can philosophy contribute to ethics? In *Oxford University Press* (pp. 105–129).
- Dobb, M. (2010). *Theories of Value and Distribution since Adam Smith*. Cambridge University Press.

Week 3: Justice and Ideal Theory — Aspirations and Critiques

Theme: Ideal vs. non-ideal theory in political philosophy
This week explores the role of idealization in theories of justice. Students will critically assess the relevance, limitations, and ideological implications of ideal theory, and examine alternative approaches rooted in relational, contextual, and practical concerns.

Required Readings

I. Foundations of Ideal and Non-Ideal Theory
- Cohen, G. A. (2011). Ideal theory and political practice. In M. Otsuka (Ed.), *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice* (pp. xx–xx). Princeton University Press.
- Simmons, A. J. (2010). Ideal and nonideal theory. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *38*, 5–26.
- Stemplowska, Z., & Swift, A. (2012). Ideal and nonideal theory. In D. Estlund (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Philosophy*. Oxford University Press.
- Valentini, L. (2012). Ideal vs. non-ideal theory: A conceptual map. *Philosophy Compass*, *7*, 654–664.
- Farrelly, C. (2007). Justice in ideal theory: A refutation. *Political Studies*, *55*, 844–864.
- Mills, C. W. (2017). Ideal theory as ideology. In *Theories of Justice* (pp. 565–584). Routledge.

II. Methodological and Epistemic Critiques
- Anderson, E. (2009). Towards a non-ideal, relational methodology for political philosophy. *Hypatia*, *24*, 130–145.
- Estlund, D. (2020). *Utopophobia: On the Limits (If Any) of Political Philosophy*. Princeton University Press.
- Gaus, G. (2016). *The Tyranny of the Ideal: Justice in a Diverse Society*. Princeton University Press.
- Sen, A. (2006). What do we want from a theory of justice? *The Journal of Philosophy*, *103*, 215–238.

III. Constructive and Applied Perspectives
- Allen, D. (2023). *Justice by Means of Democracy* (Parts I & II). University of Chicago Press.
- Freeman, S. (2021). *Liberalism and Distributive Justice* (Chapters 8–9). Oxford University Press.
- Schmidtz, D. (2023). *Living Together: Inventing Moral Science* (pp. 3–99). Oxford University Press.

Further Reading

I. Critical Engagements with Ideal Theory
- Berlin, I. (1990). The pursuit of the ideal. In H. Hardy (Ed.), *The Crooked Timber of Humanity*. Princeton University Press.
- Levy, J. T. (2016). There's no such thing as ideal theory. *Social Philosophy and Policy*.
- Loureiro, M. (2015). Ideal theory and its applicability. *Revista Diacrítica*, *29*(2), 231–250.
- Valentini, L. (2009). On the apparent paradox of ideal theory. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *17*, 332–355.
- Wiens, D. (2015). Against ideal guidance. *Journal of Politics*, *77*, 433–446.

II. Constructivist and Institutional Perspectives
- Murphy, L. (1998). Institutions and the demands of justice. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *27*, 251–259.
- Robeyns, I. (2008). Ideal theory in theory and practice. *Social Theory and Practice*, *34*(3), 341–362.
- Schmidtz, D. (2011). Nonideal theory: What it is and what it needs to be. *Ethics*, *121*, 772–796.
- Erman, E., & Moller, N. (2013). Three failed charges against ideal theory. *Social Theory and Practice*, *39*, 19–44.

III. Broader Philosophical Context
- Sen, A. (2009). *The Idea of Justice*. Harvard University Press.

Week 4: Justice and Virtue — Character, Emotion, and Moral Development

Theme: Justice as a moral disposition and ethical ideal.

This week explores justice not merely as a principle or institutional arrangement, but as a virtue embedded in character, emotion, and practical reasoning. Drawing on classical and contemporary virtue ethics, students will examine how justice relates to empathy, care, wisdom, and moral development.

Required Readings

I. Justice as a Virtue

- Bloomfield, P. (2012). Justice as a self-regarding virtue. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, *82*(1), 46–64.
- MacIntyre, A. (1984). Justice as a virtue: Changing conceptions. In *After Virtue*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- MacIntyre, A. (2001). *Dependent Rational Animals: Why Human Beings Need the Virtues* (Chapters 7–8). Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, B. (1980). Justice as a virtue. In A. E. Rorty (Ed.), *Essays on Aristotle's Ethics* (pp. 189–199). University of California Press.

II. Justice, Emotion, and Moral Psychology

- Roberts, R. C. (2010). Justice as an emotion disposition. *Emotion Review*, *2*(1), 36–43.
- Hoffman, M. (2000). *Empathy and Moral Development: Implications for Caring and Justice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Held, V. (1995). The meshing of care and justice. *Hypatia*, *10*(2), 128–132.

III. Virtue Ethics and Practical Reasoning

- Slote, M. (2001). Agent-based virtue ethics. In *Morals from Motives* (Chapter 1). Oxford University Press.
- O'Neill, O. (1996). *Toward Justice and Virtue: A Constructive Account of Practical Reasoning* (Chapters 6–7). Cambridge University Press.
- Kane, R. (2010). *Ethics and the Quest for Wisdom* (Chapters 7–10). Cambridge University Press.
- Griffin, J. (1996). The good life. In *Value Judgement: Improving Our Ethical Beliefs* (Chapter 2). Clarendon Press.
- Crisp, R. (2003). Equality, priority, and compassion. *Ethics*, *113*(4), 745–763.

Further Reading

I. Classical Foundations

- Aristotle. *Nicomachean Ethics*, Books VIII and IX.
- Aristotle. *Politics*, Books I, III, VII.
- Plato. (2006). *Republic*. Trans. R. E. Allen. Yale University Press.
- Vlastos, G. (1971). Justice and happiness in the Republic. In *Plato: A Collection of Critical Essays*, Vol. II. Anchor Books.

II. Historical and Comparative Perspectives

- Armstrong, J. M. (1997). Epicurean justice. *Phronesis*, *42*(3), 324–334.
- Berryman, S. (2019). *Aristotle on the Sources of the Ethical Life*. Oxford University Press.
- Curzer, H. J. (1995). Aristotle's account of the virtue of justice. *Apeiron*, *28*, 207–238.

III. Contemporary Extensions and Critiques

- Drydyk, J. (2012). A capability approach to justice as a virtue. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, *15*(1), 23–38.
- Kupperman, J. (1998). *Value...And What Follows*. Oxford University Press.
- Lu, M. T. (2017). The missing virtue: Justice in modern virtue ethics. *Proceedings of the American Catholic Philosophical Association*, *90*, 121–132.
- Santas, G. (2001). *Goodness and Justice*. Blackwell.

- Slote, M. (1998). The justice of caring. *Social Philosophy and Policy*, *15*, 171–195.

Week 5: Justice and Fairness — Rawls and His Critics

Theme: Rawlsian justice and the ethics of fairness.
This week focuses on John Rawls's theory of justice as fairness, its philosophical foundations, and its critiques. Students will examine the principles of justice, the original position, and the role of fairness in liberal democratic theory.

Required Readings
I. Core Texts by Rawls
- Rawls, J. (1996). *Political Liberalism*. Columbia University Press.
- Rawls, J. (1999). *A Theory of Justice* (Revised Edition). Harvard University Press.
- Rawls, J. (2001). *Justice as Fairness: A Restatement*. Harvard University Press.

II. Major Critiques and Engagements
- Harsanyi, J. (1975). Can the maximin principle serve as a basis for morality? A critique of John Rawls's theory. *American Political Science Review*, *69*, 594–606.
- Sandel, M. J. (1998). *Liberalism and the Limits of Justice*. Cambridge University Press.

Further Reading
I. Introductory and Companion Works
- Audard, C. (2007). *John Rawls*. Acumen.
- Daniels, N. (Ed.). (1975). *Reading Rawls*. Stanford University Press.
- Freeman, S. (Ed.). (2003). *The Cambridge Companion to Rawls* (Chapters 5 and 6). Cambridge University Press.
- Freeman, S. (2007). *Rawls* (Chapter 3). Routledge.
- Mandle, J., & Reidy, D. (Eds.). (2013). *Companion to Rawls* (Chapters 9 and 10).

II. Historical and Archival Perspectives
- Bevir, M. (2017). John Rawls in light of the archive. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, *78*(2), 255–263.
- Wolff, R. P. (1977). *Understanding Rawls: A Reconstruction and Critique of A Theory of Justice*. Princeton University Press.

III. Comparative and Critical Engagements
- Barry, B. (1989). *Theories of Justice*. University of California Press.
- Freyenhagen, F., & Finlayson, J. G. (2011). *Habermas and Rawls: Disputing the Political*. Routledge.
- Kukathas, C., & Pettit, P. (1990). *Rawls: A Theory of Justice and Its Critics* (Chapters 3 and 5).
- Kymlicka, W. (2001). *Contemporary Political Philosophy* (Chapter 3, pp. 53–75). Oxford University Press.
- Talisse, R. (2001). *On Rawls* (Chapter 2). Wadsworth.
- Wolin, S. (1996). The liberal/democratic divide: On Rawls's *Political Liberalism*. *Political Theory*, *24*(1), 97–119.

Week 6: Justice, Individual and Community

Theme: The moral foundations and political implications of justice in relation to selfhood and social belonging.

This week explores two interrelated dimensions of justice: the rights and entitlements of individuals, and the ethical demands of community. The first part focuses on libertarian and left-libertarian theories of self-ownership, property, and equality. The second part examines communitarian and egalitarian critiques, emphasizing social cooperation, dignity, and pluralism.

Part I: Justice and the Individual

Focus: Self-ownership, liberty, and distributive justice

Required Readings

I. Classical Libertarianism and Entitlement Theory
- Nozick, R. (1973). Distributive justice. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *3*(1), 45–126.
- Nozick, R. (1974). *Anarchy, State, and Utopia* (Chapter 7). Basic Books.
- Steiner, H. (1981). Justice and entitlement. In J. Paul (Ed.), *Reading Nozick*. Rowman and Littlefield.
- Steiner, H. (1977). The structure of a set of compossible rights. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *74*, 767–775.

II. Left-Libertarianism and Egalitarian Revisions
- Otsuka, M. (1998). Self-ownership and equality: A Lockean reconciliation. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *27*(1).
- Otsuka, M. (2003). *Libertarianism Without Inequality* (Chapters 1–2). Oxford University Press.
- Vallentyne, P. (2000). Introduction: Left-libertarianism – A primer. In P. Vallentyne & H. Steiner (Eds.), *Left-Libertarianism and Its Critics*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Fried, B. (2004). Left-libertarianism: A review essay. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *32*(2), 66–92.
- Van Parijs, P. (1995). *Real Freedom for All*. Oxford University Press.

III. Self-Ownership, Equality, and Critique
- Cohen, G. A. (1995). *Self-Ownership, Freedom and Equality* (Chapters 1–4, 9–10). Cambridge University Press.
- Arneson, R. (1991). Lockean self-ownership: Towards a demolition. *Political Studies*, *39*(1), 36–54.

IV. Natural Resources and Justice
- Armstrong, C. (2013). Natural resources: The demands of equality. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, *44*(4).

Further Reading

I. Commentary and Companion Works
- Hunt, L. H. (2015). *Anarchy, State, and Utopia: An Advanced Guide*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Baider, R., & Meadowcroft, J. (Eds.). (2011). *The Cambridge Companion to Nozick's Anarchy, State and Utopia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wolff, J. (1991). *Robert Nozick: Property, Justice and the Minimal State* (Chapters 1, 4, and 5). Stanford University Press.

II. Exploitation and Moral Critique
- Goodin, R. (1987). Exploiting a situation and exploiting a person. In A. Reeve (Ed.), *Modern Theories of Exploitation?* Sage.
- Steiner, H. (1987). Exploitation: A liberal theory amended, defended and extended. In A. Reeve (Ed.), *Modern Theories of Exploitation?* Sage.
- Van Parijs, P. (1987). Exploitation and the libertarian challenge. In A. Reeve (Ed.), *Modern Theories of Exploitation?* Sage.

III. Critical Engagements and Extensions
- Vallentyne, P. (1998). Critical notice of G. A. Cohen's *Self-Ownership, Freedom, and Equality*. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, *28*, 609–626.
- Sunstein, C., & Posner, E. A. (2017). Moral commitments in cost-benefit analysis. *Virginia Law Review*, *103*, 1809–1860.
- Rand, A. (1964). *The Virtue of Selfishness* [Selections]. Signet.

Part II: Justice and the Community
Focus: Social cooperation, pluralism, and the moral demands of community

---

Required Readings
I. Sites and Principles of Distributive Justice
- Cohen, G. A. (1997). Where the action is: On the site of distributive justice. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *26*(1).
- Cohen, G. A. (2008). *Rescuing Justice and Equality* (Chapter 1). Princeton University Press.
- Walzer, M. (1983). *Spheres of Justice: A Defense of Pluralism and Equality* (pp. 3–61). Basic Books.

II. Justice, Exploitation, and Marxist Perspectives
- Cohen, G. A. (1980). The labor theory of value and the concept of exploitation. In G. A. Cohen, T. Nagel, & T. Scanlon (Eds.), *Marx, Justice and History*. Princeton University Press.

III. Human Dignity and Social Justice
- Gilabert, P. (2023). *Human Dignity and Social Justice* (Chapters 1 and 4). Oxford University Press.

IV. Public Reason and Moral Philosophy
- Sandel, M. J. (2010). *Justice: What's the Right Thing to Do?* Penguin Books.

Further Reading
I. Egalitarianism and Socialist Thought
- Cohen, G. A. (2009). *Why Not Socialism?* Verso.
- Sypnowich, C. (Ed.). (2006). *The Egalitarian Conscience: Essays in Honour of G. A. Cohen*. Oxford University Press.
- Vrousalis, N. (2010). G. A. Cohen's vision of socialism. *Journal of Ethics*, *14*, 185–216.

II. Liberalism, Community, and Culture
- Kymlicka, W. (1989). *Liberalism, Community and Culture* (Chapters 7–14). Oxford University Press.

III. Social Concepts and Normative Critique
- Margalit, A. (1996). Decency as a social concept. In *The Decent Society* (Trans. N. Goldblum). Harvard University Press.
- Swanson, J. A. (2011). Michael J. Sandel's *Justice: What's the Right Thing to Do? Boston University Law Review*, *91*(4), 1375–1410.

IV. Labor, Needs, and Incentives
- White, S. (1996). Needs, labor, and Marx's conception of justice. *Political Studies*, *44*(1), 88–101.
- White, S. (2006). *Equality* (Chapter 5: Equality and Incentives). Polity.
- Williams, A. (1998). Incentives, inequality, and publicity. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *27*, 225–247.

Week 7: Justice, Rights, and Agreement

Theme: Contractarian foundations and normative dimensions of rights
This week explores justice through the lens of **agreement** and **rights**. The first part examines contractarian and game-theoretic approaches to justice, focusing on rational choice, bargaining, and hypothetical consent. The second part investigates the concept of rights—its philosophical foundations, moral significance, and political implications.

Part I: Justice and Agreement
Focus: Contractarianism, rationality, and the social contract

Required Readings
I. Classical and Rationalist Contractarianism
- Gauthier, D. (1986). *Morals by Agreement*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Gauthier, D. (1993). Uniting separate persons. In D. Gauthier & R. Sugden (Eds.), *Rationality, Justice and the Social Contract* (pp. xx–xx). Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Binmore, K. (1993). Bargaining and morality. In D. Gauthier & R. Sugden (Eds.), *Rationality, Justice and the Social Contract* (pp. 131–156). Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Binmore, K. (1998). *Game Theory and the Social Contract: Just Playing*, Vol. 2. MIT Press.
- Forman, F. (1988). Morals by agreement. *Public Choice*, *1*, 89.
- Goodin, R. E. (1993). Equal rationality and initial endowments. In D. Gauthier & R. Sugden (Eds.), *Rationality, Justice and the Social Contract*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Sugden, R. (1993). Rationality and impartiality: Is the contractarian enterprise possible? In D. Gauthier & R. Sugden (Eds.), *Rationality, Justice and the Social Contract*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.

II. Contractualism and Moral Justification
- Scanlon, T. M. (1982). Contractualism and utilitarianism. In A. Sen & B. Williams (Eds.), *Utilitarianism and Beyond* (pp. 103–128). Cambridge University Press.

III. Feminist Critique of the Social Contract
- Pateman, C. (1988). *The Sexual Contract* (Chapters 1, 3, and 6). Stanford University Press.

Further Reading

I. Extensions and Critiques of Contractarianism
- Gauthier, D. (1977). The social contract as ideology. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *6*(2), 130–164.
- Gauthier, D. (1975). Coordination. *Dialogue*, *14*(2), 195–221.
- Hampton, J. (1996). Contract and consent. In R. E. Goodin & P. Pettit (Eds.), *A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy*.
- Stark, C. A. (2000). Hypothetical consent and justification. *The Journal of Philosophy*, *97*(6), 313–334.
- Vallentyne, P. (Ed.). (1991). *Contractarianism and Rational Choice*. Cambridge University Press.

II. Game Theory and Rational Choice
- Binmore, K. (2005). *Natural Justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Binmore, K. (2007). *Game Theory and Playing for Real*. Oxford University Press.
- Sugden, R. (2004). *The Economics of Rights, Co-Operation, and Welfare*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lewis, D. (2002). *Convention: A Philosophical Study*. Blackwell.

III. Normative and Epistemic Critiques
- Gaus, G. (2011). *The Order of Public Reason*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kane, R. (2010). *Ethics and the Quest for Wisdom* (Chapter 10). Cambridge University Press.
- MacIntyre, A. (1988). *Whose Justice? Which Rationality?* University of Notre Dame Press.

IV. Critical Race and Intersectional Perspectives
- Mills, C. (1997). *The Racial Contract* (Chapters I–II). Cornell University Press.

Part II: Justice and Rights
Focus: Theories of rights, moral claims, and institutional guarantees

---

Required Readings

I. Foundations of Rights and Justice
- Feinberg, J. (1980). *Rights, Justice, and the Bounds of Liberty*. Princeton University Press. Chapter: "The Concept of Rights and Controversial Applications."
- Steiner, H. (1994). *An Essay on Rights*. Blackwell.
- Waldron, J. (1992). *Theories of Rights*. Oxford University Press. Introduction.
- Waldron, J. (1989). Rights in conflict. *Ethics*, *99*(3), 503–519.
- Mackie, J. L., Moberger, V., & Olson, J. (Eds.). (2024). *Theories of Justice and Rights* (Chapters 1–2). Oxford University Press.

II. Human Rights and Political Philosophy
- Cohen, J. (2004). Minimalism about human rights: The most we can hope for? *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *12*(2), 190–213.
- Shue, H. (1980). *Basic Rights: Subsistence, Affluence and American Foreign Policy*. Princeton University Press.
- White, S. (2000). Social rights and the social contract. *British Journal of Political Science*, *30*(3), 507–532.

III. Normativity, Law, and Democracy
- Habermas, J. (1995). The internal relation between the rule of law and democracy. *European Journal of Philosophy*, *3*(1), 12–20.
- Larmore, C. (1990). The right and the good. *Philosophia*, *20*(1–2), 15–32.

Further Reading
I. Historical and Conceptual Foundations
- Feinberg, J. (1970). The nature and value of rights. *Journal of Value Inquiry*, *4*, 243–257.

II. Justice and Moral Philosophy
- Thompson, M. (2004). What is it to wrong someone? A puzzle about justice. In R. Jay Wallace et al. (Eds.), *Reason and Value*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

III. Animal Rights and Political Theory
- Donaldson, S., & Kymlicka, W. (2011). *Zoopolis: A Political Theory of Animal Rights*. Oxford University Press.

Week Eight: Justice and Equality I — Equality of Welfare, Resources, opportunity, and Luck

Theme: Egalitarian theories and the foundations of distributive justice
This week introduces key debates in egalitarian thought, including equality of welfare, resources, opportunity, and the role of luck. Students will critically engage with foundational texts and contemporary developments in egalitarian justice.

Required Readings
I. Foundational Theories of Equality
- Dworkin, R. (1981). What is equality? Parts 1 & 2. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *10*, 283–345.
- Dworkin, R. (1987). What is equality? Part 3: The place of liberty. *Iowa Law Review*, *73*, 1–54. Reprinted in *Sovereign Virtue* (2000).
- Sen, A. (1980). Equality of what? In *The Tanner Lectures on Human Values*, Vol. 1 (pp. 197–220). University of Utah Press.
- Frankfurt, H. (1987). Equality as a moral ideal. *Ethics*, *98*(1), 21–43.
- Buchanan, A. (1990). Justice as reciprocity versus subject-centered justice. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *19*, 227–252.

II. Luck Egalitarianism and Distributive Justice
- Arneson, R. J. (1989). Equality and equal opportunity for welfare. *Philosophical Studies*, *56*, 77–93.
- Cohen, G. A. (1989). On the currency of egalitarian justice. Reprinted in *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice and Other Essays*. Princeton University Press.
- Cohen, G. A. (2000). If you're an egalitarian, how come you're so rich? (Chapter 8). Harvard University Press.
- Knight, C. (2013). Luck egalitarianism. *Philosophy Compass*, *8*(10), 924–934.
- Lippert-Rasmussen, K. (2015). *Luck Egalitarianism* (Chapters 1–3). Bloomsbury.
- Otsuka, M., & Voorhoeve, A. (2009). Why it matters that some are worse off than others. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *37*(2), 171–199.

- Parfit, D. (2002). Equality or priority? In T. Clayton & A. Williams (Eds.), *The Ideal of Equality* (pp. 81–125). St. Martin's Press.
- Rakowski, E. (1991). *Equal Justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Roemer, J. (1998). *Equality of Opportunity*. Harvard University Press.

Further Reading

I. Broader Theoretical Engagements
- Ackerman, B. (1980). *Social Justice in the Liberal State*. Yale University Press.
- Baker, J. (1987). *Arguing for Equality*. Verso.
- Farrelly, C. (n.d.). *An Introduction to Contemporary Political Theory* (Chapter 4). Sage.
- Sen, A. (1982). Choice, welfare, and measurement (pp. 353–369). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

II. Relational and Distributional Critiques
- Schemmel, C. (2011). Why relational egalitarians should care about distributions. *Social Philosophy*, *25*(4), 80–99.
- Elford, G. (2017). Relational equality and distribution. *Journal of Political Philosophy*.
- McKerlie, D. (1984). Egalitarianism. *Dialogue*, *23*, 223–238.
- Scheffler, S. (2003). What is egalitarianism? *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *31*(1), 5–39.

III. Applied and Critical Perspectives
- Cohen, G. A. (2011). Expensive tastes rides again. In M. Otsuka (Ed.), *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice*. Princeton University Press.
- Gheaus, A. (2018). Hikers in flip flops. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*, *35*(1), 54–69.
- White, S. (2006). *Equality* (Chapter 5: Equality and Incentives). Polity.

Week 9: Justice and Equality II — Capabilities, Recognition, and Relational Equality

Theme: Relational, capability-based, and recognition-based approaches to equality
Building on the previous week's focus on distributive justice, this session explores alternative egalitarian frameworks. Students will examine relational equality, the capability approach, and the politics of recognition, with attention to how these theories respond to structural injustice, social difference, and moral responsibility.

Required Readings:
Required Readings
I. Foundational Debates on Equality
- Anderson, E. (1999). What is the point of equality? *Ethics*, *109*, 287–337.
- Cohen, G. A. (1990). Equality of what? On welfare, goods, and capabilities. *Recherches Economiques de Louvain*, *56*, 357–382. Reprinted in M. Nussbaum (Ed.), *The Quality of Life*. Oxford University Press.
- Sen, A. (1993). Capability and well-being. In M. Nussbaum & A. Sen (Eds.), *The Quality of Life* (pp. 29–54). Oxford University Press.
- Vallentyne, P. (2005). Capabilities vs. opportunities for wellbeing. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *13*, 359–371.

II. Recognition, Justice, and Social Groups
- Fraser, N. (1995). From redistribution to recognition? *New Left Review*, *212*.

- Young, I. M. (2001). Equality of whom? Social groups and judgments of injustice. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *9*, 1–18.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (1999). *Sex and Social Justice* (Part I). Oxford University Press.

III. Hybrid and Relational Approaches
- Moles, A., & Parr, T. (2019). Distributions and relations: A hybrid account. *Political Studies*, *67*, 132–148.
- O'Neill, M. (2008). What should egalitarians believe? *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *36*(2), 119–151.
- Stemplowska, Z. (2011). Responsibility and respect: Reconciling two egalitarian visions. In *Responsibility and Distributive Justice* (pp. 365–390).
- Wolff, J. (1998). Fairness, respect, and the egalitarian ethos. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, *27*(2), 97–122.
- Barry, B. (1995). *Justice as Impartiality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Larmore, C. (1990). The right and the good. *Philosophia*, *20*(1–2), 15–32.

Further Reading

I. Luck Egalitarianism and Prioritarianism
- Arneson, R. (2000). Luck egalitarianism and prioritarianism. *Ethics*, *110*(2), 339–349.
- Lippert-Rasmussen, K. (2005). Justice and bad luck. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Lippert-Rasmussen, K. (2012). Democratic egalitarianism versus luck egalitarianism. *Philosophical Topics*, *40*(1), 117–134.
- White, S. (2006). Luck egalitarianism. In *Equality* (Chapter 4). Polity.

II. Relational and Social Equality
- Schuppert, F. C., & Wallimann-Helmer, I. (Eds.). (2015). *Social Equality: On What It Means to Be Equals*. Oxford University Press.
- Knight, C., & Stemplowska, Z. (Eds.). (2011). *Responsibility and Distributive Justice*. Oxford University Press.

III. Critical and Applied Perspectives
- Cohen, G. A. (2008). *Rescuing Justice and Equality* (Chapter 1). Princeton University Press.

Week 10: Justice and Communication — Deliberation, Epistemic Injustice, and Discourse Ethics

Theme: Deliberation, epistemic justice, and the ethics of public reason
This week investigates the communicative dimensions of justice, focusing on deliberative democracy, discourse ethics, and epistemic injustice. Students will explore how justice is shaped by the conditions of public reasoning, testimonial credibility, and hermeneutical access.

Required Readings

I. Deliberative Democracy and Public Reason
- Christiano, T. (1997). The significance of public deliberation. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative Democracy* (pp. xx–xx). MIT Press.
- Habermas, J. (1990). Discourse ethics: Notes on a program of justification. In *Moral Consciousness and Communicative Action* (Trans. Lenhardt & Nicholsen). Cambridge University Press.

- Habermas, J. (1993). On the pragmatic, the ethical, and the moral employments of practical reason. In *Justification and Application* (Trans. Cronin). MIT Press.
- Jensen, K. B. (2021). *A Theory of Communication and Justice* (Chapters 6–9). Routledge.

II. Epistemic Injustice and Democratic Communication
- Fricker, M. (2007). *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing* (Chapters 1 & 7). Oxford University Press.
- Coady, D. (2017). Epistemic injustice as distributive injustice. In I. J. Kidd, J. Medina, & G. Pohlhaus (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Epistemic Injustice*. Routledge.
- Medina, J. (2017). Varieties of hermeneutical injustice. In *The Routledge Handbook of Epistemic Injustice*. Routledge.
- Pohlhaus, G. (2017). Varieties of epistemic injustice. In *The Routledge Handbook of Epistemic Injustice*. Routledge.
- Wanderer, J. (2017). Varieties of testimonial injustice. In *The Routledge Handbook of Epistemic Injustice*. Routledge.

III. Justice and Communication
- Schmidtz, D. (2006). *Elements of Justice* (Part 3). Cambridge University Press.

Further Reading

I. Epistemic Justice and Institutional Ethics
- Anderson, E. (2012). Epistemic justice as a virtue of social institutions. *Social Epistemology*, *26*(2), 163–173.
- Sherman, B. R., & Goguen, S. (2019). *Overcoming Epistemic Injustice: Social and Psychological Perspectives*. Rowman & Littlefield.

II. Deliberative Democracy and Democratic Legitimacy
- Michelman, F. I. (1997). How can the people ever make the laws? In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative Democracy*. MIT Press.
- Bohman, J. (1997). Deliberative democracy and effective social freedom. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative Democracy*. MIT Press.
- Young, I. M. (1997). Difference as a resource for democratic communication. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative Democracy*. MIT Press.

III. Discourse Ethics and Normative Theory
- Habermas, J. (1992). Discourse ethics, law and Sittlichkeit. In P. Dews (Ed.), *Autonomy and Solidarity*. Verso.

Week 11: Justice and Desert — Merit, Responsibility, and Fairness

Theme: Merit, responsibility, and the moral logic of desert

This week examines the concept of **desert** in theories of justice—what individuals deserve, why they deserve it, and how desert interacts with equality, responsibility, and institutional fairness. Students will explore competing views on comparative and non-comparative desert, the role of effort and virtue, and critiques of desert-based justice.

Required Readings

I. Foundations of Desert and Justice
- Feinberg, J. (1970). *Doing and Deserving*. Princeton University Press. Chapter 4: "Justice and Personal Desert."

- Kleinig, J. (1999). The concept of desert. In L. Pojman & O. McLeod (Eds.), *What Do We Deserve?* Oxford University Press.
- Lamont, J. (1994). The concept of desert in distributive justice. *Philosophical Quarterly*, *44*(174), 45–64.
- Sadurski, W. (1985). *Giving Desert Its Due: Social Justice and Legal Theory*. Dordrecht: D. Reid.

II. Comparative and Holistic Approaches

- Kagan, S. (2003). Comparative desert. In S. Olsaretti (Ed.), *Desert and Justice* (pp. 93–122). Oxford University Press.
- Kagan, S. (2012). *The Geometry of Desert*. Oxford University Press.
- Hurka, T. (2003). Desert: Individualistic and holistic. In S. Olsaretti (Ed.), *Desert and Justice* (pp. 45–68). Oxford University Press.
- Miller, D. (2003). Comparative and noncomparative desert. In S. Olsaretti (Ed.), *Desert and Justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Vallentyne, P. (2003). Desert and entitlement: An introduction. In *Equality and Justice: Desert and Entitlement*. Routledge.

III. Desert, Responsibility, and Moral Conditions

- Feldman, F. (1996). Responsibility as a condition for desert. *Mind*, *105*(417), 165–168.
- Smilansky, S. (1996). The connection between responsibility and desert: The crucial distinction. *Mind*, *105*(419), 485–486.
- Kristjánsson, K. (2005). A utilitarian justification of desert in distributive justice. *Journal of Moral Philosophy*, *2*(2), 147–170.
- MacLeod, A. M. (2005). Distributive justice and desert. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, *36*, 421–438.
- Temkin, L. (2011). Justice, equality, fairness, desert, rights, free will, responsibility, and luck. In C. Knight & Z. Stemplowska (Eds.), *Responsibility and Distributive Justice*. Oxford University Press.

IV. Desert and Liberal Theory

- Scheffler, S. (2000). Justice and desert in liberal theory. *California Law Review*, *88*, 965–990.
- Pojman, L. (1999). Does equality trump desert? In L. Pojman & O. McLeod (Eds.), *What Do We Deserve?* Oxford University Press.
- Rachels, J. (1997). What people deserve. In *Can Ethics Provide Answers?* Rowman & Littlefield, pp. 175–197.
- Schmidtz, D. (2006). *Elements of Justice* (Part 2). Cambridge University Press.
- Young, R. (1992). Egalitarianism and personal desert. *Ethics*, *102*, 319–341.

Further Reading

I. Philosophical Reconsiderations

- Brigati, R. (2014). Desert as a principle of distributive justice: A reconsideration. *Philosophy and Social Criticism*, *40*(7), 705–722.
- Feldman, F. (1995). Desert: Reconsideration of some received wisdom. *Mind*, *104*(413), 63–77.
- Feldman, F. (1997). *Utilitarianism, Hedonism, and Desert*. Cambridge University Press.
- Feit, N., & Kershnar, S. (2004). Explaining the geometry of desert. *Public Affairs Quarterly*, *18*(4), 273–298.

II. Desert, Virtue, and Market Justice
- Hsieh, N.-H. (2000). Moral desert, fairness and legitimate expectations in the market. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *8*(1), 91–114.
- Moore, E. (2000). Desert, virtue, and justice. *Social Theory and Practice*, *26*(3), 417–442.
- Moriarty, J. (2002). Desert and distributive justice in *A Theory of Justice*. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, *33*(1), 131–143.
- Sher, G. (1979). Effort, ability, and personal desert. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *8*(4), 361–376.
- Sher, G. (1987). *Desert*. Princeton University Press.
- Slote, M. A. (1973). Desert, consent, and justice. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, *2*, 323–347.

III. Comparative and Critical Perspectives
- Kagan, S. (1999). Equality and desert. In L. Pojman & O. McLeod (Eds.), *What Do We Deserve?* Oxford University Press, pp. 298–314.
- Kristjánsson, K. (2003). Justice, desert, and virtue revisited. *Social Theory and Practice*, *29*(1), 39–63.
- McLeod, O. (2003). On the comparative element of justice. In S. Olsaretti (Ed.), *Desert and Justice* (pp. 123–144). Oxford University Press.
- Olsaretti, S. (Ed.). (2003). *Justice and Desert*. Oxford University Press.
- Scanlon, T. M. (1998). *What We Owe to Each Other*. Harvard University Press.
- Stemplowska, Z. (2009). Making justice sensitive to responsibility. *Political Studies*, *57*, 237–259.
- Weber, M. (2010). Should desert replace equality? Replies to Kagan. *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, *4*(3).

Week 12: Justice and Meritocracy — Ideals, Critiques, and Social Implications

Theme: Merit, mobility, and the moral critique of meritocratic ideals
This week explores the philosophical foundations and political implications of **meritocracy**. Students will examine how merit is defined, justified, and institutionalized, and how meritocratic systems intersect with justice, equality, and structural disadvantage. The session also engages with critiques of meritocracy from egalitarian, sociological, and democratic perspectives.

Required Readings
I. Foundations and Philosophical Accounts of Merit
- Clavero, G. S. R. (2023). The idea of merit: Delineation and challenges. *Philosophia*, *51*(3), 1175–1191.
- Daniels, N. (1999). Merit and meritocracy. In L. Pojman & O. McLeod (Eds.), *What Do We Deserve?* Oxford University Press.
- Dobos, N. (2016). The duty to hire on merit: Mapping the terrain. *Journal of Value Inquiry*, *50*(2), 353–368.
- Pojman, L. (1999). Merit: Why do we value it? *Journal of Social Philosophy*, *30*(1), 83–102.
- Wilson, C. (2003). The role of a merit principle in distributive justice. *Journal of Ethics*, *7*(3), 277–314.

II. Meritocracy and Its Critics
- Littler, J. (2018). *Against Meritocracy: Culture, Power and Myths of Mobility* (Part I). Routledge.
- Mulligan, T. (2018). *Justice and the Meritocratic State* (Part I). Routledge.
- Mulligan, T. (2023). Meritocracy. In *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Reay, D. (2020). The perils and penalties of meritocracy. *Political Quarterly*, *91*(2), 405–412.
- Sandel, M. (2020). *The Tyranny of Merit: What's Become of the Common Good?* Allen Lane.
- Young, M. (1958). *The Rise of the Meritocracy 1870–2033: An Essay on Education and Equality*. Pelican Books.

III. Merit, Desert, and Distributive Justice
- Dwyer, J. de la T. (2020). *Chance, Merit, and Economic Inequality: Rethinking Distributive Justice and the Principle of Desert*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Husén, T. (1974). *Talent, Equality, and Meritocracy*. Martinus Nijhoff.
- Leventhal, G., & Michaels, J. (1971). Locus of cause and equity motivation as determinants of reward allocation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *17*, 229–235.
- Miller, D. (1992). Deserving jobs. *Philosophical Quarterly*, *42*(167), 161–181.
- Olsaretti, S. (2009). Responsibility and the consequences of choice. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, *109*, 65–188.
- Sen, A. (2000). Merit and justice. In K. Arrow, S. Bowles, & S. Durlauf (Eds.), *Meritocracy and Economic Inequality* (pp. 5–16). Princeton University Press.

 Further Reading
I. Theoretical and Comparative Perspectives
- Arneson, R. (2004). Luck egalitarianism interpreted and defended. *Philosophical Topics*, *32*(1–2), 1–20.
- Daniels, N. (1996). Merit and meritocracy. In *Justice and Justification* (pp. 302–316). Cambridge University Press.
- Arrow, K., Bowles, S., & Durlauf, S. (Eds.). (2000). *Meritocracy and Economic Inequality*. Princeton University Press.
- Bénabou, R. (2000). Meritocracy, redistribution, and the size of the pie. In *Meritocracy and Economic Inequality*. Princeton University Press.
- Durlauf, S. (2008). Affirmative action, meritocracy, and efficiency. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics*, *7*(2), 131–158.

II. Political and Cultural Critiques
- Bell, D. (2015). *The China Model: Political Meritocracy and the Limits of Democracy*. Princeton University Press.
- Guinier, L. (2015). *The Tyranny of the Meritocracy*. Beacon Press.
- Markovits, D. (2019). *The Meritocracy Trap*. Penguin Press.
- Miller, D. (1996). Two cheers for meritocracy. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *4*(4), 277–301.
- Mulligan, T. (2022). How East meets West: Justice and consequences in Confucian meritocracy. *Journal of Confucian Philosophy and Culture*, *37*, 17–38.

- Wooldridge, A. (2021). *The Aristocracy of Talent: How Meritocracy Made the Modern World*. Skyhorse.

III. Historical and Normative Foundations
- Smith, A. (2004). Of merit and demerit. In *Selected Philosophical Writings*. Andrews Press.
- Swift, A., & Marshall, G. (1997). Meritocratic equality of opportunity. *Policy Studies*, *18*(1), 35–48.
- Varga, S. (2016). Autonomous self-expression and meritocratic dignity. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, *19*(5), 1131–1149.

IV. Structural and Intersectional Critiques
- Young, I. M. (1989). Polity and group difference. *Ethics*, *99*(2), 250–274.
- Young, I. M. (2001). *Inclusion and Democracy* (Chapter 4). Oxford University Press.
- Young, I. M. (2006). Taking the basic structure seriously. *Perspectives on Politics*, *4*(1), 91–97.
- Young, I. M. (2007). Structural injustice and the politics of difference. In A. Laden & D. Owen (Eds.), *Multiculturalism and Political Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- Young, I. M. (1990). *Justice and the Politics of Difference* (Chapter 7: Affirmative Action and the Myth of Merit). Princeton University Press.

Week 13: Justice, Identity, and Difference — Inclusion, Recognition, and Structural Injustice

Theme: Inclusion, recognition, and the politics of difference
This week explores how justice intersects with identity, difference, and structural inequality. Students will examine theories of multiculturalism, disability, gender, intergenerational justice, algorithmic bias, and animal rights. The session emphasizes how justice must respond to diverse experiences, social positions, and historical exclusions.

Required Readings
I. Disability, Inclusion, and Justice
- Buchanan, A. (1996). Choosing who will be disabled: Genetic intervention and the morality of inclusion. *Social Philosophy and Policy*, *13*(2).
- Silvers, A. (1995). Reconciling equality to difference: Caring for people with disabilities. *Hypatia*, *10*(1).
- Nussbaum, M. (2006). *Frontiers of Justice: Disability, Nationality, Species Membership*. Harvard University Press.
- Tremmel, J. C. (2009). *A Theory of Intergenerational Justice*. Earthscan.

II. Recognition, Redistribution, and Minority Rights
- Fraser, N., & Honneth, A. (2003). *Redistribution or Recognition? A Political-Philosophical Exchange*. Verso.
- Patten, A. (2014). *Equal Recognition: The Moral Foundations of Minority Rights* (Chapters 1–5). Princeton University Press.
- Kymlicka, W. (2000). *Multicultural Citizenship* (Chapter 6). Oxford University Press.
- Kymlicka, W. (Ed.). (1995). *The Rights of Minority Cultures*. Oxford University Press.
- Kukathas, C. (1991). Are there any cultural rights? *Political Theory*, *20*, 105–139.

III. Gender, Family, and Patriarchy
- Okin, S. M. (1989). *Justice, Gender and the Family*. Basic Books.

- Nussbaum, M. C. (1999). *Sex and Social Justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Merry, S. E. (2006). *Human Rights and Gender Violence*. University of Chicago Press.
- Walby, S. (1990). *Theorizing Patriarchy* (Chapter 2). Blackwell.

IV. Animals, Citizenship, and Justice
- Garner, R. (2013). *A Theory of Justice for Animals* (Introduction and Chapter 2). Oxford University Press.
- Hinchcliffe, C. (2015). Animals and the limits of citizenship: Zoopolis and the concept of citizenship. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *23*(3), 302–320.

V. Algorithmic Bias and Technological Justice
- Johnson, G. (2020). Algorithmic bias: On the implicit biases of social technology.

Further Reading

I. Gender, Feminism, and Political Theory
- Adkins, L. (1995). *Gendered Work: Sexuality, Family and the Labour Market*. Open University Press.
- Cameron, D. (2019). *Feminism: A Brief Introduction*. University of Chicago Press.
- Hannam, J. (2014). *Feminism* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Okin, S. M. (2004). Justice and gender: An unfinished debate. *Fordham Law Review*, *72*, 1537.
- Okin, S. M. (2005). Forty acres and a mule for women: Rawls and feminism. *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, *4*(2).
- Pateman, C. (1989). *The Disorder of Women: Democracy, Feminism and Political Theory*. Polity.
- Pateman, C. (1987). Feminist critiques of the public/private dichotomy. In A. Phillips (Ed.), *Feminism and Equality* (pp. 103–126). NYU Press.
- Smith, A. (2004). Closer but still no cigar: On the inadequacy of Rawls's reply to Okin. *Social Theory and Practice*, *30*(1), 59–71.

II. Multiculturalism and Minority Rights
- Barry, B. (2002). *Culture and Equality: An Egalitarian Critique of Multiculturalism*. Polity.
- Kymlicka, W. (2001). *Politics in the Vernacular* (Chapters 1–3). Oxford University Press.
- Owen, D., & Laden, A. (Eds.). (2007). *Multiculturalism and Political Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- Laborde, C. (2017). *Liberalism's Religion*. Harvard University Press.

III. Animal Justice and Interspecies Politics
- Donaldson, S., & Kymlicka, W. (2015). Interspecies politics: Response to Hinchcliffe and Ladwig. *Journal of Political Philosophy*, *23*(3), 321–344.

Week 14: Justice and the Cosmopolitan Ideal — Global Ethics, Historical Injustice, and Transnational Responsibility

Theme: Global justice, historical responsibility, and transnational ethics
This final week explores the cosmopolitan vision of justice—its normative foundations, institutional implications, and critiques. Students will examine global distributive justice, human rights, sovereignty, historical injustice, and the ethics of responsibility across borders, generations, and species.

Required Readings

I. Cosmopolitanism and Global Justice
- Appiah, K. A. (2006). *Cosmopolitanism* (Introduction, Chapter 1). W.W. Norton & Co.
- Beitz, C. (1983). Cosmopolitan ideal and national sentiment. *Journal of Philosophy*, *80*(10), 591–600.
- Caney, S. (2005). *Justice Beyond Borders* (Chapters 3–4). Oxford University Press.
- Pogge, T. (2008). Cosmopolitanism and sovereignty. In T. Pogge & D. Moellendorf (Eds.), *Global Justice*(pp. 355–390). Paragon House.
- Barry, B. (2008). Humanity and justice in global perspective. In T. Pogge & D. Moellendorf (Eds.), *Global Justice* (pp. 179–210). Paragon House.
- Fabre, C. (2005). Global distributive justice: An egalitarian perspective. In D. Weinstock (Ed.), *Global Justice, Global Institutions*. University of Calgary Press.
- Steiner, H. (2005). Territorial justice and global redistribution. In G. Brock & H. Brighouse (Eds.), *The Political Philosophy of Cosmopolitanism* (pp. 28–38). Cambridge University Press.

II. Human Rights and Transnational Justice
- Forst, R. (2012). *The Right to Justification* (Part 3). Columbia University Press.
- Shiffrin, S. V. (2004). Race, labor, and the fair equality of opportunity principle. *Fordham Law Review*, *72*(5), 1643–1676.
- Smolensky, K. R. (2009). Rights of the dead. *Hofstra Law Review*, *37*, 763–803.
- Stemplowska, Z. (2020). Duties to the dead: Is posthumous mitigation of injustice possible? In D. Sobel, P. Vallentyne, & S. Wall (Eds.), *Oxford Studies in Political Philosophy*, Vol. 6. Oxford University Press.

III. Historical and Transitional Justice
- Hazan, P. (2010). *Judging War, Judging History: Behind Truth and Reconciliation*. Stanford University Press.
- Teitel, R. G. (2000). *Historical Justice, Transitional Justice* (Chapters 3 and 7). Oxford University Press.
- Simmons, A. J. (1995). Historical rights and fair shares. *Law & Philosophy*, *14*(2), 149–184.
- Thompson, J. (2006). Collective responsibility for historic injustices. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, *30*(1), 154–167.
- Waldron, J. (1992). Superseding historic injustice. *Ethics*, *103*(1), 4–28.

IV. Nationalism, Responsibility, and Exploitation
- Miller, D. (2008). National responsibility and global justice. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, *11*(4), 383–399.
- Nagel, T. (2010). The problems of global justice. In *Secular Philosophy* (pp. 61–92). Oxford University Press.
- Panitch, V. (2017). Exploitation and intimate labour. In C. Deveaux & V. Panitch (Eds.), *Exploitation: From Practice to Theory*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Young, I. M. (2011). Responsibility across borders. In *Responsibility for Justice* (Chapter 6, pp. 123–151).

Further Reading

I. Cosmopolitan Norms and Democratic Iterations

- Appiah, K. A. (2006). Cosmopolitan contamination. In *Cosmopolitanism* (Chapter 7, pp. 101–113). W.W. Norton & Co.
- Benhabib, S. (2006). *Another Cosmopolitanism* (pp. 1–80). Oxford University Press.
- Caney, S. (2005). Cosmopolitanism, democracy and distributive justice. In D. Weinstock (Ed.), *Global Justice, Global Institutions*.
- Caney, S. (2005). Cosmopolitan justice, responsibility, and global climate change. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, *18*(4), 747–775.

II. Justice and Global Political Space

- Fraser, N. (2010). *Scales of Justice: Reimagining Political Space in a Globalizing World* (Chapters 1–6). Routledge.
- Garner, R. (2013). *A Theory of Justice for Animals*. Oxford University Press.
- Knight, C., & Stemplowska, Z. (Eds.). (2011). *Responsibility and Distributive Justice*. Oxford University Press.

III. Climate, Culture, and Global Ethics

- Kanbur, R., & Shue, H. (2019). *Climate Justice: Integrating Economics and Philosophy* (pp. 1–24, 157–175). Oxford University Press.
- Nussbaum, M. (1999). Judging other cultures: The case of genital mutilation. In *Sex and Social Justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Nussbaum, M. (2005). *Frontiers of Justice: Disability, Nationality, Species Membership*. Harvard University Press.
- O'Neill, O. (1985). Lifeboat Earth. In C. Beitz (Ed.), *International Ethics* (pp. 262–281). Princeton University Press.
- O'Neill, O. (2000). *Bounds of Justice* (Parts I & II). Cambridge University Press.
- Pogge, T. (2002). Moral universalism and global economic justice. *Politics, Philosophy, and Economics*, *1*(1), 29–58.
- Walzer, M. (2008). *Spheres of Justice: A Defense of Pluralism and Equality*. Basic Books.