**DRAFT SYLLABUS –SUBJECT TO CHANGE**

***STD601E Political Concepts and Perspectives on Politics***

Fall 2022-2022 Tuesday 9:30-12:30 ITB Seminar Room

Office: # B4-320 Dept. Humanities and Social Sciences, FEB

Office Hours: Wednesday 09.00-13.00 Phone: (212) 285 7277, email: kocan@itu.edu.tr

**Course Description**

This course is a colloquium/seminar that intended to examine key political concepts and arguments, central to the philosophical, normative and interpretative analysis of contemporary politics. Concepts addressed in this course include: power, authority, political obligation, civil disobedience, freedom, autonomy, rights, equality, democracy, citizenship, common good and interests. The contestability of these political concepts and arguments will also be examined. Seminar discussions will also be directed towards understanding of discursive theoretical approaches representing complex conceptual arrangements such as individualism/liberalism or communitarianism/republicanism

**Course Requirements**

**Attendance:** Students are expected to come to class ready to discuss critically the week”s readings. This means excluding exceptional circumstances, students must attend all class sessions and arrive on time. Students are responsible for signing the attendance sheet that is handed on during the class. The attendance record will be taken into account when assigning students grades for class participation. **If students miss more than two class sessions without a legitimate and documented excuse, they will automatically fail the course**.

**Participation:** Active thoughtful participation based on extensive readings is essential in the work of seminar. This means, students are expected to keep up with each week”s reading and come to class prepared to participate actively to discussions and debates. Please plan to submit a one-page additional review of the readings for each session you miss, in addition to the four reviews assigned throughout the course. This extra review will make up for missed participation and will count towards your participation grade

**Presentation**: Students are expected to give a presentation every week. Each presentation should be 15-20 minutes, should describe key conceptual points, the central arguments/debates and offer criticism in the literature on the issue. Please be aware that the assignment is NOT to summarize the author’s points but instead to state what the central thesis or argument is, and then to critically analyze the theoretical framework the author uses to support that thesis or argument.

**Papers:** Students are expected to write a 20-25 pages original analytical research paper focusing on a particular political conceptual issue addressed by one of the issue covered in the class. Papers are expected to engage with relevant concepts and arguments raised in key academic literature. The Final Paper is due at the end of the course (Week14). In order to ensure that you have time to write a good paper and receive sufficient guidance from your instructor, an outline (a two-page statement of your proposed analytical research paper) is to be submitted on **9th Week**. The outline of the Paper will include a title, a thesis statement, an overview of your argument and a proposed bibliography. Analytical research proposals that are submitted after the due date will receive a 2 pts. grade penalty for every day they are late.

**Method of Evaluation:** Research paper and presentation as well as your contribution to class discussion, will be considered in determining the final grade. Students will be evaluated in terms of the following criteria:

* Analytical Research paper: 50%
* Presentations: 30%
* Attendance and Class Participation: 20%

**Academic Integrity and Honesty:** Honesty, integrity, and ethical behavior are of great importance in all aspects of academic life. Each student is expected to maintain the highest ethical standards of honesty and integrity in academic and professional matters. This means all of the work students submit in this course is expected to be their own creation. Cheating and plagiarism are examples of violations in the realm of ethics and integrity.

**General Readings**

* Bellamy R. and A. Mason (eds.), (2003) *Political Concepts.* Manchester: Manchester University Press
* Freeden, Michael, (ed.), (2001) *Reassessing Political Ideologies: The Durability of Dissent* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Gaus, Gerald (2000) *Political Concepts and Political Theories* Boulder CO: Westview Press.
* Goodin, R. E. and Philip Pettit (eds.), (1997) *Contemporary Political Philosophy* Oxford: Blackwell
* Kymlicka, Will (1990) *Contemporary Political Philosophy* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Laslett, Peter, (eds.), (1979) *Philosophy, Politics, and Society*, Yale University Press
* Mcdermott, D. (2008) “Analytical Political Philosophy” in D. Leopold & M. Stears (eds.), *Political Theory: Methods and Approaches*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Miller, David (ed.), (1987) *Blackwell Encyclopedia of Political Thought* New York: Basil Blackwell JA61. B53 1991, Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi
* Quinton, A., (ed.) (1967) *Political Philosophy* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Swift, A. (2004) “Political Philosophy and Politics” in A. Leftwich (ed.) *What is Politics*? Cambridge: Polity Press, 135-46.
* Wolff, Jonathan (1996), *An Introduction to Political Philosophy* Oxford: Oxford University Press. JA71. W65 1996, Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi
* Wolin, S. (2003) “Political Theory as a Vocation” in Alan Finlayson ed. *Contemporary Political Thought: A Reader and Guide*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 55-6

**Course schedule and reading assignments**

The course plan below indicates the schedule of issue we will discuss, required readings for each issue, and a tentative schedule of weeks on which readings and discussions will occur. All required readings should be completed in advance of the class session in which they will be discussed.

**WEEK I: Introduction: Political Concepts and Arguments**

**Essential readings:**

* Carens, J. H. (2004) A contextual approach to political theory. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, *7*, pp. 117–132.
* Barry, Brian (1965) *Political Argument:* London: Routledge & Kegan Paul
* Bellamy, R. and A. Mason (eds) (2003) *Political Concepts*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 28-40
* Freeden, Michael (2005) “What Should the “Political” in Political Theory Explore?” *The Journal of Political Philosophy* vol.13, no.2: 113-134
* Schmitt. C. (1996) *The Concept of the Political*. Trans. G. Schwab. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
* Weber, Max. (1947). *The Theory of Social and Economic Organization*. Edited with an Introduction by T. Parsons. New York & London: Free Press & Macmillan
* Weber, Max 1904/1949. “Objectivity in Social Science and Social Policy” in The Methodology of the Social Sciences, E. A. Shils and H. A. Finch (ed. and trans.), New York: Free Press. Chp.1-2.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Ball, Terence and Richard Bellamy (eds.), (2003) The *Cambridge History of Political Thought in the Twentieth Century* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
* Ball, Terence, James Farr and Hanson, Russell, (eds), (1989) *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
* Hamlin, Alan, and Pettit, Philip (eds), (1989) *The Good Polity: Normative Analysis of the State* New York: Basil Blackwell
* Held, David, (ed.), (1991) *Political Theory Today* Cambridge: Polity Press,
* Miller, David, and Siedentop, Larry (eds.) (1983) *The Nature of Political Theory* Oxford: Clarendon Press
* Seery, John (2003) “Political Theory in the Twentieth Century” in Alan Finlayson ed. *Contemporary Political Thought: A Reader and Guide.* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 34-46.
* Vincent, A. (2007) *The Nature of Political Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

**WEEK II: Contested Political Concepts and Arguments**

**Essential readings:**

* Berlin, Isaiah (2013) “Pursuit of the Ideal” *The Crooked Timber of Humani*ty Princeton: Princeton University.
* Carter, I. (2015) Value-freeness and value-neutrality in the analysis of political concepts. in *Oxford Studies in Political Philosophy*, vol. 1, edited by David Sobel, Peter Vallentyne and Steven Wall Oxford: Oxford University Press. Pp.279-307
* Estlund, David M. (2020) *Utopophobia On the Limits (If Any) of Political Philosophy*, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
* Freeden, Michael (1994) “Political concepts and ideological morphology”, *The Journal of Political Philosophy*, pp. 140–164.
* Gallie, W. B. (1956) “Essentially contested concepts”, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 56, pp. 167–198.
* Garver, Eugene (1990) “Essentially contested concepts: the ethics and tactics of argument”, *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 23, pp. 251–270.
* Goodin, R. (1995) “Political Ideals and Political Practice.” *British Journal of Political Science* 25.1: 37-56.
* Gray, John N. (1977) “On the contestability of social and political concepts”, *Political Theory*, 5, pp. 331–349,
* Rawls, John (1993) *A Theory of Justice*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp.10-15
* Simmons, A.J. (2010) “Ideal and Nonideal Theory.” *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 38.1: 5-36
* Stemplowska, Zofia, and Adam Swift (2012) “Ideal and Nonideal Theory,” in David Estlund (ed) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Philosophy* Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 373-389. JA71.O94 2012, Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi
* Valentini, Laura (2009) “On the Apparent Paradox of Ideal Theory,” *Journal of Political Philosophy* 17.3: 332-355

**Suggested Readings:**

* Herzog, D. (1985) *Without foundations: Justification in political theory*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press
* Benton, Ted (1982) “Realism Power and Objective Interests” in Keith Graham (ed.), *Contemporary Political Philosophy* New York: Cambridge University Press.
* Sangiovanni, A. (2016) “How Practices Matter” *Journal of Political Philosophy*, 24 (1):3-23
* Waldron, Jeremy (2002) “Is the rule of law an essentially contested concept? *Law and Philosophy*, 21, pp. 137–164.

**WEEK III: Power**

**Essential readings:**

* Allen, A. (1998) “Rethinking Power” Hypatia Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 21-40
* Bachrach, P & M. Baratz (1962) “Two Faces of Power” *American Political Science Review*, 56 pp. 947-52
* Ball, Terence (1993) “Power”, in Goodin & Pettit (eds.), *A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy* Oxford: Blackwell.
* Ball, Terence (1992) “New Faces of Power”, in Wartenberg T. E. (ed.), *Rethinking Power*, State University of New York Press, Albany.
* Barbalet, J.M. (1985) “Power and Resistance” *The British Journal of Sociology* 36.4, 531-548.
* Brown, Wendy (2006) “Power after Foucault” in John Dryzek et al. (eds.) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Theory* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Castells, Manuel (2009) *Communication Power* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Connolly, William (1983) *The Terms of Political Discourse,* ch 3 New York: New Jersey: Princeton University Press
* Dahl, Robert, *Modern Political Analysis* (1984) New York: Pearson chs 5, 6. JC330.D34 1984, Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi
* Foucault, Michel (1983) “The Subject and Power”, in H. Dreyfus and P. Rabinow (eds.) *Michel Foucault: Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
* Gray, John (2004) “Political Power, Social Theory and Essential Contestability” in David Miller and Larry Siedentop (eds.) *The Nature of Political Theory* Oxford: Clarendon Press JA83. V56 2004, Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi
* Hayward, Clarissa R. (2000) *De-Facing Power* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Lukes, Steven (2005) *Power: A Radical View* 2nd edition London: Macmillan. (Ch. 1 (pp. 14-59).
* Morriss, Peter (2002) *Power: A Philosophical Analysis* Palgrave Macmillan. pp. 8-46. BD438.M67 2002, Mimarlik Fak. Kütüphanesi
* Pansardi, Pamela (2010) *The Concept of Power: A Meta-theoretical Approach* Paper prepared for the SISP Annual Conference, IUAV, Venice, 16-18.
* Weber, Max (1946) “Politics as a Vacation” *From Max Weber: Essays in Sociology* H.H. Gerth and C. Wright Mills (Translated and edited), pp. 77­-128, New York: Oxford University Press
* Weber, Max (1978) “Power and Domination”, in *Economy and Society*, Vol 1, G. Roth and C. Wittich (eds), Berkeley: University of California Press, pp. 53-4.
* Wrong, Dennis H. (2002) *Power: Its Forms, Bases and Uses*, 3rd edn, London: Transaction

**Suggested Readings:**

* Allen, A. (2016) “Feminist Perspectives on Power”, in *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, E.N. Zalta, Edt.
* Arendt, H. (1969) *On Violence.* New York: Hartcourt,
* Barry, Brian (1991) *Democracy, Power and Justice* Clarendon Press chs 8, 9, 10, 11
* Carters I., “Social Power and Negative Freedom”, *Homo Economicus*, 24, 2007, pp. 187-229.
* Dowding, K.M. (2008) “Power, Capability and Ableness: The Fallacy of the Vehicle Fallacy”, *Contemporary Political Theory*, 7, pp. 238-258.
* Gohler G. (2009) “Power to” and “Power over”, in Clegg S., Haugaard M. (eds.) *Handbook of Power*, Sage, London, pp. 27-39.
* Gray, J. (1983) “Political Power, Social Theory and Essential Contestability”, in Miller D. and Siedentop L. (eds.), *The Nature of Political Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
* Kraft J. (2000) “Power-with, not power-over”, *Peace News*, Vol. 35, June-August,
* Macdonald, K. I. (1976) “Is “Power” Essentially Contested?”, *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 380-382.
* Pitkin, H.F. (1974) *Wittgenstein and Justice: On the Significance of Ludwig Wittgenstein for Social and Political Thought.* Berkley: University of California Press.
* Wartenberg, T.E. (1990) *The Forms of Power: From Domination to Transformation*, Temple University Press, Philadelphia.

**WEEK IV: Authority**

**Essential readings:**

* Applebaum, Arthur I. (2010) “Legitimacy without the Duty to Obey”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 38. (3):215-239
* Arendt, Hannah (2006) “What is Authority?” in *Between Past and Future* London: Penguin Classics.
* Arneson Richard (2003) “Defending the Purely Instrumental Account of Democratic Legitimacy”, *Journal of Political Philosophy*
* Beetham, David (1991) *The Legitimation of Power* London: Palgrave Macmillan
* Christiano, Thomas (2004) “The Authority of Democracy “The *Journal of Political Philosophy*: Volume 12, Number 3, 2, pp. 266–290
* Darwall, Stephen (2011) “Authority, Accountability, and Preemption” 2 (1) *Jurisprudence* 103–19,
* Estlund, David M. (2008) *Democratic Authority: A Philosophical Framework*, Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford. CH 1, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13
* Huemer, Michael (2013) *The Problem of Political Authority: An Examination of the Right to Coerce and the Duty to Obey. New York: Palgrave. Pp 3-101*
* Raz, Joseph, (1979) *Authority of Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.9-40
* Shapiro, Scott (2002) “Authority”, In Jules Coleman & Scott J. Shapiro (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Jurisprudence and Philosophy of Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Simmons, A. John (1999) “Justification and Legitimacy” *Ethics* 109, no. 4 (July): 739-771
* Weber, Max (1978), *Economy and Society*, Rorth G. and Wittich C. (eds.), Berkeley: University of California Press. pp.124-132
* Wolf, R.P (1970) *In Defense of Anarchism*. New York: Harper & Row.p.112-126.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Christiano, Thomas (2012) “Authority” *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/authority/
* Darwall, Stephen (2009) “Authority and second-personal reasons for acting”, in. David Sobel and Steven Wall (eds*) Reasons for Action*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Green, Leslie, (1988) *The Authority of the State* Oxford University Press
* Hobbes, Thomas (1982). *Leviathan*. New York: Penguin Classics.
* Lukes S. (1978) “Power and Authority”, in Bottomore T. and Nisbet R., (eds.), *A History of Sociological Analysis*, Heinemann, London, pp. 663-676.
* Marmor, Andrei (2005) “Authority, Equality, and Democracy”, Ratio Juris 18): 315–345,
* Peters, R.S. (1973) *Authority, Responsibility and Education* New York: HarperCollins Publishers.
* Perry, Stephen R. (2012) “Political Authority and Political Obligation” in *Oxford Studies in the Philosophy of Law* Leslie Green & Brian Leiter eds., Univ. of Pennsylvania School of Law, Public Law Research Paper No. 12-37
* Waldron, Jeremy (2003) “Authority for Officials”, in Lukas H. Meyer (eds) *Rights, Culture, and the Law: Themes from the Legal and Political Philosophy of Joseph Raz*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

**WEEK V: Political Obligation**

**Essential readings:**

* Bedau, H. A. (1991) “Civil Disobedience and Personal Responsibility for Injustice”, in H.A. Bedau, (ed.), *Civil Disobedience in Focus*, London: Routledge, 49-67.
* Dworkin Ronald (2011) “Obligations”, in *Justice for Hedgehogs*. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap, Ch. 14.
* Gilbert, Margaret (2006) A *Theory of Political Obligation: Obligation: Membership, Commitment, and the Bonds of Society*.Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Goodhart, Michael (2017) “Interpreting Responsibility Politically.” *Journal of Political*

*Philosophy* 25 (2): 173–95.

* Green, T. H. (1986) *Lectures on the Principles of Political Obligation* Cambridge University Press.
* Hardin, Russell. (1989) “Political Obligation,” in Alan Hamlin and Philip Pettit, editors, *The Good Polity*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, pp. 103-119.
* Horton, J. (2010) *Political Obligation*. 2nd edition. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
* Klosko, G. (2005) *Political Obligations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
* McDermott, D. (2004) “Fair-play obligations” *Political Studies* 52 216-232.
* Pateman, Carole (1985) *The Problem of Political Obligation* Berkley: University of California Press.
* Pettit, Philip (2007) “Responsibility Incorporated.” *Ethics* 117 (2): 171–201.
* Pitkin, Hanna (1965) Obligation and Consent--I. *American Political Science Review*, 59(4), 990–999.
* Pitkin, Hanna (1966) Obligation and Consent--II. *American Political Science Review*, 60(1), 39–52.
* Raz, Joseph (1991) “Civil Disobedience”, in H.A. Bedau (ed.), Civil *Disobedience in Focus*, London: Routledge, 159-169.
* Seglow, J. (2013) *Defending Associative Duties*. New York, New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
* Simmons, A. J. (1981) *Moral Principles and Political Obligations.* Princeton, N.J.: Princeton.
* Stilz, A. (2010) “Collective Responsibility and the State.” *Journal of Political Philosophy* 19 (2):90–208.
* Waldron, Jeremy (1993) “Special Ties and Natural Duties”, in *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 22 3-30.
* Wolff, J. (2000) “Political Obligation: A Pluralistic Approach”. In M. Baghramian–A. Ingram, eds: *Pluralism*. London-New York: Routledge.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Applbaum, A.I. (2010) “Legitimacy without the Duty to Obey”, in *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 38 215-239.
* Arneson, Richard (1982) 'The Principle of Fairness and Free-Rider Problems', *Ethics* 92: 616-33.
* Brownlee, K. (2004) “Features of a Paradigm Case of Civil Disobedience”, *Res Publica* 10 (4) 337-351.
* Buchanan, A. (2002) Political Legitimacy and Democracy. *Ethics*, 112(4), 689–719.
* Christiano, Thomas (1999) “Justice and Disagreement at the Foundations of Political Authority”, in *Ethics* 109 165-187.
* Dagger, R. (2000) “Membership, Fair Play, and Political Obligation”. In *Political Studies*, 48(1), 104–117.
* Dworkin, Ronald (1991) “Obligations of Community”, in *Law’s Empire*. 195-224. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
* Dworkin, Ronald (1996) “Civil Disobedience” in *Taking Rights Seriously* New York:Gerald Duckworth & Co; New edition
* Gans, C. (1992) “The Conditions of the Applicability of the Duty to Obey the Law and Its Democratic Foundation”, in Gans: *Philosophical Anarchism and Political Disobedience*. Cambridge: The University Press, 94-119
* Gewirth, Alan (1970) Obligation: Political, Legal, Moral. In: Pennock JR and Chapman JW (eds), *Nomos XII: Political and Legal Obligation*, New York, Atherton.
* Hardimon, Michael. (1994) “Role Obligations.” *Journal of Philosophy* 91, No:7 333–363.
* Hart, H. L. A. (1994) *The Concept of Law.* 2nd ed. Edt P.A. Bulloch and J. Raz. Oxford: Clarendon.
* Korsgaard, Christine M. (1996) *The Sources of Normativity.* Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
* Green, L. (1990) *The Authority of the State*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Mokrosinska, D. (2012) *Rethinking Political Obligation*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
* Morreall, J. (1991) “The Justifiability of Violent Civil Disobedience” in H.A. Bedau (ed.), *Civil Disobedience in Focus*, London: Routledge
* Parekh, B. (1993) A Misconceived Discourse on Political Obligation. *Political Studies*, 41(2), 236–251.
* Plato, *Crito* (trans. B. Jowett) – available at http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/crito.html
* Raz, Joseph (1979). *Authority of Law*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 256-280.
* Raz, Joseph (1984) “The Obligation to Obey: Revision and Tradition”, in *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy* 1 139-155
* Rawls, J. (1993) *A Theory of Justice*. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, chapter 6. 333-391
* Simmons, A.J. (1996) “Associative Political Obligations”. *Ethics*, 106(2), 247–273.
* Simmons, A. J. (1999) “Justification and Legitimacy” *Ethics* 109 (4):739-771
* Singer, Peter (1973) *Democracy and Disobedience*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
* Smith, M.B.E. (1973) “Is There a Prima Facie Obligation to Obey the Law?”, *The Yale Law Journal* 82 950-976.
* Stark, C.A. (2000) Hypothetical Consent and Justification. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 97(6), 313–334.
* Van der Vossen, B. (2011) Associative Political Obligations. *Philosophy Compass*, 6(7), 477–487.
* Wellman, C. H. & A. John Simmons (2005) *Is There a Duty to Obey the Law? For and Against* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, Part II.

**WEEK VI: Negative Freedom and Positive Freedom**

**Negative freedom**

**Essential readings:**

* Berlin, Isaiah (2002) “Introduction” and “Two Concepts of Liberty” *Liberty.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Dimova-Cookson, M. (2003) “A New Scheme of Positive and Negative Freedom: Reconstructing T. H. Green on Freedom”, *Political Theory*, 31, pp. 508-32.
* Gray, John (1980) 'On Negative and Positive Liberty,' *Political Studies*, 28, 507-26
* Kukathas, C. (2007) *The Liberal Archipelago: A Theory of Diversity and Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* MacCallum, G. (1967) “Negative and Positive Freedom”, *The Philosophical Review*, Volume 76, Issue 3 312-334 and in in D. Miller (ed.) *Liberty* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 100-22.
* Waldron, Jeremy (2003) “Security and Liberty: The Image of Balance” in *Journal of Political Philosophy*, Vol: 11, No: 2, pp. 191-210.
* Wolff, J. (1997) “Freedom, Liberty and Property” *Critical Review*, Vol:11, No:3, 345-357

**Suggested Readings:**

* Miller, David (1983) “Constraints on Freedom”, *Ethics* Vol:94, No:1
* Goodin, Robert & Frank Jackson (2007) “Freedom from Fear”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* Vol: 35, No: 3 pp. 249-265
* Pettit, Phillip (1993) “Negative Liberty, Liberal and Republican”, *European Journal of Philosophy*, No: 1, 15-38.
* Skorukpski, J. (2006) *Why Read Mill Today?* London: Routledge.

**Positive Freedom**

**Essential readings:**

* Arendt, Hannah (1991) “Freedom and Politics”, in D. Miller (ed.) *Liberty* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Christman, John (2005) “Saving Positive Freedom”, *Political Theory*, No: 33, pp. 79-88
* Cohen, Gerald A. (1988) History *Labour and Freedom* chs. 12, 13 Oxford: Clarendon Press.
* Kramer, Matthew (2008) “Liberty and Domination”, in Cécile Laborde and J. Maynor (eds.), *Republicanism and Political Theory* Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 31-57
* Shapiro, Ian (2012) “On Non-domination”, *University of Toronto Law Journal* 62, 3, pp. 293-335
* Skinner, Quentin (2002) “A Third Concept of Liberty”, *Proceedings of the British Academy*, No: 117, 237-268
* Pettit, Philip(1999) *Republicanism: A Theory of Freedom and Government* Oxford: Oxford University Press, chs. 1-2
* Waldron, Jeremy (1993) “Homelessness and the Issue of Freedom” in *Liberal Rights* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Christman, John (1991) “Liberalism and Individual Positive Freedom”, *Ethics* No: 101, pp. 343-59
* Kramer, Matthew (2008) “Liberty and Domination”, in Cécile Laborde and J. Maynor (eds.), *Republicanism and Political Theory* Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 31-57.
* Pettit, Philip (2006) “The Republican Ideal of Freedom” reprinted in David Miller ed. *The Liberty Reader*, Edinburgh: Paradigm.
* Pettit, Phillip (2005) “The Tree of Liberty: Republicanism, American, French and Irish”, *Field Day Review*, Vol. 1, pp. 29-41
* Pettit, Phillip (1999) “Republican Freedom and Contestatory Democracy”, in Shapiro, Ian and CasianoHacker-Cordon, *eds*. *Democracy's Value*. Cambridge:Cambridge University Press.
* Skinner, Quentin (1998) *Liberty before Liberalism* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Skinner, Quentin (1981) “The Paradoxes of Political Liberty”, in D. Miller (ed.), *Liberty* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Skinner, Quentin (1990) “The Republican Idea of Political Liberty”, in G. Bock, Q. Skinner and M. Viroli (eds.) *Machiavelli and Republicanism*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 293-309.
* Taylor, C. (1991) “What’s Wrong with Negative Liberty” in D. Miller (ed.) *Liberty Reader* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

**WEEK VII: Freedom and Autonomy**

**Essential readings:**

* Arneson, Richard (2010) “Against Freedom of Conscience” in *San Diego Law Review*, vol. 47, pp. 1015–1038.
* Blake, Michael (2001) “Distributive Justice, State Coercion, and Autonomy”, *Philosophy & Public Affairs* 30: 257-96.
* Bratman, M.E. (1999) “Shared Intention and Mutual Obligation”, in Bratman: *Faces of Intention*. Cambridge: The University Press, 130-141.
* Cohen, Gerald A. (2011) “Freedom and Money” reprinted in *On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice, and Other Essays in Political Philosophy*, New Jersey: Princeton, pp. 166-199
* Carter, Ian (1999) *A Measure of Freedom* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Dworkin, Gerald (1988) *The Theory and Practice of Autonomy* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press ch.1-5 and 8.
* Estlund, David (2005) “Political Authority and the Tyranny of Non-Consent”, in *Philosophical Issues* 15.
* Frankfurt, Henry (1971) “Freedom of the Will and the Concept of the Person”, *Journal of Philosophy* Vol. 68, No. 1, pp. 5-20.
* Raz, Joseph (1986) *The Morality of Freedom* Oxford: Clarendon Press, Part V.
* Scanlon, T.M. (1972) “A Theory of Freedom of Expression” in *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 204-226.
* Steiner, Hillel (1974) “Individual Liberty”, Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society 75:33 – 50. or in D. Miller (ed.) *Liberty* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 33-57.
* Wolff, Robert P. (1970) “The Conflict between Authority and Autonomy”, in Robert P. Wolff, In *Defense of Anarchism*, New York: Harper & Row.
* Warnock, M. (1987) “The Limits of Toleration” in S. Mendus and D. Edwards (eds.) *On Toleration* Oxford: Clarendon Press, 123-39.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Carter, Ian (1995) “The Independent Value of Freedom” in *Ethics*, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 819-845.
* Gray, J. And G.W. Smith (eds.) (1991) *On Liberty in Focus* London: Routledge.
* Geuss, Raymond (2005) “Freedom as an Ideal”, *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, Supplementary vol. LXIX (1993), in Geuss, R. *Outside Ethics* Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 87-100.
* Hirschmann, Nancy (2003) *The Subject of Liberty* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
* Kramer, M.H. (2003) *The Quality of Freedom*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Megone, Christopher (1987) “One Concept of Liberty”, *Political Studies* 35, 4, pp. 611-622
* Mill, J.S. (1991) [1859] *On Liberty*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, Ch. 2.
* Riley, J. (1998) *Mill on Liberty* London: Routledge.
* Skorukpski, J. (2006) *Why Read Mill Today?* London: Routledge

**WEEK VIII: Rights**

**Essential readings:**

* Brandt, R. B. (1983) “The Concept of a Moral Right,” *Journal of Philosophy* No: 80, pp. 29-45
* Cranston, M. (1973) *What Are Human Rights?* London: Bodley Head
* Dershowitz, Alan (2004) Rights *from Wrongs: A Secular Theory of the Origins of Rights.* New York: Basic Books.
* Dworkin, Ronald (1996) *Taking Rights Seriously* Gerald Duckworth & Co Ltd. Ch:7 and 12.
* Feinberg, Joel (1980) *Rights, Justice, and the Bounds of Liberty*
* Finnis, John (1980) *Natural Law and Natural Rights* Oxford: Clarendon Press Ch 8.
* Freeden, Michael, (1991) Rights*.* Minneapolis: University Minnesota Press.
* Gewirth, Alan (1982) “Epistemology of Human Right” *Social Philosophy & Policy* Vol: 1, pp.1-24.
* Griffin, James (2001) “First Steps in an Account of Human Rights.” *European Journal of Philosophy* 9: 306- 327
* Hartney, Michael (1995) “Some Confusions Concerning Collective Rights.” in Will Kymlicka, The Rights of Minority Cultures. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Hart, H. (1955) “Are There Any Natural Rights?” *Philosophical Review* 64: 175-191.
* Kukathas, C. (1992) ‘Are There Any Cultural Rights?’, *Political Theory*. Vol: 20 No:1, pp. 105–139.
* Patten, Alan. 2014. *Equal Recognition: The Moral Foundations of Minority Rights.* Princeton University Press, chs. 1-5.
* Raz, Joseph (1986) *The Morality of Freedom* Oxford: Clarendon Press. ch. 7.
* Shue, Henry (1980) *Basic Rights* New Jersey: Princeton. University Press.
* Steiner, H. (1994) *An Essay on Rights* Oxford: Blackwell.
* Waldron, Jeremy (1995) “Rights” in R. Goodin and P. Pettit (eds.), *A Companion to Contemporary Political Philosophy* New York: Blackwell.
* Wenar, Leif (2005) “The Nature of Rights”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 33 no. 3, pp. 223-253.

**Suggested Readings:**

* An-Na'im, A.A. (1992) *Human Rights in Cross-Cultural Perspective: A Quest for Consensus*. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press
* Bauer, O.R. and D.A. Bell, eds. (1999) *The East Asian Challenge for Human Rights.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
* Beitz, Charles (2009) *The Idea of Human Rights* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Cranston, M. (1967) “Human Rights, Real and Supposed,” in D. D. Raphael, ed. *Political Theory and the Rights of Man*. London: Macmillan
* Freeden, Michael (1991) *Rights* London: Open University Press.
* Gewirth, A. (1982) *Human Rights: Essays on Justification and Applications*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
* Griffin, James (2008) *On Human Rights* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Jones, Peter (1994) *Rights* Palgrave Macmillan.
* Lauren, P. (1998) *The Evolution of International Human Rights*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press
* Nickel, J. (2006) *Making Sense of Human Rights*. Second edition. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing
* Orend, B. (2002) *Human Rights: Concept and Context*. Peterborough, Ont.: Broadview Press.
* Schmitt, Carl (2008) *Constitutional Theory.* Durham: Duke University Press. Pp.197-220.
* Simmons, A. J. (1992) *The Lockean Theory of Rights*, Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.
* Steiner, H. and Alston, P. (eds.) (2000) *International Human Rights in Contex*t. Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Talbott, W. (2005) *Which Rights Should be Universal*? Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Thomson, Judith (1990) *The Realm of Rights Harvard* University Press
* Wellman, Carl. (1997) *An Approach to Rights.* Springer. pp.1-85
* Waldron, Jeremy (1989) “Rights in Conflict”, *Ethics* 99 no. 3 pp. 503-519.
* Waldron, Jeremy (ed.) (1985) *Theories of Rights*. Oxford: Blackwell.
* Wellman, C. (1999) *The Proliferation of Rights: Moral Progress or Empty Rhetoric?* Boulder, CO: Westview Press

**WEEK IX: Equality as a Moral Ideal**

**Essential readings:**

* Anderson, Elizabeth (1999) “What is the Point of Equality?”, *Ethics* Vol:109, No. 2 287-337.
* Carter, I. (2011) 'Respect and the Basis of Equality', *Ethics* Vol:121: 538-71.
* Dworkin, Ronald (1981) “What is Equality?” Parts 1, *Philosophy and Public Affairs*
* Frankfurt, H. (1987) “Equality as a Moral Ideal”, *Ethics* 98 21-43.
* Frank, Robert H. (2016) Success *and Luck* Princeton: Princeton University Press, chs 1 - 5.
* Nagel, Thomas (1979) “Equality” in his *Mortal Questions* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Norman, Richard (1998) “The Social Basis of Equality”, in A. Mason (ed) *Ideals of Equality* Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
* Parfit, Derek “Equality and Priority”, *Ratio* 10. (3):202–221
* Mounk, Yascha (2017) *The Age of Responsibility* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, Introduction, chs, 3, 4, 5 and Conclusion
* Scanlon, T.M. (2000) “The Diversity of Objections to Inequality” in Clayton and Williams (eds.), *The Ideal of Equality* Basingstoke: Macmillan pp.41-59.
* Scheffler, Samuel (2003) “What is Egalitarianism?”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 31 (1):5–39.
* Wilkinson, Richard and Kate Pickett (2011) *The Spirit Level: Why Greater Equality Makes Societies Stronger*, New York: Bloomsburry Press. Pp. 3-49 and 173-267.
* Williams, Bernard (1962) “The Idea of Equality” in *Problems of the Self* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press also in Letwin, W. (1983) *Against Equality*. London: Macmillan.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Anderson, E.S. (2010) “The Fundamental Disagreement between Luck Egalitarians and Relational Egalitarians”, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* Vol:40, Supp:1, pp.1-23.
* Baker, John (1987) *Arguing for Equality* London: Verso.
* Callinicos, A. (2000) *Equality* Cambridge: Polity Press.
* Cavanagh, M. (2002) *Against Equality of Opportunity.* Oxford: Clarendon.
* Cohen, G.A. (1989) “On the Currency of Egalitarian Justice”, *Ethics* Vol:99, No:4 pp.906-944.
* Cohen, Gerald A. (2000) *If You're an Egalitarian, How Come You're so Rich?* Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, Chapters 8 and 9.
* Clayton, M. and A. Williams (eds.) (2000) *The Ideal of Equality* Basingstoke: Macmillan.
* Dahl, R.A. (1989) *Democracy and its Critics*, Yale University Press, New Haven CT.
* Lippert-Rasmussen, K. (2015) “Luck Egalitarians Versus Relational Egalitarians: On the Prospects of a Pluralist Account of Egalitarian Justice”, *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 45:2 (pp.220-241).
* Seglow, J. (2003) ‘Neutrality and Equal Respect’, *The Journal of Value Inquiry*, 37(1), pp. 83–96
* Tan, K.C. (2008) “A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism”, *The Journal of Philosophy* Vol:10, 11 pp.665-690.
* Temkin, Larry (1986) “Inequality”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* Vol:15. No:2, pp.99-121.

**WEEK X: Equality of What, Equality of Whom and Equality When?**

**Essential readings:**

* Arneson, Richard (1989) “Equality and Equality of Opportunity for Welfare”, *Philosophical Studies* Vol:56. No:12
* Christiano, Thomas (2008) *The Constitution of Equality*: *Democratic Authority and Its Limits,* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Cohen, G.A. (2013) “Notes on Regarding People as Equals” in Cohen, G.A. *Finding Oneself in the Other*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ.
* Daniels, Norman (1975) “Equal Liberty and Unequal Worth of Liberty”, in N. Daniels, edt. *Reading Rawls*. New York: Basic Books.
* Dworkin, R. (1981) “Equality of What? Part 2: Equality of Resources”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* Vol:10, No:4 pp.283-345.
* Fraser, Nancy & Axel Honneth (1998) *Recognition or Redistribution?* London: Verso.
* Holtug, Nils; Lippert-Rasmussen, Kasper (2006) “An Introduction to Contemporary Egalitarianism.” *Egalitarianism: New Essays on the Nature and Value of Equality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press,
* Jones, Peter (1983) “Political Equality and Majority Rule” in David Miller, Larry Siedentop(ed.), *The Nature of Political Theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
* Kok-Chor Tan (2008) “A Defense of Luck Egalitarianism” *Journal of Philosophy* 105 (11):665-690.
* Mason, A. (2006) *Levelling the Playing Field: The Idea of Equal Opportunity and its Place in Egalitarian Thought* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Phillips, Anne (2004) “Defending Equality of Outcome” *Journal of Political Philosophy* Vol:12 No:1, 1-
* Nozick, Robert (1974) *Anarchy, State and Utopia* New York: Basic Books, 149-64; 167-82; 213-36.
* Sen, Amatya (1980) “Equality of What?” in S. McMurrin (ed.), *Tanner Lectures on Human Values*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Sen, Amatya (2009) *The Idea of Justice* London: Allen Lane
* Steiner, Hillel, (1994) An *Essay on Rights*. Oxford: Blackwell.
* Young, Iris Marion (2001) “Equality of Whom? Social groups and judgments of injustice.” *Journal of Political Philosophy* Vol:9 No:1 pp. 1-18.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Arneson, Richard (1999) “Against Rawlsian Equality of Opportunity”, *Philosophical Studies* 93, pp. 77-112.
* Cavanagh, M. (2002) *Against Equality of Opportunity*. Oxford: Clarendon Press
* Cohen, G. A. (2008) *Rescuing justice and equality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
* Cohen, G. A. (1992) “Incentives, Inequality and Community” in *The Tanner Lectures* Vol: XIII.
* Mason, A. (2003) “Social Justice: The Place of Equal Opportunity” in R. Bellamy and A. Mason (eds) *Political Concepts*, Manchester: Manchester University Press, pp. 28-40
* Nussbaum, Martha (2000) *Women and Human Development*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Phillips, Anne (1999) *Which Equalities Matter?* Oxford: Polity
* Rawls, Rawls (1999) “The Basis of Equality” in *A Theory of Justice* Cambridge: Harvard University Press), pp. 441-9.
* Sen, Amatya (1999) Development as Freedom, Oxford University Press
* Sen, Amatya (1993) “Capability and Well-being”, in M. Nussbaum and A. K. *Sen* (eds.) *The Quality of Life*, Oxford: Clarendon Press. Pp. 31-53.
* Saunders, Ben (2010) “Political Equality and Majority Rule” in *Ethics,* Vol. 121, No. 1 pp. 148-177
* Walzer, Michael (1985) *Spheres of Justice* Oxford: Blackwell.
* Williams, A. (2006) “Liberty, Equality and Property” in J. Dryzek, B. Honnig, & A. Phillips (eds) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Theory* Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.488-506.

**WEEK XI: Citizenship**

**Essential readings:**

* Arendt, Hannah (1998) *The Human Condition* Chicago: University of Chicago Press. ch 2.
* Crick, B. (2000) “A Subject at Last!”, in *Essays on Citizenship*, London: Continuum, pp. I -11.
* Dagger, Richard (1997) *Civic Virtues: Rights, Citizenship and Republican Liberalism* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Dewey, John (1922) *Human Nature and Conduct* New York: Henry Holt Company.
* Janoski, T. (1998) *Citizenship and Civil Society: A Framework of Rights and Obligations in Liberal, Traditional, and Social Democratic Regimes*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
* Etzioni, A. (1997) *The New Golden Rule: Community and Morality in a Democratic Society*, London: Profile Books.
* Kymlicka, W. and W. Norman (2000) 'Citizenship in Culturally Diverse Societies: Issues, Contexts, Concepts', in W. Kymlicka and W. Norman (eds.) *Citizenship in Diverse Societies*, Oxford: Oxford University Pres), pp. 1-41.
* Marshall, T.H. (1992) “Citizenship and Social Class” (1950) in: *Citizenship and Social Class*; T.H. Marshall/Tom Bottomore; London: Pluto Press, pp. 8 – 17.
* Michelman, Frank (1988) “Law's Republic” *Yale Law Journal*. Vol. 97, No: 8 1493-1537.
* Miller, D. (2000) *Citizenship and National Identity*. Cambridge: Polity Press, pp. 41-61.
* Oldfield, A. (1990) *Citizenship and Community: Civic Republicanism and the Modem World*, London: Routledge.
* Pitkin, Hanna & Sara M. Shumer (1982) “On Participation.” In *Democracy*, Vol:2, No:4, pp.43-54.
* Pocock, J. G. A. (1995) “The Ideal of Citizenship since Classical Times” in R. Beiner (ed.) *Theorizing Citizenship*, Albany, NY: State University of New York Press, pp. 29-52.
* Putnam, R. (2000) *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*, New York: Simon and Schuster.
* Sandel, Michael (1984) “The Procedural Republic and the Unencumbered Self” *Political Theory* 12 Vol. 12, No. 1. pp. 81-96.
* Van Gunsteren, Herman R. (1998) A *Theory of Citizenship*, Boulder: Westview.
* Walzer, M. (1989) “Citizenship”, in T. Ball, J. Farr and R. Hanson (eds.) *Political Innovation and Conceptual Change*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 211-219.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Barbalet, J. (1988) *Citizenship: Rights, Struggle and Class Inequality*, Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
* Crick, B. (2004) “Politics as a Form of Rule: Politics, Citizenship and Democracy”, in

A. Leftwich (ed.) *What is Politics? The Activity and its Study*, Cambridge: Polity Press, pp. 67-85.

* Kymlicka, W. (1995) *Multicultural Citizenship: A Liberal Theory of Minority Rights*, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
* Marx, K. (1977) [1843] 1On the Jewish Question1, in D. McLellan (ed.) *Karl Marx: Selected Writings*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 39-62
* Miller, D. (2000) “Bounded Citizenship”, in *Citizenship and National Identity*, Cambridge: Polity Press, pp. 81-96.
* Ober, Josiah (1998) *Political Dissent in Democratic Athens: Intellectual Critics of Popular Rule*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
* Pettit, Philip(1999) *Republicanism: A Theory of Freedom and Government* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Putnam, R. (ed.) (2002) *Democracies in Flux: The Evolution of Social Capital in Contemporary Society*, Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Sandel, M. (1998) *Liberalism and the Limits of Justice*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Viroli, Maurizio (1992) *From Politics to Reasons of State, “*Intro and Epilogue” Cambridge: Cambridge University Press

**WEEK XII: Public Interest and Common Good**

**Essential readings:**

* Barry, Brian and W. J. Rees (1964) “The Public Interest” in Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society 38 (supplementary volume): 1–18.
* Broome, John. (1991) *Weighing Goods:* *equality, uncertainty and time.* Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
* Douglas, Bruce (1980) “The Common Good and the Public Interest” *Political Theory* 8, (1):103-117
* Enoch, D. (2015) “Political Philosophy and Epistemology: The Case of Public Reason” in *Oxford Studies in Political Philosophy*, vol. III, edt. David Sobel, Peter Vallentyne and Steven Wall. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Pp. 132-166.
* Dryzek, John S., and Christian List. (2003) “Social Choice Theory and Deliberative Democracy: A Reconciliation,” *British Journal of Political Science* 33(1): 1-28.
* Etzioni, A. (2004) *The Common Good*. Cambridge: Polity Press
* Flathman, Richard (1966) *The Public Interest* New York: Wiley.
* Galston, William (1991) *Liberal Purposes* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
* Keys, M.M. and Godfrey C. (2010) “Common Good”. in: M. Bevir (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Political Theory*. Los Angeles: Sage, pp. 239–242.
* List, Christian and Ben Polak (2010) “Introduction to Judgment Aggregation,” *Journal of Economic Theory* 145: 441–466.
* MacIntyre A. (1998) “Politics, Philosophy and the Common Good”. In: Knight K. (ed.) *The MacIntyre Reader*. Cambridge: Polity Press, pp. 235–252.
* Mansbridge, J. (2013) “Common Good”. In: LaFollette H, (ed.) Th *International Encyclopedia of Ethics,* Vol. II. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell
* Morrison, D. (2012) “The Common Good”. In: Deslauriers M. and Destrée P. (eds) *The Cambridge Companion to Aristotle’s Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 176–198.
* Pettit P. (2004) “The Common Good”. In: Goodin R.E., Dowding K. and Pateman C. (eds) *Justice and Democracy: Essays for Brian Barry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 150–169.
* Sandel, M. J. (2005) “Morality and the Liberal Ideal: Must Individual Rights Betray the Common Good?” In: *Public Philosophy: Essays on Morality in Politics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, pp. 147–155.
* Sluga, H. (2014) *Politics and the Search for the Common Good*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Taylor, Charles (1989) “Cross Purposes: The Liberal Communitarian Debate” in Nancy Rosenblum (ed.), *Liberalism and the Moral Life* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Avineri, Schlomo and de-Shalit, Avineri (eds), (1992) *Communitarianism and Individualism* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Bell, Daniel (1993) *Communitarianism and Its Critics* Oxford: Clarendon.
* Buchanan, Allen (1989) “Assessing the Communitarian Critique of Liberalism” *Ethics 99*, no.4, pp. 852-882.
* Calhoun, C. (1998) “The Public Good as a Social and Cultural Project”. In: W. W. Powell and E. S. Clemens (eds) *Private Action and the Public Good*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, pp. 20–35.
* Caney, S. (1992) ‘Liberalism and Communitarianism: A Misconceived Debate’, *Political Studies*, 40 (2), pp. 273–289ç
* Daly, Herman and John Cobb (1994) *For the Common Good.* Boston: Beacon Press.
* Dewey, John (1935) *Liberalism and Social Action* New York: G.P. Putnam,
* Dworkin, Ronald, (1986) “Liberalism” in *A Matter of Principle* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
* Enoch, D. (2015) “Against Public Reason” in *Oxford Studies in Political Philosophy*, vol. 1, edt. David Sobel, Peter Vallentyne and Steven Wall. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 112-145.
* Evans, M. (ed.) (2001) *The Edinburgh Companion to Contemporary Liberalism* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
* Frazer, Elizabeth (1999) The *Problems of Communitarian Politics: Unity and Conflict* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Goodin, Robert E. & Andre Reeve (eds), (1989) *Liberal Neutrality* London: Routledge
* Guttman, Amy (1985) “Communitarian Critics of Liberalism” *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 14 (3):308–322.
* Jordan, Bill (1989) *The Common Good: Citizenship, Morality and Self-Interest.* Oxford: Basil.
* Kymlicka, Will (2002) *Contemporary Political Philosophy* New York:Routledge Ch.3-6
* Laborde, Cécile (2008) *Critical Republicanism.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Meadowcroft, James. (ed.) (1996) *The Liberal Political Tradition: Contemporary Reappraisals* Aldershot: Edward Elgar.
* Mansbridge, Jane; Bohman, James; Chambers, Simone; Estlund, David; Føllesdal, Andreas; Fung, Archon; Lafont, Cristina; Manin, Bernard; Martí, José L (March 2010). “The place of self-interest and the role of power in deliberative democracy”. *Journal of Political Philosophy*. 18 (1): 64–100.
* Mulhall, S. A. Swift (1996) *Liberals and Communitarians*, New York: Wiley-Blackwell
* Nomos, (1962) *The Public Interest* Vol V
* Pettit, Philip (2008) “Two Axioms and Four Theorems” in *Republicanism and Political Theory*, in C. Laborde and J. Maynor, eds, *Republicanism and Political Theory*, Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 102-30.
* Quong, Jonathan (2011) *Liberalism without Perfection* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Rawls, J. (1993) *Political Liberalism* New York, NY. Columbia University Press
* Sandel, Michael, (ed.) (1984) *Liberalism and its Critics* New York: New York University Press.
* Sunstein, Cass (1988) Beyond the Republican Revival” Yale Law Journal 97

**WEEK XIII: Theorizing Concept of Democracy I**

**Essential readings:**

* Arblaster, A. (2002) *Democracy* Buckingham: Open University Press chapter 4.
* Birch, A. (2001) *Concepts and Theories of Modern Democracy* London: Routledge. Chapters 5 & 6.
* Christiano, Thomas (1996) *The Rule of the Many*: *Fundamental Issues in Democratic Theory*. Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press.
* Cohen, J. (1996) ‘Procedure and Substance in Deliberative Democracy’, *in Democracy and Difference: Contesting the Boundaries of the Political*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press.
* Crick, B. (1982) “A Defence of Politics Against Democracy” in Crick *In Defence of Politics*, Harmondsworth: Pengiun, 56-73.
* Dahl, Robert A. (1989) *Democracy and Its Critics*, New Haven & London: Yale University Press.
* Gutmann, Amy and Dennis Thompson (1996) *Democracy and Disagreement*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, chapters 1-6.
* Habermas, Jurgen (1994) “Three Normative Models of Democracy”*, Constellations* Vol: 1 No:1
* Pateman Carole (1970) *Participation and Democratic Theory.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, chapters 1-3
* Phillips, A. (1998) “Democracy and Representation: Or, Why Should it Matter Who Our Representatives Are?” in Phillips, ed., *Feminism and Politics* Oxford: Oxford University Press
* Posner, Richard A. (2003) “Two Concepts of Democracy, and Democracy Defended,” in *Law, Pragmatism, and Democracy*, Boston: Harvard University Press.
* Przeworski, A. (1999) “Minimalist Conception of Democracy: a Defense” in I. Shapiro. & C. Hacker-Cordon (eds) *Democracy’s Value.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 23-55
* Saward, Michael (2003) “Enacting Democracy” *Political Studies,* Vol. 51, pp.161-159.
* Schumpeter, J. (2003) “Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy” London: Routledge. chs. 21, 22 and 23.
* Shapiro, Ian and Casiano Hacker-Cordon, *eds*. (1999) *Democracy's Value*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press,
* Walzer, Michael (1981) “Philosophy and Democracy”, *Political Theory* 9. Vol. *9*, No. 3, pp. 379-399.

**Suggested Readings:**

* Barry, Brian (1991) *Democracy and Power: Essays in Political Theory* chs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Beetham, David (1994) *Defining and Measuring Democracy* London: Sage.
* Blaug, R. and J. Schwarzmantel (eds.) (2001) *Democracy: A Reader* Edinburgh: Edinburgh University.
* Christiano, Thomas (2011) “An Instrumental Argument for a Human Right to Democracy” Philosophy *& Public Affairs* 39, no. 2. Pp.143-176.
* Pettit, P. (2015) ‘Justice, Social and Political’ in *Oxford Studies in Political Philosophy*, vol. 1, edited by David Sobel, Peter Vallentyne and Steven Wall Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Young, I. M. (2000) *Inclusion and Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

**WEEK XIV: Theorizing Concept of Democracy II**

**Essential readings:**

* Aletta, Norval (2001) “Radical Democracy”, in Clarke, and Joe Foweraker, eds. *Encyclopedia of Democratic Thought.* London & New York: Routledge.
* Benhabib, Seyla (eds.) (1996) *Democracy and Difference* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Paul B.
* Cohen, Joshua (1989) “Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy,” in Alan Hamlin and Phillip Pettit, eds., *The Good Polity: Normative Analysis of the State.* Oxford: Basil Blackwell
* Chambers, Simone (1996) *Reasonable Democracy*: Jurgen Habermas and the Politics of Discourse. Cornell University Press (Mustafa İnan Kütüphanesi JA76 .C43 1996)
* Dobson, Andrew (2014) Deliberative and Dialogic Democracy in *Listening for Democracy: Recognition, Representation, Reconciliation* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Dryzek, J. S. (2002) *Deliberative Democracy and Beyond: Liberals, Critics, Contestations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
* Dryzek, J.S. (2009) 'Democratization as Deliberative Capacity Building, ' Comparative Political Studies, vol. 42, no. 11: 13791402
* Estlund, David., ed. (2002) *Democracy*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
* Goodin, Robert (2003) *Reflective Democracy.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
* Gutmann, A. and D. Thompson (2004). *Why Deliberative Democracy*? Princeton, NJ.: Princeton University Press.
* Habermas, Jurgen (1996) *Between Facts and Norms: Contributions to a Discursive Theory of Law and Democracy*. Cambridge: MIT Press. Pp.287-329
* Held, David (2006) *Models of Democracy* Cambridge: Polity
* Hirst, Paul (1993) “Associational Democracy” in David Held edt. *Prospects for Democracy*, pp.112-35. *See* also, Paul Hirst, (1994) *Associative Democracy: New Forms of Economic and Social Governance* Amberst: University of Massachusetts Press.
* Holden, B. (1993) *Understanding Liberal Democracy* NY: Harvester Wheatsheaf
* Hampshire, Stuart. (2001) *Justice is Conflict*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
* Mansbridge, Jane & Joh Parkinson, John; (2012) “A systematic approach to deliberative democracy”, in Mansbridge, Jane J; Parkinson, John, *Deliberative systems*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp.1–26,
* Miller, David (2002) “Deliberative Democracy and Social Choice” in Estlund, David (ed.) *Democracy*. Oxford: Blackwell.
* Mouffe, Chantal (1996) “Radical Democracy or Liberal Democracy” in D. Trend (ed.) *Radical Democracy* London: Routledge
* Sandel, Michael J. (1984) “The Procedural Republic and the Unencumbered Self”, *Political Theory* vol.12: 81-96

**Suggested Readings:**

* Bakhtin, Mikhail Mikhailovich (1981) *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays*. Trans. Caryl Emerson and Michael Holquist University of Texas Press
* Bobbio, Norberto (1989) *Democracy and Dictatorship*, Minnesota, pp. 133-166.
* Budge, I. (2006) “Direct and Representative Democracy: Are They Necessarily Opposed?” *Representation* 42, 1-12.
* Cohen, Joshua (2002) “Deliberation and Democratic Legitimacy” in Hamlin, Alan and Pettit, Philip, (eds), *Good Polity* Oxford: Blackwell also in David Estlund (ed.) *Democracy* Oxford: Blackwell
* Dewey, John (2012) The Public and Its Problems: An Essay in *Political Inquiry* (edt) Melvin L. Rogers Penn State University Press.
* Dryzek, J. (2006) *Deliberative Global Politics*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
* Elster, Jon., ed. (1998) *Deliberative Democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
* Goodin, Robert E. (2000) “Democratic Deliberation Within”, *Philosophy and Public Affairs* vol.29, no.1: 81-109
* Mather, J. (1995) “What are the Democratic Impediments to Participatory Democracy” *Politics* 15, 175–182
* Mouffe, Chantal (2000) “For an agonistic model of democracy.” In N. O”Sullivan (ed.), *Political Theory in Transition*. London: Routledge. pp. 113-130.
* Perote-Pena, Juan and Piggins, Ashley (2012) A model of deliberative and aggregative democracy. Forthcoming in *Economics and Philosophy*
* Pettit, P. (2008) “Three Conceptions of Democratic Control” *Constellations* 15 (1):46-55.
* Sanders, L. M. (1997) ‘Against Deliberation’, Political Theory. Sage Publications, 25(3), pp. 347–376.
* Saward, M. (2003) “Representative and Direct Democracy” in R. Axtmann ed. *Understanding Democratic Politics: An Introduction.* New York: Sage
* Warren, M. (2006) “Democracy and the State” in Dryzek, John, B. Honnig, & A. Phillips (eds) (2006) *The Oxford Handbook of Political Theory* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 382-99.
* Weale, A. (2007) *Democracy.* Basingstoke: Palgrave. Chapter 2 “Forms of Democratic Government” pp. 24-48.